

A Work in Progress

KINSEY, ROCKEFELLER & MKULTRA: Instruments of the New World Order



© Judith A. Reisman, PhD, Pres. The Reisman Institute, August 10, 2020

Rhonda Miller, Pres. Purple for Parents Indiana

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 1932 communist W.Z. Foster predicted the destruction of America's "education, morality, ethics, science, art, patriotism, religion" was necessary to establish a "New World Order."¹ America's social, economic, and sexual stability rested on Judeo-Christian beliefs and laws – abstinence before and faithfulness during consensual heterosexual marriage. Her national health and wealth testified to the success of this *normal biopsychological sexual model*. From 1941, when America entered WWII, the Rockefeller Foundation (RF) began funding the work of Dr. Alfred Kinsey, who would have been known to RF as a sadomasochistic bi/homosexual. RF backing ensured Kinsey's 1948 book, *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male* instant popularity; "the Kinsey scale" codified "fluid sexuality" for the future, ensuring his enduring international fame. RF connected Kinsey with a wider network of RF-funded scientists. From c.1946 Kinsey partnered with RF's *Columbia-Greystone Brain Project* at New York's "Snake Pit," *Rockland Mental Hospital*. The results of Kinsey's studies of sexual responses of lobotomized patients, are sanitized in Kinsey's 1953 book *Sexual Behavior in the Human Female*. This paper provides new evidence that the ~2,034 infants and children sexually violated for Kinsey's globe-changing "scientific proof" of infant/child orgasm were sourced from these and similar entities. 82% of Kinsey's child sex experiments are a match with the "Enhanced Interrogation Techniques" used on terrorism suspects at Guantanamo Bay. Since Kinsey's definition of "orgasm" involves symptomatology indistinguishable from epileptic fit, terror/distress and/or electric shock treatment, the physiological responses he declared as child "orgasm", were true trauma responses. This is a newly uncovered Kinsey fraud represented as "science" by his RF funders. Very far from the organic "shock" of a scientific break-through advertised, this paper argues that Kinsey's sex work served as part of a broader psyops,² as rationale for thorough social change. As recently as 2020, RF claimed credit for "funding a sexual revolution" via the "Kinsey Reports." From 1954 Congressional efforts to investigate Kinsey's sex work and the causal connection between pornography and ever-rising levels of child sexual abuse have been successfully blocked. Those acting in Rockefeller interests have prevented Kinsey's exposure while promoting his conclusions. From 1953, Hefner, "Kinsey's pamphleteer" marketed RF/Kinsey's lies to young college men via *Playboy*. The sexual restraint of previous generations--responsible for building America--were reframed as a web of hypocritical lies; premarital, extramarital, meaningless, love-free sex glamorised; and a generation, with Hefner its guru, embraced cynicism about human relationships, nihilism and rejection of all received wisdom. The 1955 RF-funded Model Penal Code (MPC), drafted by RF's approved team, citing Kinsey, would over-turn prior sex laws, including obscenity laws by 1957, and trivialized sexual abuse. In 1964, the Sexuality Information and Education Council of the United States (SIECUS), funded by *Playboy*, and based at the Kinsey Institute, taught schools to disparage chastity, heterosexuality and monogamy. Soon, with "obscenity exemptions" allowed for "education" K-12 instructed in exotic sexual behaviors. Ever-greater upticks in child rape, pornography and deadly STDs have, predictably, followed. In 2014, the Kinsey Institute (KI) won United Nations consultative status for "educational" materials which aimed at overriding the most basic instinct for self-preservation in children of all ages, effectively preparing them to cooperate with RF's social change agenda. Since 2019 the KI's App., the "Kinsey Reporter", solicited "citizen scientists" (of any age) to record/report all sex acts/crimes *anonymously*. *Congressional investigation of a criminal nexus of RF, KI, Big Pharma, Big Porn, Big Abort and "sexual health" providers and educators, past and present, is critically needed to halt the damage these entities inflicted on three successive generations in their obsession for a New World Order.*

¹ William Z. Foster. (1932/2016). *Toward Soviet America*. Hauraki Publishing. Kindle Edition. p. 313.

² Psychological operations...to convey selected information and indicators to audiences to influence their emotions, motives, and objective reasoning, and...behavior of governments, organizations, groups, and individuals.

Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	2
The Thesis	6
INTRODUCTION	7
Framing this White Paper	11
Section 1: The RF/Kinsey Torture of Infants and Children	12
Table 34: 24-Hour Child Experiments	12
Linking Fear with Sexual Arousal	16
Probable Epilepsy is Rebranded as Child “Orgasm”	17
The “scientific observations” of pedosadists are inherently unreliable.....	18
Kinsey’s powerful sponsors connected him to a wider network	20
The Columbia-Greystone Brain Research Project	21
“Mental Hospitals” is a misnomer	23
Kinsey declares brain function is unrelated to human sexuality.....	25
The Courageous Young Survivor: Karen Wetmore	26
Wetmore uncovered ties to the CIA and Rockland:	27
1946: The Rockland “Mental Hospital” Children’s Ward	29
Videotapes Rockland’s “Nightmare” Children’s Ward	29
Cameron, Canada’s Mind Control Experimenter.....	32
Section 2: Developing methods of mind control	33
1941–53: Operation MKULTRA	34
Connecting “Sex Scientist” Kinsey with MKULTRA	36
CIA “Enhanced Interrogation Techniques” and Kinsey	39
How widespread was human experimentation in the US?	40
Radiation experiments on 16,000 Americans.....	41
Harvard Student Experiments: Dr. Henry Murray’s Unabomber	42
Dr Laretta Bender’s use of electro-shock therapy on children	43
The over-arching influence of the RF	44
Tavistock and “Operation Paperclip” to save Nazi scientists	46
The RF network extended both to Stalinist Russia and Nazi Germany	48
Instruments of the NWO established in the aftermath of WWII	51
Section 3: How to take over a democracy by stealth	53

Rockefeller forced to take control of mass media	54
CIA Operation Mockingbird	55
Rockland Psychiatrist was a “Russian Spy”	57
Kinsey and SS Nazi Herr Fritz von Balluseck	58
Marketing Kinsey as a Sex “Scientist”	59
Deutsch, a poor “investigative reporter” but a great propagandist	60
Academic criticism over-ridden by propaganda	62
The nation is ‘shocked’ ... but believes	64
The “Publisher’s Foreword” granted RF-Kinsey & Co. full credibility:.....	65
A Rockefeller plot President Truman called “treason”	65
Section 4: Sustaining the lie through organised crime	67
The power of secrets	67
Hefner the once college virgin is now “Kinsey’s Pamphleteer”	69
Encouraging perversity and catering for the perverse	70
Non-investigation of clear evidence	71
A long history of crime and cover-up	73
Epstein and Gates still colleagues 9 Years after Epstein’s conviction	75
A parallel history of interference with official investigations	75
The failure of the Cox and Reece Committees	76
HEW Under Sec. Rockefeller 1953–1955 again kills Kinsey inquiry	77
1974/75 The Rockefeller and Church Commissions	78
The 1975 Murphy Commission.....	80
Reagan’s 1983 DOJ Taskforce and the 1984 Meese Commission.....	81
Section 5: Cultural and social collapse in consequence	84
How did we get here?.....	86
Destroying America’s youth via schoolroom “obscenity exemptions”	88
Hugh Hefner: Yes! “I’ll be Kinsey’s Pamphleteer”	90
A world created in Kinsey’s image: sexual psychopathy normalized	91
SIECUS Sex Ed sponsored by RF/Kinsey/ <i>Playboy</i>	92
Changing national morality through the Model Penal Code.....	93
Behavior modification through reprogramming “the national mind”	96
Kinsey Reports - Wikipedia	97
CONCLUSION	98
APPENDIX A	102

CIA Covert Persons, Hospitals, Universities and Government Facilities	102
Connected Individuals	104
Appendix B: FLOW CHARTS A, B & C.....	110

“Biography has become the Battleground ... Should Kinsey be discredited, years of sexual progress can be undone.” Dr. Carole Vance, Lesbian Social Justice Activist.³



Figure 1: *Kinsey, The Man Who Changed the World*, 2007
Chinese language book, Indiana University Press

“[I]n the course of time and things ... cunning, ambitious, and unprincipled men will be enabled to subvert the power of the people and to usurp for themselves the reins of government, destroying afterwards the very engines which have lifted them to unjust dominion.”

“[R]esist with care the spirit of innovation ... which will ... undermine what cannot be directly overthrown. In all the changes to which you may be invited, remember that time and habit are at least as necessary to fix the true character of governments ... [C]hanges [based upon] mere hypothesis and opinion, expose [society] to perpetual change, from the endless variety of hypothesis and opinion.”

Excerpts from President George Washington’s “Farewell Address,” September 17, 1796.

The Thesis

For over seven decades, powerful entities prevented official investigation of Dr. Alfred Kinsey and promoted his conclusions as “truth”. The result is that public policy, education, and law have been guided by the lies of a psychopathic⁴ pedosadist.⁵ Instead of protecting children and

³ Dr. Carol Vance. (1998, November 6). *Kinsey at 50* [conference]. San Francisco State University, CA, United States. <https://www.sfsu.edu/~news/prsrelea/fy98/025.htm> This links to the press conference, Dr. Vance statement was recorded by Dr. Reisman in attendance.

⁴ The use of such a term “psychopath” is not simply hyperbole. It is used in the clinical sense of the word. Academic researchers, such as Robert Hare, Ph.D, (1) and James Fallon, Ph. D. (2), and forensic clinicians who have spent years working with incarcerated psychopaths in long-term solitary and in general population, such as Jon K. Uhler, LPC (3), each concur that the clinical definition of a psychopath can be boiled down to someone lacking conscience, empathy, and remorse:

(1) <http://www.psychology-criminalbehavior-law.com/2015/01/hare-psychopath/>

(2) <https://www.crimetraveller.org/2015/07/inside-mind-of-psychopath-psychopathic-killer/>

(3) <https://www.quora.com/Why-do-certain-psychologists-mix-up-cluster-B-traits-and-deem-the-combination-a-sociopath/answer/Jon-K-Uhler>

Given that Kinsey elected to sexually abuse children, allow other deviant adults to sexually abuse them under the guise of scientific experiments, and the fact that he would do so to so many children, and never experience any signs of remorse or contrition would clearly categorize him well within the realm of psychopathy. In fact, given that he would then take such “results” from such diabolically abusive “treatment” of children which would no doubt have profound life-altering and life-long consequences on those children, and use that in such a brazen manner so as to appear as having done legitimate research (as opposed to having perpetrated and sanctioned the perpetration of children), for the purpose of creating a belief about the supposed sexuality of children, in order to craft public opinion, public policy, judicial decisions, and impact state and federal legislation reveals a profoundly psychopathic agenda-driven mind, intent upon shifting the culture toward a greater acceptance of child sexuality and the narrative of mutual love and sexual expression between persons, regardless of age. After all, were now told that, “Love is Love”, “Love knows no boundaries”, and “Love knows no age”. <https://www.growingbolder.com/love-has-no-age-3020496/>

⁵ While “pedophile” and “pederast” has been used to describe those who desire to, or who do, sexually violate, exploit children, the term means “child-lover” (“philia” the Greek for love or more recently, “friendly feeling toward,” <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/-philia>). From his 11+ years of clinical forensic work with hundreds of incarcerated male child offenders, Jon

scaffolding the family, government policy has facilitated the agenda of allied interest groups which benefit personally, financially, and/or politically from sexual exploitation of the vulnerable and the destruction of the nuclear family. Notable among these beneficiaries are the very elites who, wishing to establish a New World Order, initiated and funded Kinsey's work, sold his lies to the public, and obstructed investigation of Kinsey and the Institute that bears his name and continues his work to this day. Official investigation of Kinsey – and the cultural transformation he set in motion – is long overdue.

INTRODUCTION

From the early decades of the twentieth century, various philanthropic “think tanks” were either founded by or with significant input from the RF. These include; the Council on Foreign Relations (1921), the Tavistock Institute (1934),⁶ the United Nations (1945), the Bilderberg Group (1954), the Club of Rome (1968), the Trilateral Commission (1973), as well as various modern “philanthropy/social justice” organizations.⁷ The work of these groups form the context for the focus of this study, documenting the launch of RF's global *sexual revolution* employing Dr. Alfred Kinsey, of Indiana University as RF's *agent provocateur*. A richly-funded RF Madison Avenue mass media blitz, priming the press to receive and broadcast the message,⁸ succeeded in its objective; Kinsey's *Sexual Behavior in The Human Male* (1948), (RF's Trojan Horse), rolled through America's protective legal and social walls, undermining essential trust in the rectitude of the “greatest generation,” their religious faith and moral honor. Guided by their stable of “in-house” lawyers, psychiatrists, psychologists, neurologists and media mavens, RF-funded social science communications experts were positioned to shape pre- and post-World War II public attitudes (documented later). Their plan worked.

At the Fifth World Congress of Sexology in 1981, I (Reisman) first drew to the world's attention the fact that Kinsey's famous data, on which was based the important and hitherto unchallenged scientific conclusion that children are sexual from birth, was based on criminal child abuse. My presentation, entitled, “The Scientist as a Contributing Agent to Child Sexual Abuse,” drew on evidence clearly visible in Kinsey's *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male* (1948) and *Sexual Behavior in the Human Female* (1953). This was hard evidence which, unaccountably, had been overlooked at the time of publication and in the intervening three intervening decades. My revelations were met first with stunned silence, followed by consternation and energetic attempts to dismiss the facts presented and to discredit the researcher who dared to point them out. (The Emperor had no

Uhler notes that 100% of offenders with child victims possessed child-rape porn, 98% with child-rape porn distribution. One who uses children sexually is clinically psychopathic (arousal derived from pain and suffering in the victim). Hence, we have chosen to be clinically accurate using the term “pedosadist,” versus the misleading “pedophile” or “pederast.”

⁶ The Tavistock date offered generally is 1947 but that is confounded by the documents addressed shortly.

⁷ A series of video documentaries still accessible on YouTube offers basics on these “charitable” groups of the powerful elites of society, claiming objective, “no agenda”, e.g., The Bilderberger Group <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OF5xL63PyfA>; “Behind The Big News: Propaganda and the CFR” <https://topdocumentaryfilms.com/behind-the-big-news-propaganda-and-the-cfr/>. Carroll Quigley wrote that it is, “A world system of financial control in private hands, able to dominate the political system of each country, and the economy of the world as a whole.” (1966). L. 129. Macmillan Co. Another CFR documentary;

https://www.amazon.com/gp/video/detail/amzn1.dv.gti.64b1111e-f369-d278-bd04-7cb20094fcc5?ref=imdbref_tt_wbr_pvs_piv&tag=imdbtag_tt_wbr_pvs_piv-20;

Sen. Barry Goldwater wrote, “The Trilateral Commission represents a skillful, coordinated effort to seize control and consolidate the four centers of power—political, monetary, intellectual and ecclesiastical.” (1979). *With No Apologies*. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rHWrcNaif4>; The Club of Rome;

Digging Deeper, Limits of Growth; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1SjPatMoQNC>, and multiple books, videos on all.

⁸ Judith Reisman. (2013). *Stolen Honor, Stolen Innocence*. pp. 37–40.

clothes!). It should hardly surprise if many whose careers, prestige, research funding, and/or livelihoods depended on espousal of Kinsey and commitment to continuing the sex research he initiated, were willing to set their shoulders to the task of defending their hero and dismissing his detractor.

My research also showed that the promotion of Kinsey's fake science was directly linked to the rise in the use and reach of pornography which, in turn, was a major contributing factor to the rise in rape, child sexual abuse and the growing industry in child sex trafficking. This thesis attracted the attention of the Department of Justice (DOJ) which commissioned me to lead a task force with the FBI to gather the evidence. Almost before it had begun and without explanation, our task force was summarily disbanded. I was subjected to three hostile Congressional investigations and a barrage of media abuse, again aimed at discrediting the messenger bearing information that was distinctly unwelcome to the sex industry—an industry which had, by now, established allies within a growing pornography industry, as well as institutional education. An investigative journalist later reported that most of the smear campaign was ordered and paid for by *Playboy* and *Penthouse*, (at a cost of more than \$50,000 a month for two years).⁹ Their interest in removing a threat to their vast and expanding empire is self-evident.

The intervening forty years have only confirmed that my original thesis was correct. More significantly, time and study have brought to light the answers to my original unanswered questions regarding Kinsey's work. How was it that Kinsey was able to masturbate 317 pre-pubescent boys for periods of up to 24 hours in order to populate Table 31? Where were these children now? How was it that Kinsey was able to persuade the scientific community – indeed, the world – that the clearly itemized symptoms of extreme distress listed in his book were symptoms of “orgasm” in children from as young as 4 months old? Why has an investigation of the Kinsey Institute, still publicly funded at Indiana University, never been forthcoming?

When clear evidence of a most egregious crime is met with profound lack of interest, when governmental investigations expected to bring Kinsey's crime to the national attention are wordlessly dismantled, when, six decades after his death, Kinsey continues to be lauded as a hero of science and his fraudulent conclusions continue to be misrepresented as an organic historical moment of scientific break-through, then we must look beyond Kinsey himself for the source of the problem. With the passage of time, the destructive effects of the sexual revolution Kinsey's false data set in motion have become appallingly evident. Equally, it is now clear that Kinsey's work can only have prospered as it has if it were part of a much larger covert initiative; a system of organized crime capable of manipulating the governmental and administrative powers designed to protect the American people and co-opting these instead to work for their destruction.

The Rockefellers, their minions and the RF, as the CIA's financial co-conspirator in the MKULTRA mind control experiments, operated effectively as part of a shadow government. Working with similar elite entities, the Rockefellers leveraged their significant financial resources to acquire social control around the world, subverting communist, fascist, and democratic systems of government alike.¹⁰ In the US, both military and civilian patients in certain hospitals were steered

⁹ Susan Trento. (1992). *THE POWER HOUSE: Robert Keith Gray and the Selling of Access and Influence in Washington*. St. Martin's Press. pp. 193–200.

¹⁰ See, for example, Emanuel M. Josephson. (2011). *Rockefeller "Internationalist": The Man Who Misrules the World*. Literary Licensing; G. Edward Griffin. (2010). *The Creature from Jekyll Island*. American Media; Gary Allen, Larry Abraham and John G. Schmitz. (1972). *None Dare Call It Conspiracy*. Concord Press.

toward services financed by the CIA. In exchange for “philanthropic grants for medical research” these hospitals supplied children and adult as subjects for human experiments.


The CIA mind control projects themselves represented an assault on consciousness and reality ... [T]hese techniques share an ontological purpose: to manipulate perceptions and to re-create reality. The 1940s was the turning point for the Rockefeller strategy of behavior modification-brainwashing, co-determination, co-participation corporativism for the takeover of the United States and world labor movement.¹¹

The techniques of behavior modification and psychological manipulation explored in these experiments is now being used to manipulate entire populations. One could conclude that the current civil discord reflects the success of a program of corruptive schooling, or “voluntary” brainwashing over the course of six decades.

In a report published on January 9, 2020, the Assistant Director of Research and Education, working for the Rockefeller Archive Center,¹² claimed credit on behalf of RF for “Funding a Sexual Revolution” through its investment (the equivalent of \$1 million per year in today’s money) between 1943 and 1953 for “The Kinsey Reports”. RF’s responsibility for this work and its consequences is visually summarized with the superimposition of the Rockefeller logo over the illustration of Kinsey’s famous homosexual-heterosexual scale (below):



This, now famous, “Kinsey scale”, (pictured at left) represents Kinsey’s theory that human sexuality is best envisaged as a spectrum. Kinsey rejected the idea that humanity could be compartmentalized into discrete sexual categories:



“Males do not represent two discrete populations, heterosexual and homosexual ... any question as to the number of persons in the world who are homosexual and the number who are heterosexual is unanswerable. It is only possible to record

the number of those who belong to each of the positions ... [the] heterosexual-homosexual scale.¹³

¹¹ Daniel Estulin. (2015). *The Tavistock Institute: Social Engineering the Masses*. Trine Day LLC. p. 56. See also H.V. Dicks. (2014). *Fifty Years of the Tavistock Clinic*. (Psychology Revivals). Routledge. pp. 76, 133.

¹² Rachel Wimpee. (9 Jan 2020). Rockefeller, <https://resource.rockarch.org/story/funding-a-sexual-revolution-the-kinsey-reports/>

¹³ *Male Report*, p. 639 and p. 650.

This scale ran from 0 (exclusively heterosexual) to 6 (exclusively homosexual). (An extra category “X” for “no socio-sexual contacts or reactions”).¹⁴ Homosexuality (or some degree of homosexuality), according to Kinsey was so common that it becomes impossible to regard it as “abnormal” or “unnatural”.¹⁵

By his own admission, the men who made up Kinsey’s sample (taken, significantly, from 1941 to 1945/6 – see page 10 Male book) included homosexuals, male prostitutes, rapists, pedosadists, incestuous sex offenders and the “feeble minded”. Roughly 86% of his interviewees were barred from military service by reason of their sexual deviance. The sexual behaviours of such a sample are hardly representative of “the human male” yet this was Kinsey’s basis for claiming that 37% of American men had some homosexual experience. (A subsequent attempt in 1960 by Kinsey’s admirers to reproduce his survey and validate his conclusions found that only *one-half of one percent* (one in 200 college men) was homosexual).¹⁶

While all of this is “natural” and therefore “normal”, Kinsey’s work challenges the normalcy of heterosexuality. Were it not for social repression of all other sexual urges, according to Kinsey, almost everyone would be engaged in every type of sexual activity:

[C]onsidering the physiology of the sexual response and the mammalian backgrounds of human behavior, it is not so difficult to explain why a human animal does a particular thing sexually. It is more difficult to explain why each and every individual is not involved in every type of sexual activity.¹⁷

In Kinsey’s fantasy world where all moral taboo was removed from all forms of sex, most men would find themselves somewhere between the extremes of exclusive homosexuality and exclusive heterosexuality: most would be bisexual, subject to arousal and curiosity about sexual partners both of the same and of the opposite sex to varying degrees. Correctly understood, Kinsey’s work is a charter for sexual hedonism. Kinsey assured his readers that a world without sexual restraint would not be the least harmful to anyone.

Instead, we can now see that following Kinsey’s advice produced widespread trauma produced through rising incidences of child-on-child sexual abuse; ever more sophisticated mechanisms of delivering more extreme pornographic content to young audiences; policies that favour the interests of “transenders” without regard to the corresponding diminution of protections for children; and; laughably insignificant penalties for sexual offenders, which serve to trivialise the catastrophic consequences of child sexual assault. The barbarism of modern sexual culture – arguably unprecedented in human history – is the result, so we will argue, of the deliberate efforts of globalists, notably the RF working in conjunction with the CIA, which, in exchange for RF funding, facilitated access to human subjects and provided immunity from detection or prosecution.

This White Paper presents evidence that the profoundly deleterious consequences of Kinsey’s work were intentional. Kinsey’s Reports manufactured a false scientific rationale for wholesale

¹⁴ The Kinsey Institute: <https://kinseyinstitute.org/research/publications/kinsey-scale.php>

¹⁵ “The opinion that homosexual activity in itself provides evidence of psychopathic personality is materially challenged by these incidence and frequency data.” (*Male Report*, p. 660).

¹⁶ Drs. Phyllis and Eberhard Kronhausen, aimed to free everyone from sexual repression. Surveying 200 college men in 1960, they found Joe College was still commonly a virgin. Drs. Phyllis and Eberhard Kronhausen. (1960). *Sex Histories of College Men*. Ballantine Books. p. 219.

¹⁷ *Female Report*. p. 451.

social change comprising the eradication of the traditional Judeo-Christian sexual morality that scaffolds the nuclear family¹⁸ and the promotion of sexual hedonism in its place. In combination, the multiple effects of Kinsey's work ensure the erosion of protections for children and prepare the way for the New World Order.

This agenda has been at least a century in the making and has depended on secrecy for its success. The extent of its ambitions is, even now, only known to a few and openly doubted by many. Shortly before his assassination, President John F Kennedy attempted to alert the American public to the work of this secretive global cabal:

We are opposed around the world by a monolithic and ruthless conspiracy that relies primarily on covert means for expanding its sphere of influence—on infiltration instead of invasion, on subversion instead of elections, on intimidation instead of free choice, on guerrillas by night instead of armies by day. It is a system which has conscripted vast human and material resources into the building of a tightly knit, highly efficient machine that combines military, diplomatic, intelligence, economic, scientific and political operations. Its preparations are concealed, not published. Its mistakes are buried, not headlined. Its dissenters are silenced, not praised. No expenditure is questioned, no rumor is printed, no secret is revealed. But I am asking your help in the tremendous task of informing and alerting the American people.¹⁹

Framing this White Paper

It is hoped that this paper will help continue the tremendously important task of “informing and alerting the American people” to decades of corruption.

- Section 1 sets out the new evidence that Kinsey sourced the children and infants for his experiments from American mental asylums. Far from being a solitary criminal renegade, Kinsey was part of an extensive network of highly respected scientists engaged in similar programs of unethical human experimentation.
- Section 2 sets Kinsey's work within the context of the CIA's covert MKULTRA program and explores other examples of trauma and torture associated with “scientific research” at the time. Where the atrocities committed in concentration camps during World War II were thought to have ended with Nuremberg, the CIA's “Operation Paperclip” simply transported the cream of the Nazi science machine to America where experiments of various types continued, using American citizens as subjects. The development of mechanisms for mind control formed the common purpose of these experiments and the over-arching international influence of the RF is clear.
- Section 3 examines how the scientists working in the Rockefeller interest were protected and supported by a well-oiled PR industry and a captive press. Through the impressive machinery

¹⁸ The American Law Institute. (2002). “Family Dissolution: Analysis and Recommendation”. This is essentially a program for dissolving family by lawyers who are sure they are great intellects. <https://www.ali.org/publications/show/family-dissolution-analysis-and-recommendations/> Justice Scalia said such novel views of the law should have “no more weight regarding what the law ought to be than the recommendations of any respected lawyer or scholar.” <https://verdict.justia.com/2016/06/20/increased-controversy-future-american-law-institute>.

¹⁹ Live Safely. (March 9, 2011). “President John F. Kennedy Speech on Secret Society” April 27, 1961. YouTube Retrieved August 10, 2020 from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VvQ2FUwvcqw>

provided by “Operation Mockingbird” Kinsey’s criminality was obscured from view, his lies were sold as truth and the man himself re-framed as a disinterested, credible, even heroic figure.

- Section 4 traces the same techniques of corruption, blackmail, protection and public misinformation operating through a succession of strategically-placed figures from Kinsey, through Hefner to Epstein. By such means, the Rockefellers and their deep state cronies have succeeded in subverting the governmental and legislative authorities that would normally have exposed their work and held them to account. A long line of congressional investigations have been prevented by the Rockefellers from fulfilling their purpose.
- Section 5 explores the social, legal, educational, and political changes that Kinsey’s work set in motion and explains why Kinsey’s work was such a particularly important aspect of the overall Rockefeller/globalist agenda.

Seventy years after Kinsey’s death, the sexual revolution he and the RF set in motion continues its destructive work. The evidence presented here should prompt the long-overdue official investigation into these dark secrets of America’s institutions, the scientific fraud committed and the ongoing work of the RF and Kinsey Institute (KI) in particular.

Section 1: The RF/Kinsey Torture of Infants and Children

Table 34: 24-Hour Child Experiments

In his 1951 puff-piece about Kinsey’s work written for *LOOK* magazine, the journalist Allen Deutsch creates the impression that Kinsey’s experiments on children consist of nothing more than interviews, similar to those Kinsey claimed as the source of his information on the sexual behaviors of adults. Deutsch explains that, so skilled and gentle is Kinsey’s demeanor, the children are completely unaware they are being interviewed:

The projected Kinsey volume on our sex laws will probably be followed by one dealing with the development of sexual attitudes and behavior in children. Kinsey had already compiled extensive records on sexual experiments on several hundred children under six years. Experts who have observed the Indiana scientist “interviewing” children praise his original and effective techniques ... Kinsey gets the parents to invite him home as a friend. He is introduced to the child in the family circle and gets to talking or playing with the kid.

Kinsey Wins Over Kids

... [Drawing a picture of a person, Kinsey will] ask the child what he must do to make it look like a man or a woman, and so forth. Or he’ll let the child do the drawing. Gradually, step by step, he gets a good idea of what the child knows about sexual differences, and what he feels about them. *There’s never anything*

suggestive or embarrassing. The child never knows he is being interviewed.²⁰
(Emphasis added).

Regrettably, the staged photos and tales of happy children being interviewed by Kinsey is very far from the truth. Were Deutsch genuinely the independent reporter he claimed then the real story, involving ~2,035 children who were raped and/or sodomized to furnish the data which is clearly displayed in the published Tables of Kinsey's reports²¹, might have ignited at least a small spark of interest. Even a cursory reading of the labels Kinsey attached to his tables relating to children's sexual responses would show that there is simply no way this information can have been acquired through interviews:

- **Table 30:** "Pre-adolescent eroticism and orgasm: ... original data gathered by certain of our subjects were made available for use in the present volume. *Of the 214 cases so reported, all but 14 were subsequently observed in orgasm.*"²²
- **Table 31:** "Ages of pre-adolescent orgasm: Based on the observance of 317 males."²³
- **Table 32:** "Speed of pre-adolescent orgasm."²⁴
- **Table 33:** "Multiple orgasm in pre-adolescent males".²⁵
- **Table 34:** "Examples of multiple orgasm in pre-adolescent males. Some instances of higher frequencies." And "timed with second hand or stopwatch ...five months of age to adolescence."²⁶ (See below).

AGE	NO. OF ORGASMS	TIME INVOLVED	AGE	NO. OF ORGASMS	TIME INVOLVED
5 mon.	3	?	11 yr.	11	1 hr.
11 mon.	10	1 hr.	11 yr.	19	1 hr.
11 mon.	14	38 min.	12 yr.	7	3 hr.
2 yr.	{ 7	9 min.	12 yr.	{ 3	3 min.
2½ yr.	{ 11	65 min.	12 yr.	{ 9	2 hr.
4 yr.	4	2 min.	12 yr.	12	2 hr.
4 yr.	6	5 min.	12 yr.	15	1 hr.
4 yr.	17	10 hr.	13 yr.	7	24 min.
4 yr.	26	24 hr.	13 yr.	8	2½ hr.
7 yr.	7	3 hr.	13 yr.	9	8 hr.
8 yr.	8	2 hr.	13 yr.	{ 3	70 sec.
9 yr.	7	68 min.	13 yr.	{ 11	8 hr.
10 yr.	9	52 min.	14 yr.	{ 26	24 hr.
10 yr.	14	24 hr.	14 yr.	11	4 hr.

Table 34. Examples of multiple orgasm in pre-adolescent males
Some instances of higher frequencies.

Male, p. 180

²⁰ Deutsch. Op cit.

²¹ The prestigious, often cited Judge Jerome Frank says the Supreme Court should make their decisions on obscenity based on proofs "as extensive and intensive as the Kinsey report". Judge Curtis Bok agrees: "Dr. Kinsey's report on the sexual behavior of men" should be relied on by the courts as "truth". Kinsey's admitted 3,200 psychosexually abnormal criminals have indeed contributed to the data, hence the decisions, on "normal" conduct in our courts, lowest to the highest, for roughly seventy-odd years.

²² Alfred C. Kinsey, Clyde E. Martin, Wardell B. Pomeroy. (1948). *Sexual Behavior in The Human Male*. W.B. Saunders and Co Ltd. p. 175. (Hereafter "Male Report").

²³ Male Report. p. 176.

²⁴ Male Report. p. 178.

²⁵ Male Report. p. 179.

²⁶ Male Report. p. 180.

Kinsey and his co-authors skip over the details of how they acquired the data for these tables, but we can infer that small children (including infants of two and three months old) were stripped naked (this is the only way the “researchers” can have observed the detailed physiological reactions described in Kinsey’s reports), with their arms and leg restrained while their genitals are exposed and stimulated. One four-year old boy, Kinsey wrote, “climaxed” 26 times in a 24-hour period of continuous stimulation. In correspondence with the Kinsey Institute, this author queried how Kinsey’s team could be certain that what they were observing were “specifically sexual activities” in infants and asked for further information about the nature of this stimulation.²⁷ Gebhard (then the Director of the Kinsey Institute) replied as follows:

Some of these sources [referring to the “technically trained experts” who provided Kinsey and his team with information] have added to their written or verbal reports photographs and, in a few instances, cinema ...The techniques involved were self-masturbation by the child, child-child sex play, and adult-child contacts chiefly manual or oral.²⁸

In addition to these adult “scientists” stimulating the child’s genitals with their mouths, tongues and/or fingers, Yorkshire Television’s documentary, *Secret Histories: Kinsey’s Paedophiles*²⁹ revealed evidence that genital penetration (sodomy), formed part of Kinsey’s “interview” technique. Below is a statement with one of Kinsey’s cinematographers, Clarence Tripp. [NB “Green” was the code-name given to Rex King, who was one of pedosadist Kinsey’s informants]:

Well, it’s true that Green ... had intercourse with hundreds of males and females of every conceivable age ... [two] found it very painful and yelled out when it

AGE	FIRST PRE-ADOLESCENT EROTIC AROUSAL AND ORGASM						
	NUMBER OF CASES						
	EROTIC AROUSAL			ORGASM			
	In Any Sex Play	In Heterosexual Play	In Homosexual Play	Data from Present Study	Data from Other Subjects	Total Cases	% of Total
1					12	12	2.5
2					8	8	1.6
3				2	7	9	1.8
4	10	9	2		12	12	2.5
5	30	23	8	5	9	14	2.9
6	26	21	8	15	19	34	7.0
7	32	29	6	21	17	38	7.8
8	38	29	12	27	21	48	9.9
9	38	37	3	24	26	50	10.3
10	83	71	17	56	26	82	16.8
11	72	67	13	54	22	76	15.6
12	92	84	13	51	23	74	15.2
13	37	37	3	15	9	24	4.9
14	10	10		3	3	6	1.2
15	3	2	1				
Total	471	419	86	273	214	487	100.0
Mean Age	10.28	10.41	9.62	10.40	8.51	9.57	
Median Age	9.75	9.87	9.26	9.77	8.10	9.23	

Table 30. Pre-adolescent eroticism and orgasm

All data based on memory of older subjects, except in the column entitled “data from other subjects.” In the later case, original data gathered by certain of our subjects were made available for use in the present volume. Of the 214 cases so reported, all but 14 were subsequently observed in orgasm (see Table 31).

AGE WHEN OBSERVED	PRE-ADOLESCENT EXPERIENCE IN ORGASM					
	TOTAL POPULATION	CASES NOT REACHING CLIMAX	CASES REACHING CLIMAX	CUMULATED POPULATION	CUMULATED CASES TO CLIMAX	PERCENT OF EACH AGE REACHING CLIMAX
2 mon.	1	1	0			
3 mon.	2	2	0			
4 mon.	1	1	0			
5 mon.	2	1	1			
8 mon.	2	1	1			
9 mon.	1	1	0			
10 mon.	4	1	3			
11 mon.	3	1	2			
12 mon.	12	10	2			
Up to 1 yr.	28	19	9	28	9	32.1
Up to 2 yr.	22	11	11	50	20	57.1
Up to 3 yr.	9	2	7	59	27	
Up to 4 yr.	12	5	7	71	34	
Up to 5 yr.	6	3	3	77	37	
Up to 6 yr.	12	5	7	89	44	63.4
Up to 7 yr.	17	8	9	106	53	
Up to 8 yr.	26	12	14	132	67	
Up to 9 yr.	29	10	19	161	86	
Up to 10 yr.	28	6	22	189	108	
Up to 11 yr.	34	9	25	223	133	80.0
Up to 12 yr.	46	7	39	269	172	
Up to 13 yr.	35	7	28	304	200	
Up to 14 yr.	11	5	6	315	206	65.0
Up to 15 yr.	2	2	0	317	206	
Total	317	111	206	317	206	

Table 31. Ages of pre-adolescent orgasm
Based on actual observation of 317 males.

²⁷ Reisman, et al. (1990). *Kinsey, Sex and Fraud*. Lochinvar-Huntington House. p. 224.

²⁸ Gebhard. (March 11, 1981). *Letter to Dr. Judith Reisman Regarding Kinsey Research Subjects and Data*. Perhaps these films have been preserved for posterity in the famously secretive Kinsey Institute Library. Pedo-criminals often preserve the evidence of their crimes. During a taped interview, November 2, 1992, Paul Gebhard tells Gordon Muir the Kinsey team asked pedodadists to time their rapes.

²⁹ https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=4&v=htAUysRPvNs&feature=emb_logo.

actually took place. This was because they were very young and had small genitalia and [King] was a grown man with enormous genitalia and there was a fit problem.³⁰

In his *Female Report*, Kinsey wrote, “3%” of the Adult rapists (Kinsey called, “Partners” of girls and boys) during the rapes he called “coitus,” that 2% sustained “oral” rape (he called “contacts).”³¹ When this author asked what happened to the children so she could get in touch and inquire as to the impact these experiences produced in their lives, Gebhard replied that follow up was “too expensive” for the Institute to attempt. Asked to provide an independent analysis of the information presented in Kinsey’s tables, Dr. Lester H. Caplan, Baltimore Diplomate, and member of the American Board of Pediatrics, responded:

Dear Dr. Reisman:

I have done a review of your paper ... based on an examination of the Kinsey data and its effects upon the child, and I have come to the following conclusions:

1. That the data was not the norm—rather was data taken from abnormal sexual activities, by sex criminals and the like.
2. Unnatural stimulation was used by the researchers to get results.
3. The frequencies and the number of orgasms in 24 hours was not normal nor the mean.
4. One person could not do this to so many children—*these children had to be held down or subject to strapping down, otherwise they would not respond willingly.*³² (Emphasis added).

TIME	CASES TIMED	PERCENT OF POPULATION	CUMULATED PERCENT
Up to 10 sec.	12	6.4	6.4
10 sec. to 1 min.	46	24.5	30.9
1 to 2 min.	40	21.3	52.2
2 to 3 min.	23	12.2	64.4
3 to 5 min.	33	17.5	81.9
5 to 10 min.	23	12.2	94.1
Over 10 min.	11	5.9	100.0
Total	188	100.0	

Mean time to climax: 3.02 minutes
Median time to climax: 1.91 minutes

Table 32. Speed of pre-adolescent orgasm

NO. OF ORGASMS	CASES OBSERVED	PERCENT OF POPULATION	CUMULATED PERCENT	TIME BETWEEN ORGASMS	CASES TIMED	PERCENT OF POPULATION	CUMULATED PERCENT
1	81	44.5	100.0	Up to 10 sec.	3	4.7	4.7
2	17	9.3	55.5	11 to 60 sec.	15	23.5	28.2
3	18	9.9	46.2	Up to 2 min.	8	12.5	40.7
4	10	5.5	36.3	Up to 3 min.	10	15.6	56.3
5	14	7.7	30.8	Up to 5 min.	7	10.9	67.2
6-10	30	16.5	23.1	Up to 10 min.	11	17.2	84.4
11-15	9	4.9	6.6	Up to 20 min.	7	10.9	95.3
16-20	2	1.1	1.7	Up to 30 min.	1	1.6	96.9
21+	1	0.6	0.6	Over 30 min.	2	3.1	100.0
Total	182	100.0	100.0	Total	64	100.0	100.0

Mean No. of Orgasms: 3.72
Median No. of Orgasms: 2.62

Mean Time Lapse: 6.28 minutes
Median Time Lapse: 2.25 minutes

Table 33. Multiple orgasm in pre-adolescent males

This observation was perspicacious. One of the relatively recent revelations in this author’s roughly fifty years of Kinsey research is that Kinsey had access, through his involvement in the Columbia-Greystone project Brain Research Project, to children held in mental asylums. We can certainly place him at both Rockland State Hospital in New York and Greystone Park State Hospital in New Jersey. In addition to providing the necessary subjects for experimentation, both of these institutions would have been equipped with the facilities necessary to strap children down. Hospital gurneys were already fitted with restraints used for electric shock treatment. These institutions also offered the amenity of sound-proofed rooms and the necessary staff to supply the needs of the

³⁰ The 1997 interviews conducted in preparation for *Kinsey’s Paedophiles* were recorded by a licensed court recorder 1997 interviews are available in the Reisman archive at Liberty University, Lynchburg, Virginia.

³¹ Kinsey et al. (1953). *Sexual Behavior of the Human Female*. W. B. Saunders Company. p. 704. (Hereafter “Female Report”, L. 4089, p. 119. Oral sodomy was reported as 291 “cases with experience” or 53% orally raped. Rape is “[unlawful sexual intercourse or any other sexual penetration of the vagina, anus, or mouth of another person](#)” etc.

³² Lester Caplan, M.D. (November 29, 1983). Letter to Dr. Judith Reisman from Original in the Reisman archive.

scientists engaged – according to the information in the tables – in masturbating, timing, and filming children continuously for periods of up to 24 hours at a time.

Linking Fear with Sexual Arousal

It is particularly important that we understand the nature of Kinsey's experiments and his interpretation of the children's physiological responses to stimulus because on these depend his important conclusion – still unchallenged in modern sexology – that children are sexual from birth. Freud had speculated that all tactile stimulation and response in infants had a sexual quality. However, before Kinsey, this hypothesis had been regarded by the scientific community as incapable of proof. In the *Male Report*, Kinsey summarizes the accepted (pre-Kinsey) scientific position on this subject, quoting the 1943 work of psychologist and Catholic theologian, Dr. Thomas Verner Moore:

Although young children may perform "acts similar to masturbation ... there is no evidence ... that these acts are accompanied by specific sexual pleasure ... even though there are signs that the child in some manner enjoys them." The conclusion is that although the child is capable of a tender personal love, it is of a non-erotic character and has nothing to do with the beginnings of sexuality ... he [Moore] concludes that specifically sexual behavior is the product of biologic growth and of experience.³³

Moore's acknowledged problem, of course, was that, since experiments were unthinkable, there was no way to test whether children have specifically *sexual* responses before puberty or not. The introspection of the infant was as unreliable as the recollections of adults about their early childhood experiences, scientific research had apparently reached an impasse. With the publication of the *Male Report* in 1948, Kinsey claimed to offer proof that the beginnings of erotic sexuality could be traced to earliest infancy:

Complying with the scientifically fair demand for records from trained observers, and answering Moore's further demand (p. 71) that "writers ... test their theories ... by empirical study and statistical procedures," we have now reported observations of such specifically sexual activities as erection, pelvic thrusts, and the several other characteristics of true orgasm in a list of 317 pre-adolescent boys ranging between infants of five months and adolescence in age.³⁴

Kinsey was a master at word manipulation; language fraud. For example, he described a rapist as a child's sexual "partner"; penetrative abuse he labelled "sexual contact"; pedosadists are described as "experts in juvenile sexuality". In the same way, Kinsey's description of a child's sexual response is irredeemably distorted either by his own self-deception or else by the conscious wish to deceive his readers.

Regardless of motive, the result is unreliable. Clinically itemizing a long list of symptoms of extreme distress, Kinsey assures his readers that the children "derive definite pleasure from the situation".³⁵ Observing the physiological symptoms associated with "the sexual syndrome" (which

³³ *Male Report*. p. 181. Kinsey quotes Moore's work of 1943 in order to ridicule this devout Catholic psychiatrist. Thomas Verner Moore. (1943). *The Nature and Treatment of Mental Disorders*. Grone & Stratton.

³⁴ *Male Report*. p. 181.

³⁵ *Male Report*. p. 161.

includes both the build up to what Kinsey called “orgasm” and “orgasm” itself) Kinsey acknowledged that many of these are indistinguishable from symptoms of fear or even epileptic fit:

“The physiology of fear involves a number of the elements which appear in sexual activity ... (Table 176). The physiology of epilepsy includes eight or more elements of the sexual syndrome (Table 176).” [And] “Ejaculation from fright was noted as early as Aristotle...We have a few instances in our own case histories”. (p. 706). [N]ine items in the physiology of fear...[are] identical with those in a sexual response:

1. Increase in pulse rate
2. Increase in blood pressure
3. Hyperventilation
4. Diminution of sensory perception
5. Adrenaline secretion (probably)
6. Increase in muscular tensions
7. Reduction of fatigue and/or increased muscular capacity
8. Hair raised
9. Involuntary vocalization.”

Probable Epilepsy is Rebranded as Child “Orgasm”

Physiologic element	Neural mech.	Sexual resp.	Anger	Fear	Epilepsy	Pain
Increase in pulse rate	symp.	√	√	√	may	√
Increase in blood pressure	symp.?	√	√	√	may	√
Vasodilation	para.	√	may	no	√	√
Increased periph. circ. of blood	para.	√	may	no	may	√
Tumescence	para.	√	rare	rare	rare	rare
Reduced rate of bleeding	symp.	√	√	√	√	√
Hyperventilation	symp.	√	√	√	√	√
Breathing irregularity	√	√	√	√	may	√
Anoxia	√	√	√	√	√	√
Diminished sensory perception	√	√	no	no	√	√
Increase in genital secretions	para.	√	√	no	√	no
Increase in salivary secretion	para.	√	√	no	√	no
Increase in lacrimal secretion	para.	√	√	√	√	√
Increase in perspiration	para. +	occas.	√	√	√	√
Adrenaline secretion	symp.	√?	√	√	√	√
Increase in muscular tensions	spinal	√	√	√	√	√
Increase in muscular capacity	spinal	√	√	√	√	√
Involuntary muscular activity	spinal	√	√	√	√	√
Rhythmic muscular movements	spinal	√?	no?	no	may	no
Gastro-intest. activ. inhibited	symp.	√?	√	√	√	may
Hair raised	symp.	may	√	√	√	√
Eye pupil dilated	symp.	√	√	√	√	√
Ejaculation	spinal	√	rare	rare	may	√
Involuntary vocalization	symp.	√	√	√	√	√

Kinsey, *Female Report*, Table 176: “Physiologic elements in the sexual syndrome, anger, fear, epilepsy, and pain.” (p.704).

Kinsey’s Table 176, (left) in his *Female Report*, details the physiological responses associated variously with sexual response, anger, fear, epilepsy and pain. Forcing seizures on a child by means of electric shock could elicit physiological epilepsy consistent with sexual “orgasm”, according to Kinsey’s idiosyncratic definition.³⁶

Kinsey and his carefully chosen co-authors set out an elaborate schema of different types of what they agreed were “orgasms”.³⁷ Those “types” associated with young children reflect extreme fear (as defined by Kinsey, et al. above) and yet, unaccountably, are labelled as instances of

“orgasm”. “Type 3” orgasm, for example, perfectly describes the convulsions of a body subjected to electroshock treatment:

Extreme tension with violent convulsion: Often involving the sudden heaving and jerking of the whole body. Descriptions supplied by several subjects indicated that the legs often become rigid, with muscles knotted and toes pointed, muscles of abdomen contracted and hard, shoulders and neck stiff and

³⁶ The overlap of arousal signals explains much about self-abuse as a mixed signal of arousal: “Physiologic Elements in the Sexual Syndrome, Anger, Fear, Epilepsy, and Pain.” *Female Report*. p. 704.

³⁷ *Male Report*. pp. 160–161.

often bent forward, breath held or gasping, eyes staring or tightly closed, hands grasping, mouth distorted, sometimes with tongue protruding; whole body or parts of it spasmodically twitching, sometimes synchronously with throbs or violent jerking of the penis. The individual may have some, but little, control of these involuntary reactions.³⁸

The probability that Kinsey was observing fear-induced epilepsy and describing this as a child's orgasm is patently clear.³⁹

The “scientific observations” of pedosadists are inherently unreliable

Reactions that knowledgeable biologists⁴⁰ (not to mention any normal person), would perceive as physiological responses of terror, fear or pain Kinsey defined as sexual “orgasm.” Type 3 continues, often repeated. (Remember most if not all children would have been nude). Other examples include:

- [Type 3 continued] A gradual, and sometimes prolonged, build-up to orgasm, which involves still more violent convulsion of the whole body; heavy breathing, groaning, sobbing, or more violent cries, sometimes with an abundance of tears (especially among younger children), the orgasm or ejaculation often extended, in some individuals involving several minutes (in one case up to five minutes) or recurrent spasm. After-effects not necessarily more marked than with other types of orgasm, and the individual is often capable of participating in a second or further experience. About one sixth (17%) of the pre-adolescent boys ...
- [Type 4] As in type 1 or 2 but with hysterical laughing, talking, sadistic or masochistic reactions, rapid motions (whether in masturbation or in intercourse), culminating in more or less frenzied movements which are continued through the orgasm. (5%) of ... preadolescent ... males.
- [Type 5] As in any of the above; but culminating in extreme trembling, collapse, loss of color, and sometimes fainting of subject. Sometimes happens only in the boy's first experience, occasionally occurs throughout the life of an individual... (3%). Such complete collapse is more common and better known among females.
- [Type 6] Pained or frightened at the approach of orgasm. The genitalia of many adult males become hypersensitive immediately at and after orgasm, and some males suffer excruciating pain and may scream if movement is continued or the penis even touched. The males in the present group become similarly hypersensitive before the arrival of actual orgasm will fight away from the partner and may make violent attempts to avoid climax, although they derive definite pleasure from the situation. Such individuals quickly return to complete the experience, or to have a second experience if the first was complete.⁴¹

³⁸ *Male Report*. p. 161.

³⁹ Kinsey himself explains: “Some of the earliest writers to draw attention to the similarities between epilepsy and sexual response included Democritus (ca. 420 B.C.) who was quoted as saying that orgasm is like a small epileptic seizure (see: Freeman 1949:306) ... Erection and ejaculation are sometimes listed as epileptic sequelae in modern medical literature.” (p. 707).

⁴⁰ Charles Darwin. (1904). *The Expression of the Emotions in Man and Animals*. John Murray. pp. 65–67.

⁴¹ *Male Report*. p. 161.

Note to readers: there were NO “adult males” being experimented on here. Kinsey himself introduces these small guinea pigs thus: “In the descriptions which follow, the, data supplied by adult observers for 196 pre-adolescent boys are the sources of the percentage figures indicating the frequency of each type of orgasm among such young males.”⁴² Again, a clique of “pre-adolescent boy” rapists are not “observers” – they are a cadre of pedosadists wearing the white coats of scientists. In his interview for the documentary, *Kinsey’s Pedophiles*, Johnathan Gaythorne-Hardy (one of Kinsey’s biographers) supplied the information that this typology of orgasm outlined by Kinsey in his *Male Report* owed its genesis largely to Rex King. King, we learn, was the protégée of Kinsey’s own mentor in sex research, Dr Robert Dickinson.

King was an acknowledged pedosadist and Dickinson had instructed King on how to record his observations of sexual encounters with children so that these might be of “some use” to the scientific community.⁴³ The records King had kept in consequence detailed his sexual abuse of more than 800 children dating back to 1917. These records were presented to Kinsey. Mrs. Kinsey typed them all up and they were arranged in red binders.⁴⁴

Kinsey’s misdiagnosis of terror as orgasm, supported by King (a mass child rapist), means the revolutionary “scientific finding” that children are sexual from birth – relies on the distinctly unreliable reports of sexual psychopaths.⁴⁵ The self-interest motivating their lies is abundantly clear. Their claims to scientific proofs are non-existent. At the most fundamental level of simple observation, Kinsey’s vaunted data is irredeemably tainted either by self-deception or deliberate lies. Since Kinsey willfully conflated and misdiagnosed all physiological responses (including symptoms of extreme distress, trembling and fear, even electric shock) as “orgasm,” only the most naïve or politically driven would believe, much less promote, any of his alleged “data” as truth.

According to Jon K. Uhler, who spent years working in a clinical capacity with psychopaths, one of the little understood characteristics of psychopaths is their love of “the game”, the thrill they derive from toying with others by boldly putting their intentions and their unethical, immoral, and/or illegal accomplishments out in plain sight. In Tables 30–34, Kinsey placed the evidence of his crimes in plain sight. As psychopaths love playing people for fools for trusting them, they are able to derive a sense of satisfaction from their own supposed ability to play “chess master”, to make moves and countermoves without people catching on to the reality that there is more going on in front of them than meets the eye.⁴⁶ In this vein, perhaps we can imagine Kinsey exulting in his superior intellect, laughing at all the worldly “experts” and useful idiots who believed his frauds.

Even the modern presentation of Kinsey’s work as the result of sincere effort on the part of an imperfect scientist by his apologists is clearly just another part of an elaborate fraud. Kinsey’s treatment of children’s sexuality is not poor science; it is not science at all.

⁴² Ibid.

⁴³ Gebhard explained in a 1998 interview in Britain’s Yorkshire Television documentary, *Kinsey’s Paedophiles*, Dickinson “encouraged him [King] to do what he was going to do anyway. Dickinson couldn’t have stopped him from being a paedophile, but he said ‘at least do something scientific about it ... so it won’t just be your jollies, it will be something worthwhile to science’.” <https://www.imdb.com/title/tt3293424/>. [9:30]

⁴⁴ Judith Reisman. (2010). *Sexual Sabotage*. WND Books. p. 336.

⁴⁵ “The condition of a psychopathic or sociopathic personality manifested by the commission of sexual crimes,” <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/sexual%20psychopathy>. This applicable definition was confirmed in discussion with prison psychologist, Jon Uhler, Founder & Executive Director, Survivor Support, Inc., Sacramento, July 5, 2020 and numerous additional mental health professionals.

⁴⁶ <https://www.survivorsupport.net/characteristics-of-predators>

Kinsey's powerful sponsors connected him to a wider network

Even Kinsey's admirers, Gaythorne-Hardy and Gebhard, acknowledge that the disinterested search for scientific truth concerning the question of children's sexuality was never Kinsey's objective; Kinsey's research was agenda-driven from the outset.⁴⁷ The *Male and Female Reports* were intended to convince the public that, as Gebhard put it, "children are sexual beings even in the uterus."⁴⁸ Admitting that King, one of their earliest pedosadist informants, "contributed a fair amount to our knowledge ... and medicine's knowledge of sexuality in children," Gebhard apparently regarded the project as a complete success because "we made our point that children are sexual from birth."⁴⁹ As Uhler noted, Kinsey's "game" won the world, for the world believed his lies.

In the *Female Report*, Kinsey presents his human sexuality research as the natural outworking of his benevolent attempts to answer sincere questions from his biology students.⁵⁰ Subsequent scholarship has revealed this to be another lie:

[T]he official version that Kinsey was prevailed upon by students to offer a sex education class [was] part of a larger [mythology] of the disinterested scientist, the person with no axe to grind, no vested interest, no desire to influence policy one way or the other, a kind of simple 19th century empiricist who is just collecting, assembling, and presenting data, a Victorian metric minded, morally neutral, totally dispassionate investigator who simply sees a hole in the literature ... to just serve his students and science.⁵¹

This myth of rigorous objectivity was important to Kinsey's credibility as a purveyor of scientific fact. It obscures the extent to which Kinsey's "discoveries" built on the philosophical foundations established by other sexual reformers⁵² as well as the deeply personal nature of his interest in the subject. Perhaps it was this personal interest in criminal sexual behaviors (which at the time included homosexuality, bisexuality, exhibitionism, sadomasochistic masturbatory practices and pornography that eventually contributed to his early death), which drew Kinsey to the attention of his impressive patrons and qualified him as the man for the job they had in view. This would certainly explain the otherwise inexplicable transformation of an undistinguished gall wasp specialist from an academic backwater into an internationally famous super-star scientist; effusively described

⁴⁷ Kinsey's *Pedophiles*. [Interviews]. *Original testimonies in the Reisman Archive, Liberty University*.

<https://www.imdb.com/title/tt3293424/>

⁴⁸ Reisman. *Stolen Honor, Stolen Innocence*. p. 149.

⁴⁹ Ibid.

⁵⁰ "The present study was undertaken because the senior author's students were bringing him, as a college teacher in biology, questions on matters of sex ... [A]lthough advice on the desirability or undesirability of particular patterns of sexual behavior was available to them from a great many sources; they had found it more difficult to obtain strictly factual information which was not biased by moral, philosophic, or social interpretations." *Female Report*. pp. 4–5.

⁵¹ James Jones quoted in *Kinsey's Paedophiles*.


⁵² A team of researchers from the natural science division of the Rockefeller Foundation conducting a literature review in the wake of Kinsey's work reported being stunned by the quantity of sexological writings, books, articles, and conferences spawned by the academic European sexual freedom movement that preceded Kinsey. So great was this body of work that "they were not ready to say whether Dr. Kinsey's work actually exceeded other work. The only thing they were sure of was that Dr. Kinsey had a large edge with respect to publicity. Thus, there is at least reasonable question as to [his] pioneering character." (Reisman. *SHSI*. p. 24: (May 7, 1951). Letter from Warren Weaver to CIB. Subject: Kinsey. p. 7. The Rockefeller Archive Center).

by one admirer as “one of the greatest scientists of the twentieth century”.⁵³ His “data” appear regularly in social science, law journals and the popular press. On Jul 31, 2020, in Psychology Today:


Kinsey published the first scientific studies of American [sexuality](#) ... he revealed that the large majority of Americans engaged in recreational sex, with many playing that way routinely. This shattered all illusions [of] Americans ... Kinsey and the parade of researchers after him found that the single most widely enjoyed type of sex is recreational—masturbation—with other recreational play fairly prevalent.⁵⁴

Unknown to the population was that Kinsey’s defense of masturbation as nonaddictive was due to his addiction to masturbation (which surely sped his untimely death from “orchitis” the brutal self-abuse of his male reproductive system discussed on page 60). Kinsey’s activities need to be understood in the context of extensive networks and partnerships in an essentially criminal enterprise (these are explained in greater detail in Section 2). Some of this network is acknowledged in the *Female Report* (1953)⁵⁵ and also by Dr. Wardell Pomeroy, Kinsey’s young co-author and sporadic sex partner, who explained:

[O]utside the boundaries of Bloomington, [Kinsey’s] best friends were scientists like himself who, in one way or another, were a part of his grand scheme ... thanks to the Rockefeller Foundation for providing ... [connections] ... into the networks and the halls of power ... in the scientific community. Thus, Kinsey corresponded with an international group of gynecologists, psychiatrists, endocrinologists, and such and Kinsey ‘employed a half-dozen good’ scientists, pediatricians or others, ‘to make experimental tests of a long series of patients’.⁵⁶



The projected Kinsey volume on our sex laws will probably be followed by one dealing with the development of sexual attitudes and behavior in children. Kinsey already has compiled extensive records on several hundred children under six years of age.



ABOVE LEFT: “KINSEY ALREADY HAS COMPILED EXTENSIVE RECORDS ON SEVERAL HUNDRED

The Columbia-Greystone Brain Research Project

In 1941, when RF began financing Kinsey’s work in Indiana, RF also began funding scientists at Columbia University who were investigating the connection between sex and the brain. This

⁵³ Justice Michael Kirby. (October 14, 2006). “Sexuality and Global Forces: Dr Alfred Kinsey and the Supreme Court of the United States”. A Branigin Lecture, presented at Indiana University on behalf of the Indiana University Institute for Advanced Study, in honor of Alfred Kinsey and the global impact of his research and the issues that it continues to raise today. Subsequently published in the *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies*. vol. 14. Summer 2007. pp. 485ff

⁵⁴ Michael Castleman. (31 July 2020). “The Three Types of Sex: How Many Do You Engage In?” *Psychology Today*. <https://www.psychologytoday.com/ca/blog/all-about-sex/202007/the-three-types-sex-how-many-do-you-engage-in>

⁵⁵ *Female Report*. Kinsey’s “detailed report” is a product of “The Columbia-Greystone Brain Research Project” as well (L. T14445) as “The Rockefeller Foundation ... grants made to the National Research Council’s Committee. We are especially indebted to Dr. Alan Gregg, formerly Director for the Medical Sciences of the Foundation, for his long-time interest and support of the project.”

⁵⁶ Judith Reisman. (2012). *Kinsey: Crimes & consequences* (4th ed.). Institute for Media Education. p. 325. fn. 104. https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5aabe48f51305ed07eef63/t/5b992d016d2a73dd96cfb05a/1536765200968/Kinsey_Crimes_and_Consequences.pdf


patronage began, with a gift of \$94,000 for vaguely-described “sex surveys.” By 1945, with the war nearing an end, the RF again gifted large research grants to elite universities, including Columbia, to be used at their discretion. Albert Deutsch’s 1951 *LOOK* magazine article explains under the headline “Mental Cases Studied” that “about two years ago” (therefore circa 1948/9):

Kinsey accepted an invitation from a group of Columbia University scientists to participate in a large-scale survey of the behavior of mental patients who have been subjected to topectomy, a modified form of the recently developed brain operation known as lobotomy.

Assisted by Pomeroy, Kinsey has been conducting an intensive study of the sexual behavior and attitudes, before and after operation, of mental patients at Rockland State Hospital in New York and Greystone Park State Hospital in New Jersey. This project will take several years to complete.⁵⁷

Kinsey’s own references to these experiments are oblique, rather than explicit. “Lobotomy, frontal” and “Columbia Greystone Brain Research Project” are each acknowledged in the index. Toward the end of the *Female Report* Kinsey mentions:

We have had the opportunity to make a long-range study of 95 patients who had been subjects for frontal lobe operations. From these patients we secured histories before the operation, obtaining a record of their sexual activities for some time prior to the operation ... We similarly obtained records from these same patients some time (a median of 3.7 years) after the operation.⁵⁸



Even though Kinsey fails to trumpet his involvement with the Columbia-Greystone Brain Research Project, taken together with Deutsch’s fuller information, this is sufficient information to place Kinsey among the RF-funded Columbia scientists who we know were conducting experiments at Greystone at the time.

The connection between Columbia and Greystone is well-established. Richard Noll’s 2006 [Encyclopedia of Schizophrenia and Other Psychotic Disorders](#) addresses psychosurgery research in 1947. “The psychiatric research scientists of Columbia University Medical Center in NYC [worked with] the psychiatric patients of the New Jersey State Hospital at Greystone Park.” In 1949 they called their group “the Columbia Greystone Associates” co-led by Fred Mettler, a professor of anatomy at Columbia University also “the CEO of the Greystone Park State Hospital.⁵⁹ Other than Mettler and Kinsey, there are few easy leads to the other members of the “association”,

In addition to his positions as CEO of Greystone Park and Professor at Columbia University, Mettler was on the board of the National Institutes of Mental Health (NIMH). His book, *Psychosurgical Problems*, represents the second report of “combined operations” of the Columbia

⁵⁷ Allen Deutsch. (1951, May 22). “Study of Sex Offenders May Improve Treatment”. *LOOK* [Magazine].

⁵⁸ Kinsey. *Female Report*. Kindle. L. 14441.

⁵⁹ John J. Webber. (1999). “Chapter 17: The original Columbia-Greystone patients two years after operation”. In Fred A. Mettler (Ed.). *Psychosurgical Problems*. Routledge. p. 324.

Greystone Associates – a group made up “predominantly of medical scientists and clinicians from Columbia University’s College of Physicians and Surgeons and the New Jersey State Hospital at Greystone Park.”⁶⁰ Throughout this book Mettler thanked Rockefeller’s Warren Weaver, mentioning in particular, “a grant (M. H. 118) from the United States Public Health Service” that permitted the apparently unfettered access of for scores of Columbia scientists to the patients in Greystone Mental Hospital.

“Mental Hospitals” is a misnomer

It is important to note in passing that the term “Mental Hospitals” is a polite fiction, serving to obscure the true nature of treatments to which inmates in such institutions (many of whom were perfectly sane) might be subjected. Such experiments were hardly secret. In a 1962 lecture delivered at Berkeley University, Aldous Huxley openly stated that mental patients had been used as subjects in brain experiments aimed at investigating methods of mind control. “The whole picture of the absolute control of the drives is terrifying ... inmates at asylums ... had these electrodes inserted into the pleasure center in their brain.”⁶¹

It appears that no one among Huxley’s audience questioned the legality of such experiments. Perhaps, in the same way that it took thirty years for anyone to question the source of Kinsey’s data relating to children, we can imagine that Huxley’s audience were simply trustingly confident that whatever was being done was consistent with the law and scientific ethics. Esteemed men of



science would hardly be expected to commit crimes against humanity, let alone openly acknowledge these in a public lecture. Further, Huxley’s audience was assured that the individuals involved in these brain control experiments really were “hopelessly insane”⁶². Perhaps ethical scientists, working for the greater good, might legitimately regard lives so compromised as expendable, in the same way that lab rats are expendable?

For whatever reason, the obvious objection that such experiments contravene the Nuremberg Code, to which America became a signatory in 1947, does not appear to have ruffled any feathers in Huxley’s Berkeley audience. The gathered intelligentsia were assured that “these techniques are not being used except in an experimental way” and the ominous implications of Huxley’s message – that “when techniques have been discovered, sooner or later, they tend to be applied ... [and] these new techniques ... do constitute a series of very powerful temptations which to those in authority may finally turn out to be irresistible”⁶³ – might easily have distracted even the conscientious from querying the plight of a few lunatics. The

⁶⁰ Fred Mettler. (1952/1999, 2000). *Psychosurgical Problems*. Taylor and Francis. Kindle Edition. “Psychosurgical Problems” represents the second report of “combined operations” of the research team known as the Columbia Greystone Associates—a group made up predominantly of medical scientists and clinicians from Columbia University ... and the New Jersey State Hospital at Greystone Park.” L. 107. See also John Marks. (1979). *The Search for the “Manchurian Candidate”: The CIA and mind control*. Allen Lane. p. 59. Strangely, neither Noll or Bellak in another key book on the subject identify any actual names of the doctors engaged in these studies, who are referred to only as “associates”. This is unusual since the leader and his crew would commonly seek prominent name recognition in such work. Leopold Bellack. (editor). (1958). *Schizophrenia, a review of the syndrome*. Logos Press, Inc. pp. 505, 511–513, 812, 904.

⁶¹ Aldous Huxley. (1962). U.C. Berkeley Speech on “The Ultimate Revolution” [August 12, 2010. https://publicintelligence.net/aldous-huxley-1962-u-c-berkeley-speech-on-the-ultimate-revolution/](https://publicintelligence.net/aldous-huxley-1962-u-c-berkeley-speech-on-the-ultimate-revolution/) [35:00ff]

⁶² Ibid. [35:00].

⁶³ Ibid [50:00ff].

important point to underscore is that experiments to explore and perfect techniques of mind control were not secret, even in 1962.

What is now known is that – even were “hopeless” insanity accepted as grounds for human experimentation (a proposition which is not acknowledged by these authors) – that Huxley’s assurances on this point were spurious. Dr. Peter Breggin has subsequently exposed the fact that, even as Huxley was delivering his lecture, “surgical interventions into the brains of small children, ages five to twelve, who were diagnosed as aggressive and hyperactive”⁶⁴ were being carried out at the “University of Mississippi-Ole Miss-in Jackson” as well as other hospitals. Howard Dully (left), for example, was lobotomized at Greystone State Mental Hospital at the age of 12 by the notorious Dr Walter Freeman (known as “the ice pick man”). Dully’s experiences are recorded in his 2006 book, *My Lobotomy*. In subsequent interviews, Dully has agreed that, although “there was no obvious necessity for this operation ... no one, not his parents, or the medical community or the state authorities had intervened to stop it”.⁶⁵

On October 2, 1995, President Clinton confirmed that 4000 “experiment[s] conducted from 1945”⁶⁶ were covered up by officialdom for “fear of embarrassment”.⁶⁷ Even in the midst of such an apology, the severity of the crime is trivialized by such language: embarrassment – must surely have ranked quite low among the concerns of the men and women involved with inhuman experiments which might, were justice to be served, have qualified them for the death penalty.



Given that violations of the Hippocratic Oath, every standard of medical ethics, Article 7 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, even the terms of the Nuremberg Code were apparently happening with complete impunity in these “mental hospitals”, it is perfectly possible that the “95 females” used in Kinsey’s study were lobotomized only for the purpose of providing him with subjects for his investigation of the impact of brain surgery on sexual “frequencies”. These “frequencies” were to be cited in Kinsey’s 1953 book on Female sexuality and a “detailed report” was promised at some later date:

The detailed report is being presented...on the Columbia-Greystone Brain Research Project. In summary, it may be pointed out that among the [95] females in the sample, the intensities of sexual arousal, the number of items that brought sexual arousal, the frequencies of sexual activities of

⁶⁴ Peter Breggin. (1994). Most of the article, “[The Antipsychosurgery Campaign, Now Called The First Violence Initiative](#)” is “adapted from *The War Against Children*”. Another article is relevant: “After hearing a spectrum of witnesses, the three judges agreed with the substance of my testimony, including the devastating effects of the most modern psychosurgery. Their official opinion cited the Nuremberg Code and used it as one reason for prohibiting consent to psychosurgery in the state mental hospitals of Michigan. The judges found that “involuntarily confined patients cannot reason as equals with doctors and administrators over whether they should undergo psychosurgery.” They declared that under First Amendment freedoms the “government has no power or right to control men’s minds, thoughts, and expressions. If the First Amendment protects the freedom to express ideas, it necessarily follows that it must protect the freedom to generate ideas.” The judges followed my testimony closely in describing how psychosurgery impairs mental and emotional functioning. <https://breggin.com/?s=the+Nuremberg+Code+>

⁶⁵ Howard Dully with Charles Fleming. (2008). *My Lobotomy: A Memoir*. Crown Publishers. p. 216.

⁶⁶ Marlene Cimons. (October 4, 1995) <https://www.latimes.com/archives/la-xpm-1995-10-04-mn-53213-story.html>

⁶⁷ Ibid.

particular sorts, and the frequencies of total sexual outlet did not show any significant change between a period antedating the institutionalization, and the period when the histories were retaken some years after the operation.⁶⁸

Throughout his work, Kinsey frames human sexuality as a purely physiological phenomenon, explicitly excluding any arguments for the importance of psychology. Since it is non-material, Kinsey regards the psyche as incapable of the systematic observation that is central to his definition of “science”.⁶⁹ In keeping with this approach, his studies demonstrate no interest in the women (and, perhaps, girls) he studied as individuals, only as interchangeable human bodies.

Perhaps this explains why Kinsey provided none of the basic demographic data that would normally be expected. His usual charts or graphs are absent; readers have no indication of the age, race or marital status of his subjects. This absence is particularly striking given that “data” (whether true or false) was a point of particular pride for Kinsey and he was always quick to criticize the lack of it in the research of others. Hundreds of charts, tables and graphs, alleging to document the most esoteric issues as well as highly controversial, intimate data⁷⁰ are strewn throughout the rest of Kinsey’s *Female Report*, as through all of his other research. The striking lack of data relating to the “95 females” in his Greystone work cannot be explained by lack of resources because he had at his disposal a significant team of “experts” who, we are told, observed and commented on the experiments.⁷¹ In the absence of this data, and the absence of other basic information such as the names of Kinsey’s “associates”, we cannot rule out the possibility that some of the “95 Females” studied by Kinsey in the Columbia-Greystone Brain Research Project were children.

Kinsey declares brain function is unrelated to human sexuality

On the question of whether and how the brain and sexual function are connected, Kinsey appears to have differed from some of his contemporaries. Drs Freeman and Watts had judged fantasy life as “mental activity at its highest and most difficult”. In a study of children after prefrontal lobotomy, special attention was given to fantasy life which Freeman and Watts found had been “smashed beyond repair” by the operation.⁷² Freeman favored adolescent brain surgery early. “His 1961 description of the lobotomy effect confirms the brain-disabling hypothesis, and especially the aim of producing a more tractable, docile person.”⁷³ This is reiterated by [Mettler et al., “With lobotomy we expect a diminished or absent reaction to delusions and hallucinations and acceptable social behavior.”](#)⁷⁴

⁶⁸ *Female Report*. p. 709.

⁶⁹ *Female Report*. pp. 642–643.

⁷⁰ His research into female nocturnal emissions is just one example of Kinsey’s rarified interests: “Even some of the best of the statistical studies of sexual behavior have failed to recognize the existence of nocturnal dreams in the female. This is curious, for it has not proved difficult to secure data on these matters. Females who have had nocturnal sex dreams seem to have no more difficulty than males in recalling them, and do not seem to be hesitant in admitting their experience. Whether or not they reach orgasm in these dreams is a matter about which few of them have any doubt”. *Ibid.* L. 5361.

⁷¹ *Ibid.* L. 14461.

⁷² Peter Breggin. (no date given). *Psychosurgery as Brain-disabling Therapy*. <http://psychrights.org/Research/Digest/Lobotomy/PBregginPsychosurgeryIsBrainDisabling.pdf>; Dan Greenberg. (1972, 23 March). More on Brain Butchers. *New Scientist*. pp. 652–653.

⁷³ *Ibid.* p. 308.

⁷⁴ Fred A. Mettler. (1952). *Psychosurgical Problems*. Reprinted 1999, 2000. Digital Printing 2007. Routledge. Taylor & Francis Group. p. 281. E-mail correspondence with Dr. Breggin May 23, 2010. “Thanks for quoting me and they look right to me.” Confirming his quote and the assessment. <http://psychrights.org/Research/Digest/Lobotomy/PBregginPsychosurgeryIsBrainDisabling.pdf>.

At variance with his colleagues, Kinsey concluded that “there is no demonstrated relation between the function of the frontal lobes of the human brain and any of the investigated aspects of sexual behavior.”⁷⁵ According to Kinsey, sexual appetites remained unaltered by lobotomy:

[E]arly reports to the effect that frontal lobe operations considerably reduced the “sex drive” of the individual and the optimistic clinical claims that the patterns of sexual behavior might be modified by such operations. The possibilities of such operations have, once again, been seized upon by those who are interested in controlling persons whose sexual activities they consider socially undesirable, and some clinical reports have encouraged the idea that homosexual could be changed into heterosexual patterns of behavior, that exhibitionists would lose their compulsions to exhibit, that highly responsive persons might become mild and relatively unresponsive.”⁷⁶

The idea that lobotomy might be used to reduce the sex drives of sex offenders would run entirely counter to Kinsey’s preferred approach to sexuality, which entailed not the restraint of the individual but the wholesale reformation of social attitudes to sex so that all types and frequencies of sexual “outlet” should be reframed as normal. Perhaps this provides a clue for at least one of the reasons Kinsey argued the opposite case to his colleagues. Since the *Female Report* provides only high-level discussion of the subject, and the promised “detailed report”, replete with all the data from his experiments which was to follow has never materialized,⁷⁷ we are left only with Kinsey’s assurances that female patients with intact frontal lobes are no different sexually from those without. He did notice a decline in male sexual activity post-lobotomy but explained this away as “the product of aging, rather than a direct effect of the operation.”⁷⁸

Kinsey acknowledges that some of his Columbia-Greystone colleagues disagreed with him. “Some of the research group working on the project felt that there had been a lessening of emotional tensions in a statistically significant portion of the group.”⁷⁹ Kinsey dismisses this idea for two reasons: “[it] did not seem certain to some of the others working on the project” and; “it seems not to have been reflected in any of the data which we have on the sexual behavior of the sample”. Once again, Kinsey presents his own conclusions as triumphant on the basis of data: “Most of the investigators have not secured anything like precise data on the sexual behavior of the patients.” However, as noted above, since the promised “detailed report” never emerged, in this Kinsey has also failed to provide data (even if such data could be relied on) to substantiate his argument.

The Courageous Young Survivor: Karen Wetmore

The fact that Kinsey was working on human experiments in Greystone and Rockland provides a possible answer to the long-standing question Reisman first posed in the 1980s – where did Kinsey get the children for his experiments? Thanks to Deutsch’s article for *LOOK* magazine, we know that Kinsey worked in both of these institutions.

⁷⁵ *Female Report*. p. 709.

⁷⁶ *Female Report*. p. 708.

⁷⁷ “The detailed report is being presented by one of us in connection with the total report on the Columbia-Greystone Brain Research Project”. (*Female Report*. p. 709).

⁷⁸ *Ibid*.

⁷⁹ *Female Report*. L. 14463.



We know that both had children's wards. We know that treatments such as lobotomy were performed on patients (including children) even without medical justification in these places. Thanks to the tireless efforts of one survivor, Karen Wetmore, we also know that other forms of extraordinary and inhuman experimentation were carried out on children unfortunate enough to be incarcerated in these misnamed "mental hospitals". More disturbingly, Wetmore's information confirms that these experiments were not the work of a few rogue scientists. Rather, they were sanctioned, commissioned and coordinated by government and administrative agencies established to protect the American public.

In 1965, at the age of thirteen, Wetmore (seen above) was sexually assaulted. The experience left her too traumatized to speak about the crime. Without understanding the cause of her trauma, she was interned at Vermont State Hospital. In adulthood, over a period of thirty years, Wetmore worked tirelessly to recover her medical records as proof of what was done to her there. Dr Colin Ross, who wrote the foreword to Wetmore's 2013 book, [*Surviving Evil: CIA Mind Control Experiments in Vermont*](#), underscores how unusual such documentary evidence is:

I have never met anyone who has worked so hard for so long to obtain solid documentation of her allegations of mind control experimentation. As you will read in these pages, Karen filed numerous Freedom of Information Act requests with the CIA and military, corresponded with numerous officials and politicians, and read a great deal of background material. Piece by piece, she assembled her facts, until she had documented a large network of professional connections, relationships and contacts between her own psychiatrists and the network of CIA and military mind control doctors.⁸⁰

Wetmore uncovered ties to the CIA and Rockland:

In the course of her research, Wetmore discovered that Vermont State Hospital was part of a network of institutions including the University of Vermont College of Medicine (UVM) and UVM Medical Center Hospital, which were collaborating on scientific research coordinated out of Rockland.⁸¹


[I] filed FOIAs with the National Institute of Mental Health and the United States Public Health Service, both of which funded the Rockland Project. No documents were located ... I contacted Rockland State Hospital in Orangeburg for Rockland Project documents ... The main processing terminal was located at Rockland State Hospital ... I obtained a Vermont Biennial Report that provided details about the Rockland Project ... a CIA project (L. 975).⁸²

⁸⁰ C.A. Ross. (2013). "Foreword" to Karen Wetmore. (2014). *Surviving Evil: CIA mind control experiments in Vermont*. Manitou Communications. (Kindle Edition: USPHS NIMH, MH-01076 and USPHS No. 1-R11-MH-01076). Rockland Project, ISD-MSIS - Patient Biographical and Clinical Update Data. December 30, 1970; February, 1971; April, 1971. (L 4140). Quoting H.P. Albarelli. (2008). *A Terrible Mistake: The murder of Frank Olson and the CIA's secret cold war experiments*. Trine Day Retrieved 17 June, 2020 from <http://www.manitoucommunications.com/Surviving-Evil/>

⁸¹ This is discussed further in Section 2 below.

⁸² Throughout this paper, "L + numbers" refers to the page location in Kindle books. Karen adds that the Rockland State Hospital was conducting CIA-Army experiments: "Rockland State Hospital was a notoriously cruel facility that had been shockingly but accurately portrayed in the 1948 award-winning film *The Snake Pit*, starring Olivia de Havilland."

The Rockland Project is currently a subject about which state and federal officials, the federal funding agencies that supplied the monies to the project, VSH, UVM, and Rockland State Hospital all share collective amnesia. (L 4810).⁸³



The medical records Wetmore eventually obtained confirmed that she was sexually, emotionally, and physically tortured by medical professionals. (The clip at left [is from one of Karen's declassified MKULTRA host "hospital" documents](#)⁸⁴ with the tip of her finger pointing to the "no seizure, Shock again tried," confirming Wetmore's report).

She was subjected to "seclusion", "straight-jacketed," given dangerous drugs and repeated electric shock "treatments" to force seizures. Wetmore has Dr. Havas' written notes that she was sent for "necessary brain studies and special treatment", and was given shock treatments "to tame her." Her records (glimpsed above) state; "Strong Seizures" "Fairly Strong "Good Seizures" "No Seizure—Shock again."

[Interviewed for a documentary on CIA-directed experiments, Wetmore explains](#) that she "slept on the floor, no blanket...naked...to break me down." She wonders why she was given LSD? "I'm sure I wasn't the only one they did this to. I survived to be able to talk about it!"⁸⁵ Clearly others did not.

When I was first placed back on the locked ward 2A, I asked an aide where another patient I had known before was. Her name was Janie. She was about my age, blond and in horrible condition ... She had terrible seizures ... I was told that, Janie died. She is a good example of what happens when you take too much LSD. When I discovered the evidence of experimental LSD use at VSH and UVM, I wondered if Janie had been a victim of the experiments. I would later find shocking evidence that Janie may not have been the only death at VSH caused by the covert experiments.⁸⁶

A passage in Wetmore's book headed "The CIA's Terminal MKULTRA Experiments in Vermont" documents unusually high deaths rate in the years of the CIA Vermont experiments. The license granted to the mental hospitals to conduct their own affairs appears to have been absolute. Those who attempted to contact Wetmore in the hospital and who might have intervened on her behalf were denied access:

Vermont Judge Henry Black was angrily turned away when he tried to visit ... as were my family and social worker who might "talk." I don't know why he ...

⁸³ Ibid.

⁸⁴ The Black Vault and the 2004 FOIA Document Release. *The Black Vault* [Website]. Retrieved 23 May, 2020, from <https://www.theblackvault.com/documentarchive/cia-mkultra-collection/#2004>

⁸⁵ *The CIA's Secret Experiments*. (February 10, 2017). <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KXHBF5O5uAM&t=2412s>.

⁸⁶ Wetmore. L. 1554.

didn't follow up ... VSH would [never] have allowed him to see me locked in seclusion and bound to a bed in a straightjacket ... for almost 2 more months.⁸⁷

Even if a child were sufficiently fortunate to survive and be released from the hospital, perhaps even missing part of their brain – who would believe horror stories impugning the honor and reputation of esteemed scientists and hospitals?

Given the extraordinary courage and tenacity required for Wetmore to eventually retrieve her documents, it is easy to imagine that many others pursuing similar inquiries may have been defeated by the bureaucratic impediments obstructing patient access to such damaging information. It is then unsurprising that Wetmore alone has managed to assemble documentary evidence – the where, what, why and by whom – of her ordeal sufficient to satisfy a court that her compensation claim is justified.⁸⁸

On the other hand, it is equally clear that Wetmore's was not an isolated case of abuse. Even [Rockland's own website admits](#) that "several unique cases of negligence and patient deaths marred its reputation."⁸⁹

1946: The Rockland "Mental Hospital" Children's Ward

As Wetmore found, private investigation into the extent of abuse at Rockland is frustrated by lack of access to information. To supplement Wetmore's documents on the Rockland joint venture, I (Reisman) searched the declassified CIA database but found no records on Rockland Mental Hospital. Reaching out to Rockland authorities yielded no information about its early years. Comments of [Rockland patients from the 1950s and their families posted to the Rockland website](#) support Wetmore's memory of widespread use of abuse, drugging and early death of other inmates. One commenter even mentions somewhat caustically about Rockland "there's a graveyard also over by the golf course where there are a number of patients who are buried."⁹⁰

Videotapes Rockland's "Nightmare" Children's Ward

Alex James, an amateur cinematographer posted footage of the abandoned Rockland facility, including the children's ward, on YouTube.⁹¹ James recorded battered toys, children's puzzles, and electroshock chairs left behind in the discarded ward. He uncovers a weird vintage video on electroshock with vignettes of (L to R), a straightjacketed boy; two crumpled boys on the ground, a

⁸⁷ Ibid.

⁸⁸ Ibid. "Suddenly things made sense to me. When the State offered me three times the amount they initially offered to settle after I ended the lawsuit ... I repeatedly asked Alan [my lawyer] why they would even pay me a single cent. They didn't have to because I ended the suit ... He knew that eventually I would find out about his "sexual misconduct." I was left to surmise that this was why the State paid me the amount they finally did." Amount undisclosed. L. 1337-1344.


⁸⁹ Filmmaker of "Untapped Cities" James Garcia, shared his photos of the center's abandoned complex in the public interest.

⁹⁰ Comments from former patients include the following: [09/06/2016 at 9:50 pm](#) "a patient when 5 years old, abuse and fear i suffered in the children's wards"; [06/26/2016 at 7:01pm](#): "patient in late 50's, 400 milligrams of Thorazine twice a day as punishment, other patients died"; [09/09/2016 at 11:22am](#) "I was a patient there because I was a wayward child ... trust me they are still killing patients with medication ... there's a graveyard also over by the golf course where there are a number of patients who are buried"; [08/02/2015 at 5:33am](#) "You don't know the half of it. I am a witness to what went on there as a small not crazy kid, it may look nice but it wasn't."

⁹¹ Alex James Films. (2017, June 21). *The Abandoned Rockland Psychiatric Center (The Modern Abuse of Patients)*. YouTube. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x0rNcxIH_I8. Another video documentary about Rockland can be found here: "[The Abandoned Rockland Psychiatric Center in Orangeburg, NY is Now the Stuff of Nightmares](#)" Last accessed July 30, 2020 from <https://untappedcities.com/2015/06/25/once-a-bustling-state-hospital-the-abandoned-rockland-psychiatric-center-is-now-the-stuff-of-nightmares/>

nude, shrunken black youth, a white child and a nude white lad crouched on the floor and a drugged, black youth being fed some kind of cereal.⁹²

These and the photos below (electroshock chairs) are consistent Wetmore’s claims of electroshocks used on children. All of this only raises further questions like those raised by Kinsey’s



work: When were these videos taken? Who were these children? Where are they now? These images, together with the comments of former patients on the Rockland website, and Wetmore’s evidence, demand a serious, immediate, official inquiry.

Given what we now know, it is logical to conclude that the children Kinsey experimented on sexually to provide the data for his famous Tables were likely to have been, like Wetmore, sourced in institutions like the children’s wards of Rockland and Greystone Park. The sexual abuse of inmates by staff within institutions of this sort was not uncommon.⁹³ Perhaps the babies Kinsey (from as young as “2 mo. old”) that were, according to Kinsey’s tables, masturbated for hours continuously had been born to hospital inmates. These women, lobotomized, drugged and otherwise traumatized, might even believe nurses or doctors who explained their baby had died at birth.⁹⁴

⁹² The presenter is seen pulling this “training video” in a junk pile. Locating an old working VCR player, he inserts the tape while a voice-over narrates what the tape ostensibly said. Clearly the child images are real, leaving many questions. I tried but failed to reach the videographer. The clips from the tape appeared to be associated with the “Anti-Shock Trousers” training film ostensibly found at Rockland [0:30, 0.53, etc.]. Mentally retarded children housed at the Willowbrook State School in Staten Island, New York, were intentionally given hepatitis [in their morning cereal] in an attempt to track the development of the viral infection. The study began in 1956 and lasted for 14 years. Stephen Goldby, Saul Krugman, M.H. Pappworth and Geoffrey Edsall. (April 19, May 8, June 5, and July 10, 1971). *The Willowbrook Letters: Criticisms and Defense*. *The Lancet*. https://www.Qcc.Cuny.Edu/Socialsciences/Ppecorino/Medical_Ethics_Text/Chapter_7_Human_Experimentation/Case_Study_Willowbrook_Experiments.htm

⁹³ One of the early exposés, followed by many dozens of later such books was [Kenneth Wooden](#). (1975). *Weeping in the Playtime of Others: America's Incarcerated Children*, Ohio State University. Wooden visited correctional facilities in thirty states where juveniles between the ages of five and sixteen were being held. During his research he uncovered an astoundingly high incidence of emotional and physical abuse, torture, and commercial exploitation of the children by their keepers, individuals who received public funds to care for them. After observing the brutal treatment of these youths, a significant number of whom were not criminals but runaways or mentally disabled.

⁹⁴ Similar stories from the period abound. From 1924–1950, Georgia Tann ran the Tennessee Children’s Home Society; ostensibly an orphanage, investigations found this was in fact a front for organized baby-stealing and black-market orphans. Similarly, The Ideal Maternity Home run by William and Lila Yong in Nova Scotia, Canada from the late 1920s through at least the late 1940s furnished the American black market with babies taken from single mothers (even from married couples who were later told that their baby had

Whatever they were told, they would have been powerless to protect their children. Any children who died in the course of the experiments – and, given the use of electric shock in these experiments, *it is likely some did* – could easily be buried “over by the golf course” without attracting attention.⁹⁵

Consistent with Wetmore’s evidence, H.P. Albarelli (dec.) an investigative journalist, reported Rockland was shockingly, but accurately portrayed in the 1948 award-winning film the *Snake Pit*.

After the film was released to appalled audiences, State of New York officials decided that Rockland needed a public relations “overhaul” including ... a research component directed by Doctor Nathan Klein [sic],⁹⁶ a flamboyant, widely respected psychiatrist. Kline had once seriously proposed placing lithium, a mood stabilizer used for treatment of bipolar disorder in the nation’s drinking water ... [his] drug research had included heroin that came from “the Federal Bureau of Narcotics.”⁹⁷

If Kline was intended to be the new public relations face of Rockland, brought in to turn the public mind from misdeeds of the past, then he was a poor choice. Kline was yet another CIA contractor who, as Albarelli recounts, performed experiments supported by the CIA and Army with drugs provided primarily by the CIA at New York’s Rockland State Hospital.

Touted as a reformer, Kline appears simply to have carried on the work of his predecessors. Perhaps it is unsurprising that, as Wetmore wryly observes: “The Rockland Project is currently a subject about which state and federal officials, the federal funding agencies that supplied the monies to the project, VSH, UVM, and Rockland State Hospital all share a collective amnesia. (L 4150).⁹⁸



“Dr. Kline signed a consent degree in Federal court to stop using experimental drugs on patients and in his research....in some cases, without the informed consent of patients being treated. Dr. Kline performed most of his research at the Rockland State Hospital’s Research Center, which became the Rockland Research Institute.”⁹⁹

died). “Unmarketable babies” were quietly killed and their bodies burned or buried. (A movie about this, *The Butterbox Babies*, starring Susan Clark was released in 1995.) Catholic nuns all over the world similarly ministered to the needs of single mothers and participated in a “grey market” in which “a donation to the orphanage” was exchanged for a baby. Many of the DuPlessis orphans used as experimental subjects by Dr Cameron in Canada were sourced from Catholic orphanages.

⁹⁵ Mettler’s notes document the deaths of certain of the experimental subjects at Rockland. Since they are described only as “persons” it is impossible to ascertain whether these were children or not: “[D]eath of case 24 is discussed in Columbia Greystone Associates (’49). Case 33 died 8/28/49 ... No autopsy was obtained. (Preoperative record from, “Selective Partial Ablation of the Frontal Cortex,” by The Columbia Greystone Associates).

⁹⁶ NYT obituary correct spelling for Nathan Kline--correctly identified from this point on.


<https://www.nytimes.com/1983/02/14/obituaries/nathan-kline-developer-of-antidepressants-dies.html> and “He worked at Rockland State Hospital in Orangeburg, New York from 1952 until his death ... He got a grant to examine a drug’s effects with no idea of “how it would work in the brain ... they selected hundreds of schizophrenic patients without obtaining consent.” Kline reported that all went well. <https://sites.duke.edu/ginalisgh323/sample-page>.

⁹⁷ Karen Wetmore. (2014). See also H.P. Albarelli. (2011). *A Terrible Mistake: The murder of Frank Olson and the CIA’s secret cold war experiments*. Trine Day, p. 369. <https://truthout.org/authors/hp-albarelli-ir/>

⁸⁰ Wetmore. *Surviving Evil*. L 4150.

⁹⁹ Lindsey Gruson. (14 Feb. 1983). [“NATHAN KLINE, DEVELOPER OF ANTIDEPRESSANTS, DIES.”](https://www.nytimes.com/1983/02/14/obituaries/nathan-kline-developer-of-antidepressants-dies.html) *New York Times*.

Cameron, Canada's Mind Control Experimenter



As well as funding these brutal studies in the U.S., RF fully supported the work of Dr D. Ewen Cameron in Canada. Cameron was the first Director of the Allan Memorial Institute (the psychiatric facility at McGill University in Canada), where he specialized in brain experiments involving both adults and children. Like so many other scientists whose work was funded by RF,

Cameron rose to the very highest ranks of his profession. He was elected President of several prestigious groups, each with tentacles in brain “research”; the American Psychiatric Association (1952–53), the Canadian Psychiatric Association (1958–59), the American Psychopathological Association (1963) and the World Psychiatric Association (1961–66).

No one appears to have questioned this well-funded psychopath. At least until 1965, Cameron was conducting procedures known as “psychic driving” and “depatterning” that attempted to erase a patient’s memories and reprogram them with new beliefs, realities.¹⁰⁰ In the 1940s and ‘50s, Canadian government policy provided greater subsidies for children confined to mental institutions than for children in schools. To qualify for such federal funds, Catholic orphanages in the province of Quebec that had previously operated as schools were transformed overnight into mental institutions. The 20,000 children in their care (now known as the “Duplessis orphans”) were deliberately wrongly certified as insane.¹⁰¹ These children provided a plentiful supply of human



subjects for Cameron’s experiments and the benefits of this supply were shared with Cameron’s American collaborators. A letter identifies Cameron as one of Kinsey’s special “scientifically trained” colleagues¹⁰² who provided him with information on children. Further, Pomeroy mentions that Kinsey used “Dr. Cameron’s Institute ... as a good source for human guinea pigs ... [Cameron] favored the scientific approach.”¹⁰³ The connection between Cameron and Kinsey dates from at least August 1947, when Dr Cameron

invited Kinsey to lecture at McGill University in Montreal on the subject of “Sexual Customs.”¹⁰⁴

Given the degree of criminality involved, it is hardly surprising that even partial revelations about the mental torture inflicted on Cameron’s “patients” have been incredibly hard-fought. As Wetmore found in the U.S., the Canadian government’s response to requests for information has

¹⁰⁰ The Fifth Estate. (2017). “Brainwashed: The Secret CIA Experiments in Canada”. [video documentary]. Retrieved June 17, 2020, from <https://www.cbc.ca/fifth/episodes/2017-2018/brainwashed-the-secret-cia-experiments-in-canada>

¹⁰¹ “Canada’s Human Rights History.” “the Quebec government extended...individual compensation of \$10,000 plus \$1,000 for each year spent in an asylum (1,500 people qualified for compensation). The Duplessis Orphans’ Committee accepted the offer, and the government provided an additional \$26 million compensation in 2006.” <https://historyofrights.ca/encyclopaedia/main-events/duplessis-orphans/> Clément, Dominique. “page title or document title.” *Canada’s Human Rights History*. www.HistoryOfRights.ca (August 8, 2020, date accessed).

¹⁰² Rockefeller-funded Muller joined Kinsey’s biology department in 1946; see in Reisman. *Stolen Honor, Stolen Innocence*. p. 68, fn. 1.

¹⁰³ Judith Reisman. *Kinsey: Crimes & consequences*, p. 325, fn. 104. Quoting Gordon Thomas. (1989). *Journey into Madness: The True Story of the Secret CIA Mind Control and Medical Abuse*. Bantam Books.

¹⁰⁴ Reisman, *Stolen Honor, Stolen Innocence*. p. 328. fn. 104.

been less than open and honest; attempts by family members to access medical records have been slow and obstructed; such settlements as have been awarded have typically been accompanied with suppression orders.



Survivors began to come forward from the 1970s but the scandal was only exposed to the public in 1980 through the work of CBC News investigative journalist, Elizabeth Thompson. Noticing a single line in government records relating to “compensation” paid to someone who had been “depattered” at the Allan Memorial Institute, Thompson decided to follow this up. Her subsequent conversations with Alan Stein, a lawyer who has represented MKULTRA survivors in the past, resulted in the series of programs subsequently aired on CBC’s program, *The Fifth Estate*.¹⁰⁵

Section 2: Developing methods of mind control



So far, we have certainly connected Kinsey with unethical human experiments in Rockland, Greystone Park as well as (probably) in Canada and other places. It is clear that these programs of human experimentation were only part of a significantly more extensive network of projects. All of this raises the question of how such experiments – funded by the philanthropic RF – could have been sustained on such a vast scale and over such an extended period of time without attracting the attention and intervention of law enforcement.

If such unethical practices are rumored in corrupt totalitarian regimes, many will be shocked to find such widespread corruption of the very institutions that are entrusted with care of the public in “the land of the free.”

More shocking still, these abuses cannot be explained away simply as a failure of administrative oversight that ought to have curtailed the excesses of isolated rogue individuals. Rather, the academics who published papers in scientific journals and presented conference papers detailing their research were CIA/MKULTRA contractors, carrying out functions that were fully endorsed, funded, protected and promoted, by the highest authorities in the land. Section 2 now examines the wider historical context of U.S. government mind control experiments of which Kinsey’s work was a part.

¹⁰⁵ See, for example, The Fifth Estate. (2017). *Brainwashed: The Secret CIA Experiments in Canada*. YouTube. Retrieved 17/06/2020 from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=i82trFGtY24&t=5s>; Lisa Ellenwood. (May 21, 2018). Group affected by CIA brainwashing experiments wants public apology, compensation from government. *CBC NEWS*. Retrieved May20, 2020 from <https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/project-mkultra-families-meet-1.4662321>

1941–53: Operation MKULTRA

Continuing work they had begun during WWII, the Office of Strategic Services (OSS), which later became the CIA, worked to develop techniques of mind control. A 1977 *New York Times* exposé explained the CIA project that later became MKULTRA in this way:

The original research was spurred by the conviction – later proved unfounded – that the Russians and Chinese had developed brainwashing and mind-control devices. But the C.I.A. quickly turned to seeing an offensive use for behavior control. It sought to crack the mental defenses of enemy agents – to be able to program them and its own operatives to carry out any mission even against their will and ‘against such fundamental laws of nature as self-preservation’.¹⁰⁶

In 1951, Sidney Gottlieb joined this team and was given charge “the Artichoke Project”, in which unwilling patients were “dosed with potent drugs, subjected to extremes of temperature and sound, high and low pressure, oxygen, food and sleep deprivation, strapped to electroshock machines.”¹⁰⁷ In 1952, Allen W. Dulles (who was already an admirer of Gottlieb’s work) was appointed Director of the CIA. Dulles rolled Project Artichoke into “Operation MKULTRA”, which was officially launched on April 13, 1953.

As America’s “mind control czar”, Gottlieb was handed permission to launch new subprojects at will. Initially practicing on foreign nationals in overseas locations, where the disposal of bodies was less unproblematic, Gottlieb soon ran out of guinea pigs. He turned to kidnapping American drug users and petty criminals – “people who wouldn’t be missed and wouldn’t complain”.¹⁰⁸ Prisoners were bribed to submit to testing. “In another project, children between the ages of six and eleven, who’d been diagnosed with schizophrenia, were given LSD every day for six weeks ... Mentally ill children were fed cereal laced with uranium and radioactive calcium.” All of this is consistent with what we know of Rockland and Greystone. In addition a “secret CIA clinic was set up inside a Washington Hospital and while little is known of the work done there it was all on patients who were terminally ill ... Brothels were set up and wired to study how the combination of sex and drugs impacted on men’s willingness to divulge secrets ... Doctors were paid enormous stipends to administer drugs to their unwitting patients on Gottlieb’s behest.”¹⁰⁹

By the mid-fifties CIA-sponsored ‘subprojects’ were being conducted in institutions including, Massachusetts General Hospital, Mount Sinai Hospital, the Universities of Pennsylvania, Minnesota, Denver, Illinois, Oklahoma, Rochester, Texas and Indiana as well as Berkeley, Harvard, Columbia, MIT,

¹⁰⁶ Nicholas M. Horrock et al. (August 22, 1977). “Private Institutions Used in C.I.A. Effort to Control Behavior”. *New York Times*. Retrieved July 25, 2020 from <https://mikemcclaughry.files.wordpress.com/2016/06/private-institutions-used-in-cia-effort-to-control-behavior-ny-time-august-2-1977.pdf>. John Marks. (2008). Chapter 11: Hypnosis. *The Search for the Manchurian Candidate* explains that, beginning in 1951, Morse Allen tried to create a “Manchurian Candidate” ... hypnotizing a secretary to kill ... When out of her trance, “she had apparent amnesia for the event, denying she would ever shoot anyone.” <https://druglibrary.net/schaffer/lsd/marks.htm>

¹⁰⁷ Laura Collins. (December 5, 2019). “How CIA scientist Sidney Gottlieb – the ‘most prolific torturer of his generation’ – used potent drugs, extreme temperatures, food and sleep deprivation and electroshock for his mind control experiments on human ‘expendables’”. *Daily Mail*. Retrieved July 15, 2020 from <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-7752125/How-CIA-scientist-Sidney-Gottlieb-became-the-prolific-torturer-generation.html>

¹⁰⁸ *Ibid.*

¹⁰⁹ Collins. *op. cit.*

Stanford, Baylor, Emory, George Washington, Vanderbilt, Cornell, John Hopkins, New York University.¹¹⁰

When John McClone was appointed Director of the CIA in 1961, he ordered a report into MKULTRA and its work. In response to the “Inspector General’s Report On MKULTRA” (which was eventually submitted in 1963), McClone recommended increased oversight of Gottlieb’s work. Gottlieb reportedly responded by suggesting that the project simply be allowed to fade away. In fact, the project continued. Wetmore’s medical records from 1965 clearly show that the CIA/MKULTRA was still operating at the University of Vermont College of Medicine (UVM), UVM Medical Center Hospital and the Vermont State Hospital (VSH).¹¹¹ Documents uncovered by investigative journalists in 1977 showed that MKULTRA and similar projects under different codenames remained in operation for at least 25 years.¹¹²

The secrecy of operations during this time was (and still is) profound. As Laura Collins, an investigative reporter for the *Daily Mail* writes, “Only two people really knew what was going on – Gottlieb and his deputy Richard Lashbrook. They wrote as little down as possible and prided themselves on the fact that the right hand, quite deliberately, didn’t know what the left hand was doing.”¹¹³ In 1973 amid the government-wide panic over Watergate, Richard Helms (then Director of the CIA), ordered all the MKULTRA files destroyed. In 1975 and ’77, Gottlieb was called to testify before senatorial and congressional committees, which he agreed to do in return for the promise of immunity from prosecution.¹¹⁴ In addition, in 1977 it was found that 2000 MKULTRA documents had escaped the purge because they had been filed separately from the rest as financial documents. These documents, the 1963 *Inspector General’s Report*, the testimony of and the other proceedings of the 1975 and 1977 congressional and senatorial commissions into the CIA’s MKULTRA remain the best sources of information about these programs.

It emerges from these sources that MKULTRA performed its clandestine work was by penetrating prestigious research centers and using these to channel its funds:

‘[T]he research and development’ phase was conducted through standing arrangements with ‘specialists in universities, pharmaceutical houses, hospitals, state and federal institutions, and private research organizations’ in a manner which concealed ‘from the institution the interests of the CIA’. Only a few ‘key individuals’ in each institution were ‘made witting of Agency sponsorship’. The research and development phase was succeeded by a phase involving ‘physicians, toxicologists, and other specialist in mental, narcotics, and general hospitals and prisons, who were provided the products and findings of the basic research projects and proceed with intensive testing on human subjects.’¹¹⁵

¹¹⁰ Collins. op. cit.

¹¹¹ Hamsher. op. cit.

¹¹² Nicholas M. Horrock et al. (August 22, 1977). “Private Institutions Used in C.I.A. Effort to Control Behavior”. *New York Times*. Retrieved July 25, 2020 from <https://mikemcclaughry.files.wordpress.com/2016/06/private-institutions-used-in-cia-effort-to-control-behavior-ny-time-august-2-1977.pdf>

¹¹³ Collins. op. cit.


¹¹⁴ (August 8, 1977). "Project MKUltra, the Central Intelligence Agency's Program of Research into Behavioral Modification. Joint Hearing before the Select Committee on Intelligence and the Subcommittee on Health and Scientific Research of the Committee on Human Resources, United States Senate, Ninety-Fifth Congress, First Session" (PDF). U.S. Government Printing Office (The New York Times website).

¹¹⁵ Church Committee citing the Investigator General’s 1963 report. (April 26, 1976). “Final Report of the Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations with respect to Intelligence Activities”. Book 1. p. 422. United States Senate, 94th Congress, 2nd Session.

The *New York Times* reporters named, in particular, the Foundation for Medical Research in Washington DC, the Society for the Investigation of Human Ecology (disbanded in 1965) and the Josiah Macy Junior Foundation as CIA front organisations.¹¹⁶

Despite these public revelations about MKULTRA's work, requests such as Wetmore's for further information have been met with a standard response: the CIA does not confirm or deny the existence of requested documents; any such documents (whose existence is not admitted) remain classified.¹¹⁷

Connecting "Sex Scientist" Kinsey with MKULTRA



The evidence to suggest Kinsey's work was part of Gottlieb's MKULTRA program, and the evidence to show many of these MKULTRA were funded by RF with funds sometimes funneled through an intermediary organization, is substantial. First, Deutsch's *LOOK* article has underscored Kinsey's connection with locations known to host CIA's MKULTRA experiments, such as Rockland and Greystone. Kinsey worked in these places with teams of scientists drawn from Universities associated with CIA MKULTRA initiatives. Columbia was identified as a locus of CIA operations by John Marks, a former CIA agent, in 1979.¹¹⁸

On April 17, 1980, the *Columbia Daily Spectator* reported that the CIA had directed research projects at Columbia University in the 1950s and '60s, sometimes channeling funds through "independent" organizations like the National Science Foundation. Kinsey's involvement with the Greystone-Columbia Brain Research Project places him squarely in this fold. The National Science Foundation,¹¹⁹ as stated above, funded Kinsey. Also, Mettler, who co-led the Columbia-Greystone project and held positions of influence in each institution, acknowledged the help of Warren Weaver (leader of the RF's program of natural sciences)¹²⁰ and mentioned his gratitude for "a grant (M. H. 118) from the United States Public Health Service".¹²¹

Wetmore's research identifies Rockland as another nerve center for CIA operations. Although Karen was incarcerated in Vermont, as she explains in her report, the Vermont State Hospital (VSH), the University of Vermont College of Medicine (UVM) and Rockland State Hospital all worked collaboratively on the same CIA MKULTRA programs:

During my investigation, I learned that the computer-generated information was a component of the Rockland Project. In the 2000s, I would learn that the Rockland Project, a \$6.1 million dollar sophisticated computer network that

Report No. 94-755. Retrieved August 11, 2020 from:

http://www.aarclibrary.org/publib/church/reports/book1/html/ChurchB1_0215b.htm

¹¹⁶ See also Jim Keith. (2003). *Mass Control: Engineering human consciousness*. Free Press. pp. 60, 209 and 273.

¹¹⁷ Wetmore details her many FOIAs which have been met with stonewalling on the grounds that the information (if it even exists, which is not admitted) is classified. (Hamsher. op. cit.). See also *Lawsuit Forces CIA Confession on MKULTRA Program*. Steemit. Retrieved May 20, 2020 from <https://steemit.com/steemit/@dannquest/lawsuit-forces-cia-confession-on-MKULTRA-program>

¹¹⁸ John Marks. (October 31, 2018). "Marks, Former State Department Intelligence Officer." *New York Times*.

¹¹⁹ Wetmore. Ibid. L. 4118-9.


¹²⁰ https://rockfound.rockarch.org/biographical/-/asset_publisher/6ygcKECN1nb/content/warren-weaver

¹²¹ Mettler. op. cit. L. 117.

connected to sites in several states, including Washington DC, was a project no one in the state or federal government wanted to talk about. (L. 932-4)¹²²

The VSH-UVM research relationship with Rockland State Hospital included sending biographical and clinical patient updates using the Rockland Project computer data system. [They would] send the PAS¹²³ of children at VSH directly to John Gittinger at CIA headquarters in Washington, DC.¹²⁴

Gittinger was, of course, on the CIA payroll. His work spanned several MKULTRA subprojects. Like Gottlieb, Gittinger was called to testify on the subject before the 1977 Church Committee. From



1952 Kline, working with the CIA, was in charge of the research unit at Rockland State Hospital, which later became the Rockland Psychiatric Center.

The connection between Cameron in Canada and Kinsey in Indiana has already been noted and both, it would seem, received funds through the Society for the Investigation of Human Ecology (a CIA front). The (now declassified but with some information still redacted) CIA file “[MORI ID: 17467](#)”, which details MKULTRA Subproject 67, shows that the Society for the Investigation of Human Ecology was funding research at the “[word redacted] Institute of Sex Research” at the University of Indiana and that the appointed “Monitor” for this work was none other than Gottlieb himself.

MKULTRA [Subproject 67](#):

MORI ID: [17467](#)

Contractor: **** Institute of Sex Research

Contractor’s Clearance:?

Location: University of Indiana

Years: 1957

CIA Monitors: Sidney Gottlieb and ****

¹²² Wetmore. (2014). op. cit. L. 4810 and L. 4476.

¹²³ Ibid. “On December 21–22, 1965, I was given a psychological test by Dr. Donald Eldred. The three-page summary of that test would show that the test was a PAS or a Psychological Assessment System. PAS was a specific psychological test created by CIA Chief Psychologist, John Gittinger. The PAS was routinely used by CIA to learn how to compromise and exploit a person’s weaknesses. It was used to “get at” people.” L. 751. [Note, PAS is the acronym for John Gittinger’s “Personality Assessment System”].

¹²⁴ Op. cit. L 4146.

Cover/Cut-out: Society for the Investigation of Human Ecology.¹²⁵

The records are fragmentary and relate to expenses for the project. One “Memorandum for the Record” explains that, some of the work commissioned by the CIA included “Library searches, consultation and assessment and evaluation of data.”¹²⁶ Since such prosaic work would hardly have called for the personal guidance of the CIA’s “mind control czar,” it is reasonable to assume that this is an inaccurate representation of the work in fact being conducted; just as Deutsch’s description of Kinsey’s “interviews” was a cover for highly criminal activity. Although the record is dated 1957, the wording suggests that this is formalizing work and funding that has been ongoing, rather than work that was being newly commissioned.

When it is understood that Kinsey’s activities were orchestrated by the RF (which is clearly acknowledged), and funded and protected by the CIA then the otherwise unaccountable failure of the CIA and/or the FBI to investigate Kinsey and his team is fully explained. Both agencies reassured the government that they were watching Kinsey closely.¹²⁷ It seems the term “supervise” would have been more accurate.

The evidence supports the view that the CIA provided funding for Kinsey’s work (through the Society for the Investigation of Human Ecology), arranged access to the children he needed for experiments and ensured immunity from exposure or prosecution: Kinsey tortured (and possibly killed) children in mental hospitals with the blessing of the CIA.

Dr. Eldon Byrd, of the U.S. Navy, is quoted in 2001 on “Targeted Justice,” confirming that the CIA had perfected mind control from the early 1950s and is even now deploying this capability in ways that are treasonous.

Is Mind Control Possible? Absolutely. There is a mountain of evidence ... Today we know there are technologies that can induce sound into the brain at a distance, can monitor and alter brainwaves at a distance, can alter behavior at a distance, can induce images into the brain at a distance, can target individual organs at a distance. Can disrupt the calcium ions binding on individual cell surfaces at a distance, creating pain and other effects anywhere in the body. Mind control technology exists, without a question.¹²⁸

The CIA perfected mind control by 1954. This fact is documented in de-classified files and has been published in numerous books. It is a startling fact that most people are unaware of. The CIA program that developed mind control is called "MKULTRA," and its experiments continue to this day. The CIA is using subliminal messaging, implants, and as well as secondary personalities to alter everything the selected human person does.

Today, such knowledge, beyond the old-fashioned techniques of blackmail and bribery can be weaponized for use on vulnerable Senators, Congressmen, and

¹²⁵ “MK-Ultra & Mind Control.” <https://www.targetedjustice.com/mk-ultra.html>

¹²⁶ See image above.

¹²⁷ Both the FBI and the CIA had records on Kinsey, one seen in this paper later on.

¹²⁸ Targeted justice. Retrieved August 10, 2020; <https://www.targetedjustice.com/eu-gangstalking-chief.html>

even a sitting President. These are acts of Treason against the government of “we the people.”¹²⁹

CIA “Enhanced Interrogation Techniques” and Kinsey

When the CIA’s use of “Enhanced Interrogation Techniques” (EIT) on at least a hundred¹³⁰ foreign terrorist suspects (2002–2009) was revealed to the public the news caused a scandal.

(On the next page, a December 13, 2012 letter from Sen. Dianne Feinstein (D-CA), of the Select Committee on Intelligence to US President Barak Obama, enclosing a report of the *Committee Study of the Central Intelligence Agencies Detention and Interrogation Program*).

In the following pages we document a similar program to force EIT “responses” by Kinsey and his medical, psychological, cinematic, etc. *public* team (below, 7 man team, 5 female staff) torturing ~2,035 immobilized American infant and child captives).

The public team’s work regalia was “academic,” not military but (as Section 3 will show) the CIA was a common factor in the RF-Kinsey team’s child interrogations and that of the suspected terrorists. While we know that “in at least one instance, the “Army” tortured a prisoner to death,”¹³¹



Mass distributed publicity photograph of Kinsey's group as orthodox middle-American scholars. Note: second woman standing on the left, wearing horn-rimmed glasses, who poses as Kinsey's "typical female interviewee" for *Sexual Behavior in the Human Female*.

we do not know how many infants and children died as a result of similar CIA MKULTRA techniques employed in the child experiments. Forced masturbation by self or others (as in the elided image of an naked prisoner at right) was an abuse “pattern” of EIT programs




NAKED PRISONS FORCED TO MASTURBATE FOR GUARDS

“developed at sites like the Guantanamo Bay ... and at other “black sites” around the world.”¹³² Masturbatory abuse was also a pattern used by Kinsey et al. (above) to populate his child tables.

Of 28 EIT variables, 5 (18%) were *not used* by Kinsey (i.e.; no sensory stimuli, hypnosis, arrest, waterboarding, medical help). Hence, 82% of CIA EIT and Kinsey EIT are of a “fit”.

Of 28 EIT CIA torture techniques, Kinsey and his pedosadist colleagues used 23 EIT on ~2,035 children. Although the forms of torture employed are comparable, their treatment has differed starkly. The torture of terrorist suspects has been exposed by the media and (rightly) decried by the American public and



¹²⁹ Richard Lighthouse, <https://www.righthouse.com/mk-ultra.html>, <https://www.wanttoknow.info/mindcontrolinformation> “MK-Ultra & Mind Control.” <https://www.targetedjustice.com/mk-ultra.html>

¹³⁰ Greg Miller, Karen de Young and Julie Tate. (June 14, 2016). “[Newly released CIA files expose grim details of agency interrogation program](https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/energy-environment/wp/2016/06/14/newly-released-cia-files-expose-grim-details-of-agency-interrogation-program/).” *The Washington Post*. Retrieved June 16, 2016.

¹³¹ April 30, 2001. <https://www.history.com/this-day-in-history/abu-ghraib-graphic-photos-abuse-torture-released-iraq>.

¹³² Ibid. <https://www.history.com/this-day-in-history/abu-ghraib-graphic-photos-abuse-torture-released-iraq>.

officially condemned.¹³³ To date, Kinsey’s outrages against babies and children have been comprehensively ignored and shielded from official investigation. Kinsey himself has been consistently misrepresented as a hero to the American public. A major 2019 film exposing the controversial EIT investigation post 9/11 lamented “the lengths to which a brutal secret was hidden from the American public” for nearly a decade.¹³⁴ There was “notable derogatory information” about some of those assigned to the project who “had reportedly admitted to sexual assault.”¹³⁵ Yet the same “brutal secret” lies behind Kinsey’s “interrogation techniques”, practiced on up to 2,035 American children¹³⁶ has been hidden from the public for seven decades.

Type of torture	“Terrorists”	Kinsey Child Victims	Ages of Victims	How many children
sleep deprivation.	Several adult men	All 2 035 children	2 mon. to 14 yrs.	T. 30 = 273
round-the-clock interrogations	Several adult men	3 child victims T. 34	2 mon. to 14 yrs.	T. 31 = 317
Restraints, hands, leg shackles.	Roughly 100 men	All 2,035 “shackled”	2 mon. to 14 yrs.	T. 32 = 188
Learned Helplessness	Yes	Yes	2 mon. to 14 yrs.	T. 33 = 182 + 64
Kept Naked	Over 100	Yes see Tables	2 mon. to 14 yrs.	T. 34 = 29
sleep deprived	All	Yes see Tables	5 mon. to 13 yrs.	1,888 = Jonson & Mann
rectal feeding	Yes	Yes some anal sodomy	2 mon. to 14 yrs.	147 girls + J&M
arrest	Yes	Not formally	2 mon. to 14 yrs.	1,888 + 147 girls
Detention	Yes	Yes 2.035	2 mon. to 14 yrs.	1,888 + 147 girls
No sensory stimuli	Yes	No	2 mon. to 14 yrs.	1,888 + 147 girls
Solitary confinement	Yes	Yes, 2,035	2 mon. to 14 yrs.	1,888 + 147 girls
Fear	Yes	Yes, 2,035	2 mon. to 14 yrs.	1,888 + 147 girls
Threats	Yes	Yes, 2,035	2 mon. to 14 yrs.	As above
Pain	Yes	Yes 2,035	2 mon. to 14 yrs.	As above
Debility	Yes	Yes 2,035	2 mon. to 14 yrs.	As above
Hypnosis	Yes	Unclear	Perhaps	Unknown
Induced Regression	Yes	Yes 2,035	2 mon. to 14 yrs.	As above
Sex Abuse	Yes	Yes 2,035	2 mon. to 14 yrs.	As above
Bodily spasms	Yes	Yes 2,035	2 mon. to 14 yrs.	As above
Hysterical pleas ¹³¹	Yes	Yes 2,035	2 mon. to 14 yrs.	As above
cuts and bruises ¹³²	Yes	Yes 2,035	2 mon. to 14 yrs.	As above
Abrasions; pelvis, legs, face ¹³³	Yes	Yes 2,035	2 mon. to 14 yrs.	As above
Death	Yes	Certainly, Unknown #	Perhaps	
21 hr. video; filming abuse	Yes	Yes 2,035	2 mon. to 14 yrs.	As above
Waterboarding	Yes	NO	NO	As above
Abuse Timed	No	Yes 2,035	2 mon. to 14 yrs.	As above
Medical called	Yes	NO 2,035	2 mon. to 14 yrs.	As above
Induced Epileptic fits	No	Probable 2,035	2 mon. to 14 yrs.	As above

How widespread was human experimentation in the US?

Without an official investigation it is impossible to determine how widespread the use of the unsuspecting American population for MKULTRA experiments might have been. If information comes to light at all, it is normally fragmentary and decades after the fact.¹³⁷ Such information rarely provokes the level of public outrage commensurate with the crime. Wetmore has discovered, for example, that despite her exposé, the University of Vermont Medical school and the Vermont State

¹³³ “Article 3 prohibiting ‘outrages upon personal dignity’ and ‘violence to life and person’ and a ‘violation of federal criminal law’” (22 Jan, 2009). “The War Crimes Act.” <https://fas.org/spp/crs/intel/RL33662.pdf> Report of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Committee Study of the Central Intelligence Agency’s Detention and Interrogation Program Together with Foreword by Chairman Feinstein and Additional and Minority Views. (December 9, 2014). p. 59. 113th Congress 2d Session. S. Report 113–288. Cite is top. 159. <https://www.intelligence.senate.gov/sites/default/files/publications/CRPT-113srpt288.pdf>

¹³⁴ Scott Z. Burns. (2019). “The Report.” Video docudrama. <https://www.amazon.com/Report-Adam-Driver/dp/B07YVKR26>.

¹³⁵ Report of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Committee Study of the Central Intelligence Agency’s Detention and Interrogation Program Together with Foreword by Chairman Feinstein and Additional and Minority Views. (S. Report 113–288. <https://www.intelligence.senate.gov/sites/default/files/publications/CRPT-113srpt288.pdf>

¹³⁶ Reisman. *Stolen Honor, Stolen Innocence*. “Chapter 7: The Child Experiments and male data from Kinsey”. *Male Report*. Chapter 5.

¹³⁷ OSS/CIA experiments in France. Mike Thomson. (August 2010). “Pont-Saint-Esprit poisoning: Did the CIA spread LSD?” *BBC News*. 23. <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-10996838>

Hospital “have never been publicly identified in any government hearing. [CIA continues to remain silent on UVM-VSH” collusion as “Classified” information.](#)¹³⁸ The public is only belatedly aware of the Tuskegee syphilis experiments 1932–1972, which recruited impoverished negro men with the promise of free health care to a study aiming to observe the natural history of untreated syphilis. Participants were left in ignorance of their diagnosis by criminally culpable doctors *and the federal guardians of American health*.

The use of American citizens for unethical scientific, medical and psychological experimentation was not confined to the terminally ill or those incarcerated in mental asylums in a former barbaric age. Particularly in the years since WWII, numerous examples of such experiments on children and adults have authorized by our Public Health Service, a direct arm of Health and Human Services.¹³⁹ A few examples will suffice to underscore the point that clear evidence of criminality has been repeatedly ignored by the authorities normally responsible for initiating such investigations.

Radiation experiments on 16,000 Americans

In 1995 Frank Kofsky’s book, *Harry S. Truman and the 1948 War Scare: A Successful Campaign to Deceive the Nation*, documented some of the (then) recent revelations about experiments which exposed significant numbers of unsuspecting citizens to radiation.¹⁴⁰

While the public was still trying to come to grips with the news that the Truman administration had allowed the use of mentally retarded boys as laboratory animals, it learned that pregnant women, too, were grist of this particular mill: no fewer than 23 such women, according to the earliest reports, ‘were injected with radioactive iron in the 1950s as part of a controversial U.S. government medical research program whose scope is only now becoming known...The *Boston Globe* said that at the height of the Cold War, doctors at the city’s Lying-In Hospital shot a radioactive form of iron into 23 pregnant women to learn about the blood of the subject and their fetuses. It said the research ... was paid for by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission and the Office of Naval Research.

The figures in the *Boston Globe*’s initial stories, however, proved to be far short of the mark; the number of expectant women actually dosed with radioactive materials during these ‘experiments’ probably numbered in the thousands. One such ‘study’ alone, for example, involved 829 pregnant women at Vanderbilt University’s prenatal clinic from 1945 to 1949 ... [who] say that they were fed radioactive iron drinks and that several of the children exposed to the radioactive iron during their mother’s pregnancy died’. And, note well, please, the year when this program began: not, as the *Boston Globe* had originally stated, in the 1950s, but in 1945.¹⁴¹

¹³⁸ Jane Hamsher. (April 16, 2015). Fifty Years of Secrecy: Investigating CIA mind control experiments in Vermont. *Shadow Proof* [Radio broadcast]. Retrieved May 23, 2020, from <https://shadowproof.com/2015/04/16/fifty-years-of-secrecy-investigating-cia-mind-control-experiments-in-vermont/>

¹³⁹ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (March 2, 2020). *U.S. Public Health Service Syphilis Study at Tuskegee: Timeline*. <https://www.cdc.gov/tuskegee/timeline.htm>. The Public Health Service (PHS) “is a principal part of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and the major health agency of the Federal Government.” *Images from the History of Public Health*. Retrieved 20 May 2020 from https://www.nlm.nih.gov/exhibition/phs_history/intro.html

¹⁴⁰ Frank Kofsky. (1993). *Harry S. Truman and the 1948 War Scare: A Successful Campaign to Deceive*. Palgrave Macmillan. p. xviii

¹⁴¹ *The New York Times*. (August 20, 1995). Section 1, p. 27. See Kofsky. p. xviii.

In February 1995, it was officially acknowledged that 9,000 Americans “including children and newborns” had been used in radiation experiments conducted between end of WWII and the mid-1970s. By August 1995, the figure had been adjusted upwards as it was acknowledged that at least 16,000 Americans had been exposed:

The Energy Department says that in a final tally about *16,000 men, women and children were used in radiation experiments* from World War II to the mid-1970’s ... In the past 18 months, the department documented 435 experiments since the 1940’s in which humans were used in radiation research conducted or sponsored by the Energy Department ... the people used in the experiments [never] gave [“informed”] consent, or ... knew the health risks of the experiments.”¹⁴²

Harvard Student Experiments: Dr. Henry Murray’s Unabomber

Starting in the 1940s, the chance to experiment on people while maintaining one’s professional credibility captured many CIA scientists. In his book, *A Mind for Murder*, Alston Chase explores the evidence that Ted Kaczynski (now famous as the Unabomber) was transformed from a gifted 16-year old Harvard student into a terrorist through a process of deliberate psychological programming, undertaken by his professors.¹⁴³ From 1954 for three years, every week, totaling 200 hours, six young promising “volunteers” (of whom Kaczynski was one) were dosed with LSD and subjected to deliberately destructive psychological conditioning.

Professor Murray subjected his naïve and trusting students to “vehement, sweeping, and personally abusive” attacks, assaulting his subject’s egos and most cherished ideals and beliefs. (18) After writing essays about their personal beliefs, a trained professional agent, disguised as a student, ridiculed his ideals, undermining the victim’s entire belief system. Chase argues that these CIA “mind control” tricks traumatized young Kaczynski, destroying his old belief system, and forging a new one that included mass murder. Kaczynski’s teacher, Harvard’s illustrious Dr Henry A. Murray explains the process:

The candidate ... was given a grilling cross examination on the details of his story in an attempt to confuse and disquiet him as much as possible ... All subjects become, to a varying degree, both anxiously and angrily involved in this stressful situation.¹⁴⁴

This sustained psychological assault was launched on a boy whom his fellow students had labeled “Lawful,” apparently in reference to Kaczynski’s prior ideal of lawful, responsible conduct. In this way, Ted-the-“lawful” was became transformed from a gifted student with a bright, hopeful future, into an unhinged, serial murderer. At one point, Murray had requested and was granted RF support for the “development of a system of procedures for testing the suitability of officer

¹⁴² Ibid.

¹⁴³ Alston Chase. (2004). *A Mind for Murder: The Education of the Unabomber and the Origins of Modern Terrorism*. W.W. Norton & Company. See also History.com Editors. (August 21, 2018). *MKULTRA* [video]. Retrieved May 10, 2020 from <https://www.history.com/topics/us-government/history-of-MKULTRA>.

¹⁴⁴ Chase, *fn.* 137. Murray’s use of his authoritative power to create a Unabomber via breaking down of his self, his moral life.

candidates for the navy. He was awarded the grant.” One asks if the “system” that carved a killer out of “Ted the Lawful” were the same procedures Murray had designed for navy officers.¹⁴⁵

This is yet another example of the CIA financing psychopathic “scientists” to conduct unethical human experimentation.

The old school tie sustained this CIA-University connection ... The psychologists ... formed what Carnegie Corporation president ... called the “behavioral science network” in which – philanthropies such as the Ford, Rockefeller, and Russell Sage Foundations and Carnegie Corporation – at the behest of their friends in the CIA, Department of Defense, executive branch – supported secret research with their private funds, thus ensuring that this research would remain “off-budget” and would never be exposed to congressional oversight ... Nelson Rockefeller, who served both in government and on the board of powerful foundations, simply arranged the funding.

[Murray] was a beneficiary of the Rockefeller Foundation efforts to promote psychology in public policy ... He received steady funding from the Rockefeller Foundation, which had served as cover for his trip with Cantril to the Soviet Union for the CIA in 1958, and from the National Institute of Mental Health, also known to be a covert funding conduit.¹⁴⁶

Dr Loretta Bender’s use of electro-shock therapy on children

These crimes, committed with immunity from exposure or prosecution were not, regrettably, confined to a few select institutions. In their book, *The Hidden Tragedy of the CIA’s Experiments on Children*, [HP Albarelli Jr](#) and [Jeffrey S Kaye](#) explain a wider permission structure for abuse and provide further illustrations. For example,

From early 1940 to 1953, Dr. Loretta Bender, a highly respected child neuropsychiatrist practicing at Bellevue Hospital in New York City, experimented extensively with electroshock therapy on children who had been diagnosed with “autistic schizophrenia.” In all, it has been reported that Bender administered electroconvulsive therapy to at least 100 children ranging in age from three years old to 12 years, with some reports indicating the total may be twice that number.¹⁴⁷

The New York Times ran a front-page story, singling out Dr. Louis West as one of the CIA’s top MKULTRA mind-destroyers ... *The Times* obtained a West-authored memo advocating “the use of LSD in social control.”

¹⁴⁵ Quotes taken from Chase. op. cit. pp. 264, 278 and 279.

¹⁴⁶ Chase, *Mind for Murder*, p. 264.

¹⁴⁷ [HP Albarelli Jr.](#), [Jeffrey Kaye](#) (August 11, 2010). “The Hidden Tragedy of the CIA’s Experiments on Children” <https://truthout.org/articles/the-hidden-tragedy-of-the-cias-experiments-on-children/> Also, under a RF grant Bender. (March 1927). *Mental Hygiene Bulletin*. volumes 1–4. National Committee for Mental Health, vo. 4(3). p. 1 received a RF grant in “neuro-pathology in the Psychiatric Hospital.” See also Klein/Kline. “During the 1960s the Rockland Research Institute grew to more than 300 staff. Kline’s reputation drew biomedical researchers from around the world. Many laboratory techniques were developed to determine the therapeutic doses of frequently used medications, doses which are safe yet effective, at the Rockland Research Institute.” [http://scihi.org/nathan-kline-psychopharmacological-drugs/...](http://scihi.org/nathan-kline-psychopharmacological-drugs/)

Soon afterwards, a mind control project called Marionette Programming imported from Nazi Germany was revived under the new name, 'Project Monarch.' The basic component of the Monarch program is the sophisticated manipulation of the mind, using extreme trauma to induce Multiple Personality Disorder (MPD), now known as Dissociative Disorder. In public testimony submitted to the President's Committee on Radiation, there are amazing allegations of severe torture and inhumane programs foisted upon Americans and other citizens, especially as children. This wedding of the purely psychological with the purely physiological became the cornerstone of subsequent intelligence agency programs designed to uncover the secrets of the mind: the interface between the lump of grey matter we call a brain and the great beyond we call reality.¹⁴⁸

The medicalization of transgender children is a modern example of how such unethical experimentation goes on to this day. Rather than being the product of a modern age of ethical accountability, the origins of such procedures can be traced directly to the last half of the twentieth century, when American "spycraft" clearly lost its moorings and enabled what might be characterized as "the wild west" of medical experimentation. More on this in Section 5.

As horrifying as all of these CIA-endorsed experiments may have been, perhaps we can expect that they were they were not the worst of the crimes committed by the scientific cartel. Allen Dulles (Director of the CIA) once explained that some experiments were just "[too risky to perform within the borders of the United States](#)."¹⁴⁹ What crimes might be uncovered by an international search? For now, it is sufficient to focus on the situation in the US and to underscore that Kinsey's experiments were only part – albeit an apparently important part – of a grand scheme, funded by the RF and coordinated by the National Research Council (NRC) Committee and multiple subsidiary organizations and universities.

The over-arching influence of the RF

If the CIA was puppet master to many scientists, including Kinsey, then the over-arching influence of RF must also be acknowledged. As the Reece Committee noted:

[T]he granting foundations cannot escape responsibility for what their agents have done. They granted these agents great power, a power immensely enlarged when ...the intermediary agent became a dominating force in its specialized area.¹⁵⁰

Evidence from other countries, notably Canada, Great Britain, and Germany, reveals parallel scientific explorations of mind control taking place at the same time. Importantly, the RF emerges as the common factor across these countries. It seems that their interest in funding scientific research into techniques of mind control, including surgically-inflicted brain trauma, the use of drugs, exposure to extremes of temperature, sensory deprivation, electroshock treatment, physical and

¹⁴⁸ Daniel Estulin. (2015). *Tavistock Institute: Social Engineering for the Masses*. Trine Day LLC. p. 56.

¹⁴⁹ Gordon Thomas. (1989). *Journey into Madness: The true story of secret CIA mind control and medical abuse*. Bantam Books. p. 35.

¹⁵⁰ Rene A. Wormser. (1958). *Foundations, Their Power and Influence*. DEVIN-ADAIR Co. New York.

sexual trauma, psychological manipulation, etc. – was unconstrained by national or geo-political boundaries.

In turn the Rockefellers were only one part of a larger globalist cabal, driven by the dream of improving the human race through eugenics, to establish one world government under the control of an elite oligarchy. Since human populations can be relied upon to resist attempts to sterilize or otherwise eliminate “the unfit”, then the dream of a world shaped by the principles of eugenics can only be achieved (and the disaster of a world swamped by the “ever rising tide of the unfit”¹⁵¹ can only be averted) by ignoring, manipulating or overpowering the will of the people. Where history demonstrates that the lifespan of dictatorships that employ only brute force can be measured in years or decades, the eugenicists planned a different approach which involved securing the co-operation of the people in their own enslavement. As Aldous Huxley explained in his lecture “The Ultimate Revolution”, it might be possible to teach the people to love their servitude.¹⁵²

This agenda formed the common underlying rationale to the multitude of experiments RF funded. Brain studies, behavior modification, mind control, physiological warfare, trauma studies and sexual trauma etc. were all means to achieving a particular end, which was national, even global, population control. Harold Laswell, Chicago University communications guru, explains that new tools from indoctrination to assassination were needed to transform, “National Man into World Man.”¹⁵³ “[E]mploying money as the carrot, defense agencies [CIA backed by Rockefeller] hijacked the chemical, biological, and social Sciences” so that “intellectual elites” could create this new, “democratic man.”¹⁵⁴

During the interwar years, the RF took the lead in promoting psychology in public policy underwriting research by Cantril, Dollard, Erik Erikson, and Murray ... The psychological research establishment would lead the way in forging ... an alliance with government that would transform the field, empower its practitioners, and set in motion ... the culture of despair in the 1950s, the student counterculture of the 1960s, and terrorism in the 1990s.¹⁵⁵

Kinsey’s work appears to have been regarded as a peculiarly important component of the wider scheme. “The climate of virtue had changed.”¹⁵⁶ In 1941, Kinsey received his first RF grant for *Research in the Problems of Sex*.¹⁵⁷ In 1943, Kinsey flagged the need for “more hands ... to push the project into high gear.”¹⁵⁸ Before long, the bulk of the NRC funding had been directed to support Kinsey’s research.¹⁵⁹ Robert Morrison, the RF Medical Director was later to comment that “[W]e

¹⁵¹ Margaret Sanger. (2003). "The Unfit". Sophia Smith Collection , Margaret Sanger Microfilm: Smith College Collections. Sanger was another beneficiary of Rockefeller largesse “Bad conditions kill off the unfit, leaving room and space for the fit to survive.” <https://www.nyu.edu/projects/sanger/webedition/app/documents/show.php?sangerDoc=240474.xml>

¹⁵² Huxley lists several methods of mind control that were under development or already perfected in 1962. The list – including torture techniques, pharmacological techniques and suggestion/hypnosis – match the scope and type of experiments conducted under the MKULTRA program. Although these were only revealed to the public many years later, particularly through the hearing and reports of the 1975/76 Church Committee, Huxley appears to have been in command of all the salient facts in 1962. He notes that his information came particularly from two conferences on the subject at the University of California Medical Centre in San Francisco: “One this year, which I didn’t attend” [1962] and one two years ago [1960].” It appears Huxley had the inside track with MKULTRA scientists.

¹⁵³ Chase. Ibid. p. 257.

¹⁵⁴ Chase. Ibid. p. 253.

¹⁵⁵ Chase. Ibid. p. 257.

¹⁵⁶ Chase. Ibid. p. 179.

¹⁵⁷ Chase. Ibid. pp. 32, 275.

¹⁵⁸ Chase. Ibid. p.30.

¹⁵⁹ Ibid.

consider the [Kinsey] Institute financing one of the most significant things we ever did.”¹⁶⁰ The full import of this statement can perhaps only be fully appreciated decades later, as the destructive power of Kinsey’s “social atomic bomb” becomes appallingly clear. (The long-term effects of Kinsey’s work are described further in Section 5).

Tavistock and “Operation Paperclip” to save Nazi scientists

To appreciate the full sweep of the audacious globalist agenda, we need to pan back (historically speaking) to the beginning of the twentieth century. From 1913, the organization that later became known as the Tavistock Institute, was formed with the purpose of using science to develop tools for mind control (to be employed variously against individuals or entire populations). The work of this Institute remained largely unknown before the 1969 publication of former MI6 agent Dr. John Coleman’s, *The Tavistock Institute of Human Relations Shaping the Moral, Spiritual, Cultural, Political and Economic Decline of The United States of America*. As Coleman explains in the Foreword to his book:

The project was given to Lords Rothmere and Northcliffe and their mandate was to produce an organization capable of manipulating the public ... Funding was provided by the British royal family, and later by the Rothschilds to whom Lord Northcliffe was related through marriage. Arnold Toynbee was selected as Director of Future Studies. Two Americans, Walter Lippmann and Edward Bernays were appointed to handle the manipulation of American public opinion ... From a somewhat crude beginning at Wellington House, grew an organization that was to shape the destiny of Germany, Britain and more especially the United States in manner that became a highly sophisticated organization to manipulate and create public opinion, what is commonly termed, “mass brainwashing.”¹⁶¹

From the original group, others were founded. The Tavistock Institute of Medical Psychology (founded 1920) was ostensibly studying shell shock. However, this work also provided the opportunity to test the individual breaking points of traumatised soldiers, thus advancing knowledge of psychological warfare.¹⁶² From 1937, by a universal vote of Tavistock’s board, it was agreed that the Institute should take the work of German author Oswald Spengler, *Untergange des Abenlandes (The Decline of Western Civilization)* “as the blueprint for a new working model to bring about the decline and fall of Western civilization necessary to create and establish a New World Order inside a One World Government.”¹⁶³

During the war, from 1941–45, Tavistock was managed out of the British War Office and tasked with working to destabilize Germany. It directed the psychiatric division of the Army,¹⁶⁴ and was responsible for maintaining public morale at home. According to investigative reporter, Daniel Estulin, at the wars’ end Tavistock sent trained “brainwashers” to America to conduct “secret mind-

¹⁶⁰ Reisman. *Stolen Honor, Stolen Innocence*. p. 61.

¹⁶¹ John Coleman. (3 Oct. 2006). “Foreword”. *The Tavistock Institute of Human Relations*. <https://educate-yourself.org/cn/tavistockarticlesindex04jun04.shtml>

¹⁶² *Tavistock Institute: An Ongoing Social Engineering Project to Mind Control Humanity*. <http://themillenniumreport.com/2018/12/tavistock-institute-an-ongoing-social-engineering-project-to-mind-control-humanity/>

¹⁶³ Coleman. Foreword.

¹⁶⁴ Coleman. *Ibid.* p. 73.

control projects” (thus, MKULTRA) of the CIA and the Pentagon.¹⁶⁵ The researcher, David Livingston, confirms that it was through the auspices of Tavistock that the CIA was able to arrange the transfer of German scientists to the US through Operation Paperclip:

Nazi scientists were rescued from Germany under the supervision of ... a doctor at the psychological warfare unit called the Tavistock Institute. MKULTRA begun in the [mid '40s] ... by the Tavistock Institute ... CIA, and other British, American, Canadian and United Nations agencies¹⁶⁶... Stanford Research Institute, Esalen Institute, MIT, Hudson Institute, Brookings Institution, Aspen Institute, Heritage Foundation, the Center of Strategic International Studies at Georgetown, US Air Force intelligence, and the Rand Corporation.¹⁶⁷



Winning WW II: 104 German [NAZI] rocket scientists in 1946, including Wernher von Braun

In this way, the brains trust of the Nazi scientific machine was secretly transferred to the USA. These men and their families were provided given new names, issued with passports, and installed in the universities and institutions controlled by CIA.

The RF was ideally placed to smooth the appointment of these men to positions of influence. As Estulin explains:

Between the Rockefeller family’s long standing control over the *American Medical Association and American Psychiatric Association, and the encroachment of the CIA* establishment into all branches of government, various governmental, including military funds, were used ...to plant Rockefeller-Tavistock proteges in key positions and institutions ...B.F. Skinner’s position at Harvard and Rockefeller controlled Ford foundation. Dr. Nathan S. Kline ...headed New York State’s Rockland State Hospital...where a ...tradition of brainwashing thrived.¹⁶⁸

In 1946, the Tavistock Institute of Human Relations split off from the British government-controlled Clinic and was established – with private funding from British monarchy, the Rothschilds, the Milner Group and the Rockefeller family trusts – as a policy-making “mother of all think tanks,”

¹⁶⁵ Coleman. Ibid. p. 93.

¹⁶⁶ David Livingstone. (2015). *Transhumanism: The History of a Dangerous Idea*. Sabilillah Publications. p. 143.

¹⁶⁷ Ibid. 144. See also Dicks. (1929). Tavistock conference advocating for sex education for children. p. 42. fn. 93.

¹⁶⁸ Estulin. Ibid. p. 38.

publicly claiming to research and direct groups and organizations while it continued massive covert operations in social engineering. The think tank was formally registered as a charity in September 1947. The network of allied interests was extensive and gave rise to still further organisations, such as the World Federation for Mental Health. According to Livingstone:

Launched by the Cybernetics Group in 1948, the World Federation of Mental Health (WFMH) was among the nastiest projects launched by the world's leading sociologists, psychiatrists, and anthropologists, the so-called "psychiatric shock troops," whose first president, Brig. Gen. John Rawlings Rees, was the director of the Tavistock Institute, Britain's premier psychological warfare center. Carl Jung was elected vice president. Another individual closely associated with WFMH was the Nazi doctor Werner Villinger who sat on the White House Conference on Children and Youth....in the 1950s.¹⁶⁹

Social engineers associated in one way or another with the Tavistock represent a Who's Who of early twentieth century psychology. The list includes Freud, Jung, Adler, Melanie Klein, Wilfred Bion, Edward Bernays, Eric Trist, A.K. Rice, Eric Miller, Aldous Huxley and R.D. Laing. In 1945, Tavistock sent German-born psychologist, Kurt Lewin (the founder of Social Psychology) to the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. In 1948 he founded the Research Centre for Group Dynamics at the University of Michigan "to advance the understanding of human behavior in social contexts; making clear the linkages between an individual's psychological life and the life of the society to which the individual belongs."¹⁷⁰ As Aldous Huxley said The potential for technology to exploit mass psychology for the benefit of the NWO is obvious.

[W]e are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy who have always existed and presumably will always exist to get people to love their servitude.¹⁷¹

The RF network extended both to Stalinist Russia and Nazi Germany

Although it is beyond the scope of this discussion to assay the full spectrum of Rockefeller enterprise, it is worth noting that their interests were always global and unconstrained by geopolitical tensions or patriotic loyalty. The Rockefeller's prioritization of personal over national interests has been noted before. The continuation of Standard Oil's support for the German war machine, even after the United States officially entered the war in opposition to Germany, provoked then-Senator Harry Truman to characterize these dealings as "treason. Period." A senatorial investigating committee in 1942 described Standard Oil as "a hostile and dangerous agency of the enemy."¹⁷² [In 1945 the RF-](#)funded Russian Institute at Columbia University was already identified as a hotbed of Communist spy-craft.¹⁷³ The investigative reporter, Gary Allen, traces Rockefeller support for the Soviets at least to the *end of WWI*.

¹⁶⁹ Ibid. p. 213.

¹⁷⁰ <https://rcgd.isr.umich.edu/>

¹⁷¹ Huxley. "The Ultimate Revolution".

¹⁷² Anthony Sutton. (1984). *How the Order Creates War and Revolution*. Research Publishing. p. 86. See also William Stevenson. (1977). *A Man Called Intrepid: The secret war*. Ballantine Books. pp. 65, 91, 307, 308 and 311; see also Harry Truman. (March 27, 1942). Congressional Record.

¹⁷³ See, Emanuel Josephson. (2011). *Rockefeller "Internationalist": The Man Who Misrules the World*. Kindle, L1076, 2065, 4022 and Selwyn Raab. (January 13, 1985). "Intrigue and Countercharges Mark Case of Purported Spies". *New York Times*. Retrieved May 20, 2020 from <https://www.nytimes.com/1985/01/13/us/intrigue-and-countercharges-mark-case-of-purported-spies.html>).



Ever since 1918 this clique has been engaged in transferring money and, probably more important, technical information, to the Soviet Union ... [They] are building the world's largest truck factory for the Communists ... a \$50 million aluminum producing plant for the Reds ... the Rockefellers and Eatons have a monopoly on the transfer of technological capability ... by the purchase of patents for the Communists the Rockefellers are virtually in charge of research and development for the Soviet military machine ... by dictionary definition Communist agents.¹⁷⁴

The career for Hermann Muller illustrates the power of the international eugenics network, well-greased by plentiful "philanthropic" funding, to transcend national and ideological boundaries, open doors and facilitate the research and career progression of ambitious scientists. In 1914, the British eugenicist and internationalist Julian

Huxley (grandson of Thomas Huxley, aka "Darwin's Bulldog", and brother of Aldous Huxley), offered Muller a position at the William Rice Institute in Texas.

Muller was a RF grantee between 1925 and 1936. Muller first rose to international fame for his 1926 paper delivered to the Fifth International Congress of Genetics in Berlin in which he explained a clear, quantitative connection between radiation and lethal genetic mutations. His support for "positive eugenics" was openly declared in his 1932 speech for the Third International Eugenics Congress in which he stated, "eugenics might yet perfect the human race, but only in a society consciously organized for the common good."¹⁷⁵

From 1925, RF funded the Kaiser Wilhelm Institute for Psychiatry in Munich, directed by Dr Ernst Rudin. This funding continued throughout the war years. Specifically, money was directed toward a medical specialty known as "psychiatric eugenics", which applied to psychiatry concepts of race purification, race hygiene, or race betterment.¹⁷⁶ In 1932, RF supported Muller (who was by

¹⁷⁴ Gary Allen. (1972). *None Dare Call It Conspiracy*. L. 1659, 1589, 1600, 1622. Dauphin Publications. Kindle Edition.


¹⁷⁵ Documented by Reisman. *Stolen Honor, Stolen Innocence*. See also, "The Eugenics Crusade What's Wrong with Perfect?". *PBS*. October 16, 2018. "There is no scientific basis for the conclusion that the socially lower class have genetically inferior intellectual equipment. Certain slum districts of our cities are factories for criminality among those who happen to be born in them. Under these circumstances, it is society, not the individual, which is the real criminal and which stands to be judged. Eugenics might yet perfect the human race, but only in a society consciously organized for the common good."
<https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/americanexperience/films/eugenics-crusade/>

¹⁷⁶ Reisman. *Stolen Honor, Stolen Innocence*. p 355. fn. 352. The Carnegie Institute and the Rockefeller Foundation, which supported eugenics research in America, also financed "brain studies" conducted at the Kaiser Wilhelm Institute in Germany until 1941. Cornelius Borck. (2001). *Mediating Philanthropy in Changing Political Circumstances: The Rockefeller Foundation's funding for brain research in Germany, 1930-1950*. Center for Humanities and Health Sciences, Institute for the History of Medicine, p. 1. See also Reisman. (2012). *Kinsey, Crimes & Consequences* (4th ed.). Institute for Media Education, Chapter 10 describes ties between WWII

now a Fellow of the Guggenheim Institute), in his move to Germany to the Kaiser Wilhelm Brain Research Institute. Muller wrote enthusiastically to the Guggenheim about the prospect that the National Research Council (funded by Rockefeller) extending the arrangement for the following academic year (1933/34):¹⁷⁷

“The further my contacts have developed the more I have realized the richness of the fields here and the desirability of staying a longer time in order adequately to assimilate and to work over the material and to make proper use of the opportunities presented by it.”

Muller later claimed that he had been studying the effects of radiation in fruit flies (work for which he was awarded a Nobel Laureate in 1946),¹⁷⁸ Muller’s letters to the Guggenheim Institute make it very clear that the “material” referred to was not fruit flies. It was “for the study of mutations affecting the structure and functioning of the brain, and showing their mode of inheritance and interaction.”¹⁷⁹ In 1934, Stalin offered Muller his own laboratory and assistants as a Senior Geneticist at the Academy of Sciences in the USSR, heading a positive eugenics program for the Moscow Institute for Genetics. There, again under an RF grant, Muller, studied the brains of “twins”. The publicity photo at left of happy, well-fed, clean little Russian twins appears in his biography.



At the time Muller was in Russia, Solzhenitsyn tells us that “nearly one quarter of Leningrad was purged—cleaned out—in 1934–1935 ... firing from the civil service of all those whose fathers had been priests, all former noblewomen, and all persons having relatives abroad.” Muller, an elite scientist, cannot have been unaware of what was going on around him but makes no mention of these Soviet atrocities.¹⁸⁰ Rather, in 1935, Muller published his opus, *Out of The Night*, published by Vanguard Press in the USA, enthusiastically celebrating international communism being financed by Rockefeller.¹⁸¹

Returning to the United States in late 1937, Muller eventually joined Dr. Kinsey (who also declared his support for positive eugenics)¹⁸² in the Biology faculty at Indiana University. They were later to be joined by Burrhus Frederic Skinner, an early Nazi supporter who believed free will was an illusion and who was also a recipient of funds from the Society for the investigation of Human

German interests and Kinsey/Rockefeller. See also Dennis Cuddy. (2002, April 29). The Indiana Connection. *NewsWithViews.com*. <http://www.newswithviews.com/NWO/newworld17.htm>.

¹⁷⁷ Judith Reisman. *Stolen Honor, Stolen Innocence*. p. 301.

¹⁷⁸ See extensive discussion of Dr. Muller in Reisman. *Stolen Honor, Stolen Innocence*. pp. 298–311.

¹⁷⁹ *Ibid*.

¹⁸⁰ See detailed coverage in Reisman. *Stolen Honor, Stolen Innocence*. Chapter 10: “From Berlin to Bloomington Kinsey’s “Scientifically Trained Observers”.

¹⁸¹ This was when Muller was in Moscow working for Stalin under a Rockefeller grant. That year the Rockefeller Foundation donated \$93,254.37 for “various special purposes.” In 1946, the last year of the Trustees’ Report, the Rockefeller Foundation gave \$106,480.18 for “various special purposes” as well as \$1, 051,828.80 for a new Medical School Building. Rockefeller funds a study of “genetic factors in ... nervous and mental diseases” by Dr. Franz Kallmann, [a eugenicist, and probable CIA associate](#). (See Marks. (2008). *op cit.*). Also, RF Trustees in 1946 funded, “COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY ENDOCRINOLOGY Rockefeller Foundation support to the research work of the group at the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Columbia University ... since 1928 ... from the Foundation and indirect allocations made from a Foundation grant to the National Research Council Committee for Research on Problems of Sex. A three-year grant of \$30,000 was made by the Foundation to this project in 1946.” [\$423,583.52 in today’s currency]. <https://www.rockefellerfoundation.org/annual-report/1946-annual-report/annual-report-1946-2/>

¹⁸² Kinsey concentrated on negative eugenics, calling for a program of sterilization that was at once sweeping and terrifying: “The reduction of the birth rate of the lowest classes must depend upon the sterilization of perhaps a tenth of our population.” Cited in James H. Jones. (1997). *Alfred C. Kinsey: A Private/Public Life*. Diane Pub Co. p. 809 fn. 78.

Ecology.¹⁸³ In 1953, when Kinsey published *Sexual Behavior in the Human Female*, Skinner published *Science and Human Behavior*. Skinner taught brainwashing programs based on “operant conditioning,” a behavioral psychology model of reward and punishment. These were among the favored methods the CIA employed to train subjects dubbed “Manchurian Candidates.”¹⁸⁴ Muller and Kinsey appeared in the pages of *Sexology*, an early sex “education” journal along with Dr. Harry Benjamin (homosexual) and Rene Guyon (pedosadist). All agreed on the central tenets of the social engineering program advocated by the globalist eugenics movement: the need to destroy religion, encourage sexual license, and enforce sterilization of inferior stock.

Muller famously advocated forced sterilization and the establishment of an elitist “Sperm Bank” in the (now defunct) journal, *Sexology*¹⁸⁵ with sperm supplied by only the brightest men; doubtless men like himself, Kinsey, etc. Aldous Huxley, asked if he agreed with his brother Julian’s advocacy for such a sperm bank agreed that “if the cold war continues for any length of time, then whoever applies the principles of positive eugenics most successfully is likely to win”.¹⁸⁶

The common feature in all of this Rockefeller patronage is that it was directed to scientists committed to eugenics and supportive of developing scientific methods to achieve the dream of achieving the level of social control necessary to enforce the eugenics on the global population; both by genetically improving the human race and eliminating poor stock.

Instruments of the NWO established in the aftermath of WWII

MKULTRA and Tavistock were only part of a much more significant architecture for wholesale population manipulation being articulated at this time by globalists pursuing the eugenicist dream. Before WWII, American eugenic policies, supported by Rockefeller among many others in the scientific establishment as well as the US Supreme Court,¹⁸⁷ formed the model for Nazi sterilization and euthanasia programs which culminated in the holocaust.¹⁸⁸ This globalist agenda sets the backdrop to Kinsey’s work within the broader context of the CIA’s mind control experiments. Population control, manipulation of the masses and social engineering form the unifying themes for apparently disparate RF “philanthropic” scientific projects.

¹⁸³ Timothy Glander. (2000). *Origins of Mass Communications Research During the American Cold War*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc. Republished Taylor & Francis e-Library. (2009). “Like the CIA and the USIA the Human Resource Division would contract with university based researchers such as B.F. Skinner, Margaret Mead, Daniel Katz, Argus Campbell, and others and would contract with departments in universities such as the University of Minnesota, the University of Chicago, the University of Southern California, the University of Michigan, and numerous others.” p. 67. Also see Marks. op. cit. p. 760: “The following year, the Society managed to purchase a piece of the work of the most famous behaviorist of all, Harvard’s B. F. Skinner...received a \$5,000 Human Ecology grant...for the research that led to his book, *Freedom and Dignity*. Skinner has no memory of the grant or its origins but says, “I don’t like secret involvement of any kind. I can’t see why it couldn’t have been open and aboveboard.” A TSS source explains that grants like these “bought legitimacy” for the Society and made the recipients “grateful.” He says that the money gave Agency employees at Human Ecology a reason to phone Skinner—or any of the other recipients—to pick his brain about a particular problem. In a similar vein, another MKULTRA man, psychologist John Gittinger mentions the Society’s relationship with Erwin Goffman of the University of Pennsylvania, whom many consider today’s leading sociological theorist.” https://www.cia.gov/library/abbottabad-compound/12/129E144131F2E093FB1E441C737ACF92_SearchForTheManchurianCandidate.rtf.pdf

¹⁸⁴ Alex Constantine. (1997). *Virtual Government*. p. 131. Feral House. Kindle Edition. Many survivors of CIA-sponsored experimentation have been left with multiple personalities induced at a tender age, and it is reported by subjects that the CIA can trigger electronically from a remote source to commit any act on cue—the ultimate Manchurian Candidate.

¹⁸⁵ Ibid. p. 300.

¹⁸⁶ Huxley. “The Ultimate Revolution” [1:10].

¹⁸⁷ “Buck v. Bell.” Argued April 22, 1927. Decided May 2, 1927. Oyez, www.oyez.org/cases/1900-1940/274us200. Accessed July 25, 2020.

¹⁸⁸ It is disquieting that Bill Gates, scion of wealthy eugenic ideologues, called his billion-dollar efforts to vaccinate everyone on the globe, “The Final Solution” to solve the problem of communicable disease. (A Corbett Report. (May 2020). “Meet Bill Gates”. 4-part documentary series [YouTube]. [50:30 23.14–23:47]. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8alro6mjcsU&feature=youtu.be>).

The agenda of the global eugenicists can hardly be called a “conspiracy”; they made no attempt to hide it. It is quite apparent, for example, in the work of Sir Julian Huxley. In the aftermath of WWII, Huxley was elected as the first Director-General of UNESCO. UNESCO’s Constitution states: “Since wars begin in the minds of men and women, it is in the minds of men and women that the defenses of peace must be constructed.”¹⁸⁹ In his paper entitled *UNESCO Its Purpose and Its Philosophy* (1946), Huxley explains the fundamental task of UNESCO this way:

[UNESCO] is to help the emergence of a single world culture, with its own philosophy and background of ideas, and with its own broad purpose. This is the first time in history that the scaffolding and the mechanisms for world unification have become available ... two opposing philosophies of life confront each other from the West and from the East ... You may categorise the two philosophies as two super-nationalisms; or as individualism versus collectivism; or as the American versus the Russian way of life; or as capitalism versus communism; or as Christianity versus Marxism; or in half a dozen other ways. The fact of their opposition remains and the further fact that round each of them are crystallising the lives and thoughts and political aspirations of hundreds of millions of human beings. Can this conflict be avoided, these opposites be reconciled, this antitheses be resolved in a higher syntheses? I believe not only that this can happen, but that, through the inexorable dialectic of evolution, it must happen – only I do not know whether it will happen before or after another war.¹⁹⁰

A prominent member of the British Eugenics Society, Huxley was its president from 1959–62. He was also a member of the World Federation for Mental Health, Director of the Abortion Law Reform Association, and founder of the World Wildlife Fund. (The first president of this fund was the former Nazi, Netherlands’ Prince Bernhard, who also organized the globalist Bilderberg meetings from the inception of this group in 1954). Throughout the twentieth century and continuing to this day, the same names recur within the numerous organizations founded or financed to further the globalist agenda. The Rockefellers and their Foundation (1913) contributed to many others, among them the Council on Foreign Relations (1921), the Tavistock Institute (from 1934), the United Nations (1945), the Population Council (1952); the Bilderberg Group (1954), the Club of Rome (1968), and the Trilateral Commission (1973). In turn, these have generated a dizzying array of NGOs and international co-operatives, which provide the means by which the Rockefellers and their co-conspirators continue to transform their enormous personal wealth into political power.

Very often, this power was not overt. The apparatus of national government – whether Communist, Fascist or Democratic – ostensibly continues to operate as advertised. However, behind the scenes, through judicious “philanthropic” expenditure, installing their own men in strategic positions of influence and blackmailing or eliminating opponents, the cabal has been able to manipulate governments to their own ends, directing public policy and public funding to support

¹⁸⁹ http://portal.unesco.org/en/ev.php-URL_ID=15244%26URL_DO=DO_TOPIC%26URL_SECTION=201.html

¹⁹⁰ “Interesting Read.” (20/08/09). *Forum de Greater New Orleans Tea Party*. <https://www.meetup.com/fr-FR/GNOTEAPARTY/messages/boards/thread/7497609>

their globalist agenda and increase their enormous personal wealth still further.¹⁹¹ This, then, is the “monolithic and ruthless conspiracy” of which John F. Kennedy was speaking before his assassination – a conspiracy “that relies primarily on covert means for expanding its sphere of influence—on infiltration instead of invasion, on subversion instead of elections, on intimidation instead of free choice, on guerrillas by night instead of armies by day.”¹⁹²

Derided as “conspiracy theory” when convenient, this work is at other times openly acknowledged by significant members of the cabal. David Rockefeller, for example, recorded in his *Memoire* (2002):

Some even believe we are part of a secret cabal working against the interests of the United States, characterizing my family and me as ‘internationalists’ and of conspiring with others around the world to build a more integrated global political and economic structure – one world, if you will. If that is the charge, I stand guilty, and I am proud of it.¹⁹³

Ostensibly the goal is the collectivism prioritized in both Marxist and Fascist political systems and increasingly encouraged through public education systems in liberal western democracies. By persuading the population to comply (and to ensure their neighbors comply) with whatever imperatives are declared to be incumbent on a good “global citizen”, the globalist cabal use control of information, social psychology, and fear to pressure the population into surrendering their freedom and, as Aldous Huxley phrased it, “to love their servitude”. Only when this “Ultimate Revolution” is complete can the elite oligarchy rest secure. We will be utterly oppressed – effectively reduced to the status of domesticated animals (again, to use an analogy used by Huxley) – but for our good. The plan has advanced in plain sight, effectively camouflaged simply by its sheer scale and audacity.

Section 3: How to take over a democracy by stealth

Sections 1 and 2 have examined the history of Kinsey and his work in the context of a wider, global agenda to develop scientific techniques to control humanity in order to introduce a new world order, controlled by an elite oligarchy of the genetically superior. Section 3 will now turn to exploring the question of how it has been possible for such a conspiracy to remain hidden and thrive in a liberal western democracy.

If democracies are designed to counter recognized human frailty with mechanisms to ensure authority is held accountable, how has such a system been turned to serve the interests of the few, without attracting the attention of the many? How has the infiltration and manipulation of every aspect of life influenced by government policy – the academy, the law, medicine, education, etc. – been achieved, while the public is kept in ignorance? Clearly, the capacity to suppress suspicion,

¹⁹¹ See, for example, Emanuel M. Josephson. (2011). *Rockefeller “Internationalist” The Man Who Misrules the World*. Literary Licensing; G. Edward Griffin. (2010). *The Creature from Jekyll Island*. American Media; Gary Allen, Larry Abraham and John G. Schmitz. (1972). *None Dare Call It Conspiracy*. Concord Press.

¹⁹² The President and the Press: Address before the American Newspaper Publishers’ Association. (27 April 1961). <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Vvq2fuwvcqw>

¹⁹³ David Rockefeller. (2002). *Memoires*. Random House; 1st Trade Ed edition. p. 405

discredit whistleblowers is essential if the globalist plan is to succeed in capturing a democracy. This means control of the media is an important part of the agenda.

More than simply keeping nefarious actions secret, control of the media endows its owners with the ability to manipulate the entire population. Where control of the media is concentrated in the hands of a small elite, this confers the power to determine what people know and don't know, what they believe is true or false; what they think is noble or despicable. Once control of information is established, the ability to predict and even direct how people will act, then follows. In his June 8, 1978, commencement address at Harvard, Solzhenitsyn pinpointed the vulnerability: "The press has become the greatest power within the Western countries, more powerful than the legislature, the executive, and judiciary. One would then like to ask: By what law has it been elected and to whom is it responsible."¹⁹⁴ This section will explore the evidence for Rockefeller manipulation of the US media in support of Kinsey.

Rockefeller forced to take control of mass media



**The Ludlow Massacre Memorial,
April 1914**

In an epistemological system where Science is the accepted yardstick of ultimate truth, then the ability to pronounce on what is scientifically true confers extraordinary authority. A well marketed truth claim, even one based on scientific fraud such as Kinsey's, can be inserted into the national consciousness and used to direct the minds and morals entire populations.

The Rockefellers had an early lesson in the importance of dominating the mass media. In 1914, acting in the interests of J.D. Rockefeller, authorities used violence to break the strike of Rockefeller oil-workers in Ludlow, Colorado.¹⁹⁵ In what is now remembered as the Ludlow massacre, "Women and children, hiding from the bullets that strafed the camp, huddled in cellars that had been dug underneath their tents. In the evening the National Guard troops soaked the tents in kerosene and set them on fire."¹⁹⁶

The then free press published this murder of defenseless women and children widely adding fuel to the already significant public outrage against the Rockefellers. Ivy Lee (father of the PR industry) was retained by the Rockefellers to rectify the damage. Acting on Lee's advice, Rockefeller Junior travelled to Colorado to meet the miners in person and listen to their grievances. This act of public contrition, carefully photographed and distributed to the press, had its intended effect of quieting public hostility. But the Rockefellers had learned a lesson quite different from the one suggested by their demonstration of public remorse. Rather than leaving the future to chance and a free press, they retained Lee's services for an ongoing public relations campaign to maintain their newly-forged image of benevolence and generosity.

¹⁹⁴ *The Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn Center*. <https://www.solzhenitsyncenter.org/articles-essays-speeches>.

¹⁹⁵ The Ludlow Massacre was an "attack on striking coal miners and their families by the Colorado National Guard and Colorado Fuel and Iron Company guards at Ludlow, Colorado, on April 20, 1914, resulting in the deaths of 25 people, including 11 children." The American free press revealed the collusion between Rockefeller and the state in the massacre, including a plan to shut-down future exposés. Gregory Dehler. "Ludlow Massacre". *Britannica*. <https://www.britannica.com/event/Ludlow-Massacre>.

¹⁹⁶ *Ibid*.

By 1917, Rockefeller had also acquired significant interests in the most influential newspapers in America; in the future, they could control what information came to public attention and how it was presented. In 1917 Congressman Callaway of Texas read into the *House Congressional Record* the following: “[In a] March 1915 meeting of the J.P. Morgan interests, the steel shipbuilding, and power interests, got together 12 men high up in the newspaper world and employed them to select the most influential newspapers in the United States and sufficient number of them to control generally the policy of the daily press of the United States.” Callaway explained that these men, obviously including Rockefeller, then selected “176 newspapers,” purchasing “control of 25 of the greatest papers ... [A]n editor was furnished for each paper to properly supervise and edit information ... vital to the interests of the purchases.”¹⁹⁷

Rockefeller also patronized the early work of Edward L. Bernays, the nephew of Sigmund Freud, later known as “the father of spin”. Before WWI, when Bernays established a fund to finance “a propaganda play that fought for sex education” (entitled *Damaged Goods*), Rockefeller contributed. This support for “sex education” is consistent with their financial support (from 1924 onwards) for Margaret Sanger’s American Birth Control League, later renamed Planned Parenthood Foundation. Even from the first decades of the twentieth century, the Rockefeller family were funding the cause of “sexual liberation”.

During WWI, Bernays worked for the Committee on Public Information (CPI) where he focused on building support for war, both domestically and abroad; work he later referred to as “psychological warfare.”¹⁹⁸ The realization that methods of wartime “propaganda” could be applied with equal effect during peacetime shaped Bernays’ later career. In his 1929 book, *Propaganda*, Bernays explained:

The conscious and intelligent manipulation of the organized habits and opinions of the masses is an important element in democratic society. Those who manipulate this unseen mechanism of society constitute an invisible government which is the true ruling power over our country ... We are governed, our minds are molded, our tastes formed, our ideas suggested, largely by men we have never heard of ... In almost every act of our daily lives, whether in the sphere of politics or business, in our social conduct or our ethics thinking, we are dominated by the relative small number of persons ... who understand the mental processes and social patterns of the masses. It is they who pull the wires which control the public mind.¹⁹⁹

CIA Operation Mockingbird

By the end of WWII, the control of the mass media in the Rockefeller interest was managed by the CIA through “Operation Mockingbird”.²⁰⁰ Where the Rockefellers and their industrial friends had

¹⁹⁷ U.S. House of Congress. (1917). Debates of the 64th Congress. *Congressional Record*, Volume LIV, (U.S. Government Printing Office), p. 2947. Additional research confirms that this “philanthropic” mass media control continues to function unabated. Judith A. Reisman. (2005). *The Kinsey Reports, Sex Experts, Pornography & Law*. Utah Council for Crime Prevention, Prosecutors Sex Crimes Investigators and Detectives. [speech]. November 2, 2005.

¹⁹⁸ Alan Axelrod. (2009). *Selling the Great War: The Making of American Propaganda*. St. Martin's Publishing Group. p. 200.

¹⁹⁹ Edward L. Bernays. (1928). *Propaganda*. IG publishing.

²⁰⁰ John Simkin. (September 1997). “Operation Mockingbird”. *Spartacus Educational*. Retrieved May 20, 2020 from <https://spartacus-educational.com/JFKmockingbird.htm>; N.Y. Armonk. (2004). “MOCKINGBIRD, Project”. In Paul F. Kisak (Author) and Rodney P. Carlisle (Ed). *Encyclopedia of Intelligence and Counterintelligence (1st ed.)*. Routledge. p. 432. The Project, started late 1940s, recruited foreign and domestic journalists as clandestine agents for the CIA. Allegedly eliminated in the 1970s due to exposure of some of the

controlled the media through strategically positioned editors, the CIA now recruited journalists from *CBS, The New York Times, ABC, NBC, Newsweek, Associated Press*, etc. Reportedly some 3,000 CIA operatives and over 400 journalists were on the Rockefeller/CIA payroll. This explains the mass media censorship and ridicule (“mocking”) that greeted Reisman’s legitimate exposure of Kinsey’s pedo-crimes in 1981.²⁰¹

In 1977, the Church Committee was told that the CIA had discontinued the practice of propagandizing the American public the year before.

Until 1976, when it announced a new policy toward U.S. media personnel, the CIA maintained covert relationships with about 50 American journalists or employees of U.S. media organizations. They are part of a network of several hundred foreign individuals around the world who provide intelligence for the CIA and at time attempt to influence feign opinion through the use of covert propaganda. These individuals provide the CIA with direct access to a large number of foreign newspapers and periodicals, scores of press services and news agencies, radio and television stations, commercial book publishers, and other foreign media outlets ... George Bush, the new Director [of the CIA], undertook as one of his first actions to recognize the ‘special status afforded the American media under our Constitution’ and therefore pledged that ‘CIA will not enter into any paid or contractual relationship with any full-time or part-time news correspondent accredited by any United States news service, newspaper, periodical, radio or television network or station.’²⁰²

Of course, it would be naïve to imagine that this public display of contrition and a bit of wrist-slapping put an end to globalist manipulation of the media, particularly when this is so essential to ensuring the success of their agenda. Addressing the Bilderberg Group in 1991, David Rockefeller expressed his gratitude to the media for keeping the confidence of globalists for decades:

We are grateful to *The Washington Post, The New York Times, Time Magazine* and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion for almost forty years. It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subject to the bright lights of publicity during those years. But, the work is now much more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a World Government. The

CIA’s domestic coverup activities, their media assets were still in place at the highest and lowest levels and remain active in the communications industry today. https://wikispooks.com/wiki/Operation_Mockingbird#cite_note-1s

²⁰¹ The recruitment of activist journalists who will us their platform to progress the sexual revolution has been explained by Judith Reisman. (2002). “Crafting Bi/Homosexual Youth.” *Regent University Law Review*. p. 284. “The National Lesbian and Gay Journalists Association (NLGJA) recently boasted that although homosexuals are less than two percent of the population, three-fourths of the people who decide the content of the front page of the New York Times are homosexual. The NLGJA website states that it “works from within the news industry to foster fair and accurate coverage of lesbian and gay issues. NLGJA opposes workplace bias against all minorities and provides professional development for its members.” Much of the Fourth Estate currently discriminates by employing only bi/homosexuals to cover “sexual orientation” issues, further compromising the public’s ability to obtain unbiased reports. On the evidence, what the NLGJA views as “fair” and “accurate” and what it views as “bias” evidences a serious conflict of interest.

²⁰² “Final Report of the Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations with respect to Intelligence Activities”. Book 1. p. 192. United States Senate, 94th Congress, 2nd Session. Report No. 94–755. Retrieved August 11, 2020 from: http://www.aarclibrary.org/publib/church/reports/book1/html/ChurchB1_0100b.htm

supranational sovereignty of an intellectual elite and world bankers is surely preferable to the national auto-determination practiced in past centuries.²⁰³

As Kevin Shipp, former CIA agent, describes in the 2020 documentary, *OUT OF SHADOW*, Hollywood is still as powerful means of shaping of the national consciousness:

Their purpose is to capture the minds of the people and to feed them false history. The CIA funnels false history into Hollywood, Hollywood puts it out into the movies and the population believes it.²⁰⁴

Rockland Psychiatrist was a “Russian Spy”




Figure 8 and 9: Excerpts from news clippings, the Texas News-Herald, July 26, 1963

The efficacy of CIA/Rockefeller control of information is evident in that the profound secrecy they managed to preserve around the extensive work of MKULTRA.

Considering the scale of this work, and the thousands of people involved, the preservation of secrecy is a remarkable achievement. The wall of silence was not perfect. We can certainly point to examples where the veil was drawn back sufficiently for a chink of light to shine on activities the CIA would have preferred remained hidden. But even in such cases, the power of the machine is still notable for its ability to limit the damage so that only an isolated individual was exposed, while the rest of the network was protected.

The 1960 exposure of Dr Robert A. Soblen is an example. Having arrived in America in 1941, by 1946, Soblen had risen to the distinguished position of “Supervising Psychiatrist” at Rockland Mental Hospital. (His wife worked at the Rockland State Hospital). As one columnist observed, Soblen was well-positioned to be useful to foreign powers:

[P]sychiatrists are often recruited by the Soviet. There is no better way of learning secrets than on the consultation couch. Also, headshrinkers have a better than average opportunity to come into contact with the queer and emotionally unstable who, when they're in government or strategic spots, often have access to classified material. It's an interesting subject full of dynamite...²⁰⁵

²⁰³ “That statement and other remarks from the Bilderberg meeting were obtained by French intelligence agents, who were tasked with monitoring the gathering, because of the obvious implications for French national interests and security. The information was then leaked to two French publications. Hilaire du Berrier, a contributing editor to *The New American*, verified the authenticity of the reports through his friend, former head of French intelligence, Count Alexander de Marenches, and other sources, and provided the first account in English in his Monaco-based monthly HduB Reports in September 1991. It was then published shortly thereafter in *The New American*. William F. Jasper. (December 27, 2002). “Bilderberg-picked EU Leader Van Rompuy Calls for Global Governance With Russia”. *The New American*. Retrieved July 29, 2020 from: <https://www.thenewamerican.com/world-news/europe/item/14041-bilderberg-picked-eu-leader-van-rompuy-calls-for-global-governance-with-russia>

²⁰⁴ ViceGaming. (2020, April 13). *OUT OF SHADOWS*. [video documentary]. YouTube. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0wTiCxgrJw>

²⁰⁵ Reprint in Borger. (July 26, 1963). *Texas News-Herald*.

We now know that Rockland was a nerve-center for CIA operations. However, where Soblen's exposure might easily have led to the exposure of the whole network, the media presentation –



although sensational – stuck to the story that Soblen was an isolated agent who had been recruited to work for anti-American interests *after* his installation at the hospital. “Dr. Robert Soblen ... was convicted of being a soviet spy, right out of Beria’s spy ring” and got a “life sentence for his services to Russia which extended over a period of 20 years!”²⁰⁶ The alternative hypothesis – that he was installed at Rockland deliberately because his work there was only one part of a wider network – was never even canvassed.

Kinsey and SS Nazi Herr Fritz von Balluseck

Another example of the power of the CIA to muffle unwelcome news is demonstrated in the profound and remarkable silence in the American media on the subject of Kinsey's association with Dr. Friedrich von Balluseck. During WWII, Balluseck had used his position of authority in a Polish concentration camp to sexually abuse children, threatening them with the gas chambers if they refused to comply. Balluseck's crimes were revealed in 1957 when he was tried and for the rape and murder of an eleven-year-old girl. The trial brought to light Balluseck's long-standing correspondence with Kinsey. Kinsey had sent Balluseck books on child sexuality with hand-written notes to take care not to get caught. In return, Balluseck was asked to contribute his personal observations of children's sexuality toward the Kinsey's research.

Balluseck's May 1957 trial caused Kinsey's name to be splashed liberally across German newspapers.²⁰⁷ (See, for example, at left, *Der. Tagespiegel*, May 15, 1957, “Balluseck-Report” an Kinsey”). Yet, strangely, the American press, despite being intensely interested in promoting Kinsey's work otherwise, remained silent about the whole incident. There is nothing to show on the surface that the FBI or CIA were even aware of Kinsey's association with Balluseck.

Even when, answering a request from the 1954 Reece Congressional Committee, Dulles assigned a CIA analyst to advise on the global impact of Kinsey's *Male Report* the investigation only managed to turn up a single item in which German Radio mentioned Kinsey as “America's No. 1 Medicine Man”. The CIA could find nothing else said about Kinsey anywhere else in the world. This is hardly to be reconciled with what we know of Kinsey's worldwide fame at the time. Kinsey's [ongoing overwhelming success](#) was reflected in worldwide sales figures. His works had been translated into 13 languages.²⁰⁸ The CIA's determination to ignore the Balluseck scandal when it occurred would be another example of Operation Mockingbird in action.

²⁰⁶ Rosalyn Higgins. (2012, March). “The Soblen Case.” [Oxford Scholarship Online](#). (June 27,1962). See also, Convicted Congressional Record. 1962. <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/GPO-CRECB-1962-pt17/pdf/GPO-CRECB-1962-pt17-6-2.pdf>

²⁰⁷ *Secret Histories: Kinsey's Paedophiles*.

²⁰⁸ [Ron Jackson Suresha](#). (December 12, 2008). “Properly Placed before the Public”: Publication and Translation of the Kinsey Reports”. *Journal of Bisexuality*. pp. 203–228. <https://www.tandfonline.com/openaccess/opensselect>

Marketing Kinsey as a Sex “Scientist”

The Honorable Carroll Reece
Chairman, Special Committee to
Investigate Tax Exempt Foundations
Room 103, 131 Indiana Avenue, N. W.
Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Since receipt of your letter requesting information on the reactions in foreign countries to the Kinsey Report, I have had a check made through the Foreign Broadcast Information Service to see what foreign radio broadcasts have been monitored on this subject. The only commentary which we found was from the East German radio on 3 September 1953, and copies of this commentary are attached for your information.

While the radios of the free world doubtless may have broadcast commentaries on the Kinsey report, we would not usually note them in the absence of a specific request for such material, as they are outside of the scope of the material being studied. However, we do occasionally get such material.

It is worth emphasizing that Kinsey was a no one from nowhere, a middle-class kid at a time when few people attended university. His job at Indiana University in the 1940s, placed him in an intellectual backwater. As a zoologist who collected a great many gall wasps, Kinsey was undistinguished prior to his Rockefeller patronage and would likely have remained so. The “Kinsey myth” – that Kinsey’s sincere wish to help students led him to stumble upon earth-shattering scientific discoveries that altered the course of human history – is recognized, even by his sympathetic biographers, as a work of fiction.

It is confected to explain the otherwise inexplicable transformation of a distinctly ordinary “bug” man, with an unexceptional mind, into an

international superstar; “one of the greatest scientists of the twentieth century.” This unlikely fiction plays to the American working-class dream of a meritocracy in which talent is recognized, energy rewarded, and one man really can change the world. The propaganda machine operating in the background would be well aware that people believe such stories because they want to believe such stories.

The fictive account also diverts attention from the more likely explanation that Kinsey attracted the attention of his powerful patrons (who, please remember, have demonstrated systematic contempt for the working class) for quite different reasons. Kinsey was an obsessed eugenicist,²⁰⁹ atheist, adulterous misogynist, committed racist, a reckless bi/homosexual, addicted masturbator, masochist, pornography producer and performer, and mass pedosadist.²¹⁰ The films of orgies both in his family attic and his sound-proofed rooms at the Kinsey Institute (produced by Clarence Tripp who was recruited for the job), were kept far from public view as was Kinsey’s penchant for excessive genital rigor used both on himself and on others.²¹¹

Most of this would have been known to Indiana University, RF, the FBI and the CIA.²¹² Indeed, Kinsey’s sexual activities in public and “private” were often so impulsive, so foolhardy that they must have caused his protectors many sleepless nights.²¹³ Nevertheless, even when these activities led to Kinsey’s early death associated with orchitis, (which often results from sadomasochistic trauma as well as venereal disease),²¹⁴ they were successful in keeping the cat firmly in the bag,

²⁰⁹ Kinsey had called for the sterilization of 10% of the population. (James Jones. (1997). *Kinsey: A Public/Private Life*, W.W. Norton, New York. p. 809. f. 78).

²¹⁰ See the mountain of evidence documented in Reisman. (1990). *Kinsey, Sex and Fraud*; (1991) *"Soft" Porn Plays Hard Ball*; (1998, 2003) *Kinsey, Crimes & Consequences*; (2010) *Sexual Sabotage*; (2013) *Stolen Honor, Stolen Innocence* and numerous American and foreign video documentaries available at: <https://www.thereismaninstitute.org/>

²¹¹ James H. Jones. (September 1, 1997). “Dr. Yes.” *The New Yorker*. pp. 103-106.

²¹² It was certainly not a secret within the faculty at the University of Indiana. (James H. Jones. (2004). *Dr Alfred C. Kinsey: A life*. W.W. Norton and Company).

²¹³ Ibid. Also see Reisman’s books, including *Stolen Honor, Stolen Innocence*.

²¹⁴ Ibid. Also see Jones’ biography, *Kinsey: A Public/Private Life*, pp. 514–515. Jones tries to ignore Kinsey’s child abuse.

maintaining the fiction that Kinsey was your average, disinterested scientific, married fellow, seeking the truth about human sexuality only to benefit the world.

No post WWII Americans were to know the man behind the mask. The “Kinsey-as-superstar” fiction has been carefully maintained throughout the decades since his death. The 2004 movie *Dr Kinsey*, starring Liam Neeson, is only the latest example. Here, Kinsey is represented as a classic, conservative, crew-cut, bow-tied, scholar; a family man with the expected attributes of balanced religiosity and family devotion. Kinsey was placed center-stage to be the face of the wise, “scientific” “truth-teller” with flattering media backup provided as needed. Gore Vidal proclaimed Kinsey as the “most famous man in America, the world, for about a decade.”²¹⁵ His thoughtful “American” visage, like any major movie celebrity, appeared repeatedly in publicity photos with his respectable staff or sitting next to his wife, “Mac”, engaged in wifely knitting.

Deutsch, a poor “investigative reporter” but a great propagandist

As well as working to screen their hand-picked men from adverse public attention, the Rockefeller/CIA cabal was able to promote public trust through positive propaganda campaigns in which misinformation was delivered by means of a well-oiled PR industry to a captive press. In this way, Kinsey – a psychopathic pedosadist – was presented as a disinterested, credible, even heroic man of science.

It is reasonable to suppose that Deutsch, the author of the *LOOK* magazine article about Kinsey was one such CIA media asset, paid to manufacture the false public image for Kinsey that was necessary if the public were to trust his message. Ostensibly, Deutsch was an investigative reporter. If so, he was a remarkably poor one. From 1945 he published series of exposés²¹⁶ documenting alleged hospital abuses. But he failed to notice yet more medical experiments carried out on developmentally disabled children in Willowbrook State School in New York for almost a decade starting in 1947.²¹⁷ Were Deutsch really an investigative reporter researching exactly this subject matter at the time these experiments were occurring then this is quite a scoop to miss.

In the same way, where the critical eye of an “investigative reporter” might have been expected to notice the startling evidence of sexual assault of children in Kinsey’s Tables 30–34, or the blatantly false statistics throughout, the interviewing techniques driving subjects towards over-confession,²¹⁸ or the inherent bias of a volunteer sample, (to name only a few methodological problems), Deutsch remains oblivious to all of this. Instead, we have the stories of happy children being “interviewed” by the clever Kinsey so gently that they do not even realize they are being interviewed. Ironically, in seeking to glorify Kinsey to the world in his *LOOK* article, Deutsch may have over-stepped the mark required by discretion. After all, this is the article that links Kinsey positively to the Columbia-Greystone Project and Rockland – work that is otherwise only vaguely

²¹⁵ Kinsey. (1996). “Reputations.” *Biography*, BBC-TV, rebroadcast on Arts & Entertainment.

²¹⁶ Claire Chambers. (1977). *The SIECUS Circle*. Western Islands. pp. 277–280.

²¹⁷ “Several outbreaks of hepatitis were reported shortly after Willowbrook opened its doors ...The outbreaks should have led to increased medical care for the residents, but instead the residents became subjects of a controversial medical experiment. Adults and children were knowingly injected with the virus that causes hepatitis for a medical study. Some were forced to eat feces from other residents who were infected with the disease.” The deplorable conditions in this institution were exposed by Senator Robert Kennedy who visited in 1965 and described it as a ‘snake pit’. (See Kristin F. Dalton. (February 24, 2020). “The horrors of Willowbrook State Hospital”. *Silive.com*. Retrieved July 30, 2020 from https://www.silive.com/news/2017/01/the_horrors_of_willowbrook_sta.html)

²¹⁸ The CIA analyst reviewing Kinsey’s book identified the problem with Kinsey’s interview technique without difficulty commenting simply that “the validity of his findings obtained from high pressure interviews is questionable.” <https://www.cia.gov/library/readingroom/docs/CIA-RDP80R01731R002900430050-3.pdf> (p. 2)

referred to in other sources.²¹⁹ Perhaps Deutsch's mistake was recognized as such after the fact. That might explain why "Part II" of his article, which was to have covered the Columbia-Greystone Association sex research, was quietly purged from the Institute website.

Again, the admirable energy Deutsch demonstrated in supporting the launch Kinsey's *Male Report*, while at variance with the stance of "disinterested investigative journalist", is entirely consistent with the role of a hired PR man. Compiling and editing a book comprising "fourteen chapters, each written by an outstanding person in a chosen field to report his or her reaction to Kinsey's work from various aspects such as anthropological, religious, sociological, psychiatric, etc", Deutsch helped produce the impression of wide academic acclaim for Kinsey's work. Each of these authors, it was claimed, had read Kinsey's 804-page volume (first printed in January 1948) and provided their responses in time for Deutsch to have edited, proofed, type set and printed the whole symposium by May 1 of the same year; barely four months later.²²⁰

Where a CIA agent (apparently an honest one) tasked with reviewing Kinsey's book in 1950 spotted many of these errors, Deutsch missed them all. The (anonymous) CIA agent even got dangerously close to the mark when he queried the source of Kinsey's information on childhood sexuality, noticing that "Kinsey fails to state that he talked to any boys--merely to adults who discussed their childhood activities. This would seem to the reviewer as typical of his alleged scientific approach – [it appears to be not as scientific as it is prejudicious.](#)"²²¹

We can conclude from all of this that Deutsch, very far from the objective, critical investigative reporter after a good story, was part of the impressive propaganda machine provided to support Kinsey and present him to the world as a prophet of scientific truth.

Where sophisticated Hollywood technology now facilitates the task the job of imprinting misinformation on the public consciousness, Kinsey's contemporary marketers made good use of the more humble methods available. Magazines, for example, proved a particularly useful way to dish up a steady diet of sanitized Kinsey and the "scientific" recommendations of his Institute to America's reading public.²²² A series of women's magazines eagerly reprinted the received wisdom of Kinsey and his young protégées:

If a child were not culturally conditioned, it is doubtful if it would be disturbed by sexual approaches...It is difficult to understand why a child, except for its

²¹⁹ Even those writing years later avoid this subject. For example, Anke Ehrhardt, Professor of [Psychiatry at Columbia University](#). (1998) "Just Say Maybe; No Sexology, Please. We're Americans". *New York Times*; June Reinisch, Columbia University psychologist and Kinsey Institute Director (1982–93) make no mention of Kinsey's Columbia work.

²²⁰ In 1948 four books appear instantly, representing every academic discipline, congratulating Kinsey on his brilliant, brave, truthful, accurate sex research. "Mockingbird" activities are strongly suspected. In those years, each had obviously been solicited, written, edited, and embargoed years prior, awaiting the right time for their release. "Four Kinsey Books Call For "Science-Based" Sex-Law Reform In 1948: (1) *Sex Habits of American Men: A Symposium on the Kinsey Report* (early 1948); (2) *The Ethics of Sexual Acts* (March 1948); (3) *About the Kinsey Report* (May 1948) and; (4) *American Sexual Behavior and the Kinsey Report* (July 1948)." See Reisman. *Stolen Honor, Stolen Innocence*. pp. 189–192.

²²¹ The CIA analyst on page 3.

²²² Reisman. *Stolen Honor, Stolen Innocence*. p. 78. "Fifteen leading women's magazines—including Redbook, Pageant, McCall's, Ladies' Home Journal, Today's Woman, Woman's Home Companion, and Cosmopolitan—urged their readers to learn from Kinsey's Female volume how to rear their children sexually, how to please their husbands, and how to evaluate themselves as sexually healthy women." In 1965, for example, *The Ladies Home Journal* included an article by Paul Gebhard, one of Kinsey's hand-picked team, which reassured parents that, should parents discovered their daughters have been sexually fondled by strange men, the very best thing they can do is nothing at all: "The moral for parents ... is to remain as calm and reassuring as possible – for it seems to be largely the parents' reaction to the experience, rather than the experience itself, that is traumatic for the child. (Paul Gebhard. (May, 1965). "Our Dangerous Sex Laws". *Ladies Home Journal*. Available here: https://www.webharvest.gov/peth04/20041018152449/http://foia.fbi.gov/kinsey_alfred/kinsey_alfred_part01.pdf

cultural conditioning, should be disturbed at having its genitalia touched, or disturbed at seeing the genitalia of other persons, or disturbed at even more specific sexual contacts. *When children are constantly warned by parents and teachers against contacts with adults ... they are ready to become hysterical as soon as any older person approaches, or ... fondles them ... experienced students of juvenile problems ... believe that the emotional reactions of the parents, police officers, and other adults ... may disturb the child more seriously than the sexual contacts themselves. The current hysteria over sex offenders may very well have serious effects on the ability of many of these children to work out sexual adjustments some years later in their marriages.*²²³

Soon “educated” parents, teachers, police would dismiss a child’s complaint. This is the very opposite of the mandatory reporting of possible pedosadist approaches widely required by law now but (unsurprisingly), continues to be the recommended approach of pedosadists and their apologists.²²⁴

Academic criticism over-ridden by propaganda

Although we have been identifying the CIA as the instrument of the Rockefellers here, it is worth clarifying that not every agent was co-opted to the Rockefeller interest, any more than every scientist was signed up to the globalist agenda. The Rockefellers and the CIA worked by infiltration, rather than outright take-over. As the Church Committee found, “[o]nly a few ‘key individuals’ in each institution were ‘made witting of Agency sponsorship’.”²²⁵ Dr Carl Rogers, for example, claims he became an unwitting CIA agent simply through pursuing his chosen discipline: “If behavioral scientists are concerned solely with advancing their science it seems most probable that they will serve ... whatever group has the power.”²²⁶

Even elements of RF appear to have been sincere in their scientific pursuits and perhaps less aware of the globalist agenda they were serving. So, in 1951, while Kinsey was working in the Rockefeller interest, we find a letter from Warren Weaver (head of the Natural Science Division of the RF), registering his concern that Kinsey’s statistics were deliberately false, fraudulent:

Past and present needs remain unsatisfied in point of statistics. This fault – this admittedly absolutely basic fault – existed in the project in 1942, it has existed ever since, there is no promise whatsoever that it will cease to exist--and we do nothing about it.²²⁷

Former senior Kinsey team member, William Simon, told psychiatrist, Arno Karlen, that Kinsey included data from only about 4,500 of his total sample in his published studies. Karlen quotes Simon: “Kinsey interviewed 18,000 people and used only a quarter of the cases in his two reports.

²²³ *Female Report*. L. 4126-8.

²²⁴ Todd Nickerson. “I’m a pedophile, but not a monster”. *Salon.com* Retrieved December 2, 2019 from <https://hackerfall.com/story/im-a-pedophile-but-not-a-monster>. Nickerson praises his own grandmother for her wisdom in ignoring his own report of having his genitals fondled by a family friend (when he was about 8 years old).

²²⁵ Church Committee citing the Investigator General’s 1963 report. (April 26, 1976). “Final Report of the Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations with respect to Intelligence Activities”. Book 1. p. 422. United States Senate, 94th Congress, 2nd Session. Report No. 94-755. Retrieved August 11, 2020 from: http://www.aarclibrary.org/publib/church/reports/book1/html/ChurchB1_0215b.htm

²²⁶ Carl Rogers. (July 20, 2012). *On Becoming a Person: A Therapist’s View of Psychotherapy*, Houghton Mifflin Harcourt p. 388.

²²⁷ Reiman. *Stolen Honor, Stolen Innocence*. p. 31 and 53. See Weavers’s final 12-page letter at <https://www.dropbox.com/t/fzDGVluQXS5KLaOj>

Some of the data are still on file but haven't even been coded on the IBM cards for statistical study yet.²²⁸

Certainly Kinsey had plenty of contemporary critics. In 1954, William Cochran et al summarized their extensive survey of methodological objections to Kinsey's work with the devastating remark that "taken cumulatively ... much of the writing in the book falls below the level of good scientific writing."²²⁹ Even the size of these errors was unquantifiable: "[Kinsey's] results must be regarded as subject to systematic errors of unknown magnitude."²³⁰ Later critics of Kinsey have been less diplomatic. Gershon Legman, an early Kinsey biographer dismissed his vaunted research as "pure propaganda ... ridiculously far from the mathematical or statistical science pretended."²³¹

Yet all of this might weigh nothing in balance against a well-marketed lie in terms of their impact on the public consciousness and the launch of Kinsey's work was certainly well-supported in terms of PR spin. The wildly popular volume quickly reached number two on the *New York Times* Bestseller's List...The books became known in the media and popular culture as the 'Kinsey Reports'.

Both volumes featured the Heterosexual-Homosexual Rating Scale—more commonly known as "The Kinsey Scale."

The Kinsey Institute's collection of media responses to the Kinsey Reports comprises 72 binders with more than 25,000 original clippings from journals, newspapers, and magazines dating from 1947 to the present: "academic articles, book reviews, editorials, letters and advertisements, cartoons, and obituaries of Dr. Kinsey's death."²³²



KINSEY INSTITUTE PHOTO

Again, the anonymous CIA agent noted the comprehensive saturation of the national consciousness achieved by Kinsey's message:

Within ten weeks after the publication of Kinsey's report, his name was a byword in the American household. A Gallup poll reflected that within two months after publication one out of every five Americans had either heard of the book or read it. That in itself is a phenomenon in book publishing circles" and all the more surprising for a "cold, analytical, 804-page book bulging with statistical charts."²³³

²²⁸ Arno Karlen. (1971). *Sexuality and Homosexuality*. W. W. Norton, Inc. p. 456.

²²⁹ Cochran et al. Op. cit. p. 152.

²³⁰ William G. Cochran, Frederick Mosteller and John Tukey. (1953). "Statistical Problems of the Kinsey Report". *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, vol. 48. pp. 673–716.

²³¹ Gershon Legman. (1964). *The Horn Book*. pp. 125–126.

²³² The Kinsey Institute. <https://kinseyinstitute.org/collections/archival/alfred-kinsey-collection.php>

²³³ <https://www.cia.gov/library/readingroom/docs/CIA-RDP80R01731R002900430050-3.pdf>

The nation is ‘shocked’ ... but believes



The marketing of Kinsey’s work was exceptionally clever, if somewhat counter-intuitive. The RF-controlled media blanketed America with headlines, cartoons, photos, films, TV coverage all underscoring the message that Kinsey’s declarations though utterly shocking, were also completely reliable.

Posters of women looking scandalized appeared alongside publicity shots of Kinsey and his team looking earnest and trustworthy.²³⁴ Headlines declared Kinsey “is published, to rave reviews and much shock”²³⁵; “[T]he American press hail Kinsey’s report ... Shock has a monetary value.”²³⁶ Aldous Huxley said, “the ... abnormality [Kinsey] revealed shocked the American public.”²³⁷ “The research astounded the general public ... caused shock and outrage”.²³⁸ Indeed, the message has remained consistent ever since. Even at the end of the twentieth century one headline still proclaimed: “50 years ago, Kinsey shocked the world with report on female sexuality.”²³⁹

Popular teen films like *Where the Boys Are* (1960) and popular hit songs like Cole Porter’s “It’s Too Darn Hot” (1948), which includes the lyrics: “According to the Kinsey Report, Ev’ry average man you know...” etc. brought science into popular culture and helped cement the belief that Kinsey’s declarations were generally accepted fact. Kinsey & Co. were marketed as honorable “scientists.” Kinsey, “[t]he biologist ... exposed the country’s hypocritical past”.²⁴⁰

The psychology is simple: if an idea is held to be questionable, it is unlikely to cause scandal. By emphasizing the public scandal created by Kinsey’s ‘revelations’, the spin doctors ensured Kinsey had the world’s attention, and were able to saturate the national consciousness in the message that – however outlandish they might appear – Kinsey’s declarations were, nevertheless, to be believed.

This impression of credibility was strongly supported by the fiction that Kinsey was the very embodiment of the “respectable family man”. His bisexuality and sadomasochism were airbrushed out of the picture and, with his public image thus curated, Kinsey was presented to the world as:

²³⁴ All Kinsey images from PBS, <https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/americanexperience/features/kinsey-news/>

²³⁵ The American Experience. <https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/americanexperience/>

²³⁶ Ellen McClay. (2006). *In the Presence of Our Enemies*. Author House. p. 255.

²³⁷ Ibid. p. 255.

²³⁸ https://psychology.wikia.org/wiki/Kinsey_Reports (January 31, 2018). <https://www.dw.com/en/kinsey-report-at-70-a-sober-researcher-on-a-still-hot-topic/a-42386751>

²³⁹ Shannon Dininny. (January 27, 2003). Associated Press.

²⁴⁰ (November 10, 1991). “Kinsey ... Studies Sparked the Sexual Revolution.” *Los Angeles Times*.

“the disinterested scientist, the person with no axe to grind, no vested interest, no desire to influence policy one way or the other, a kind of simple 19th century empiricist who is just collecting, assembling, and presenting data, a Victorian metric minded, morally neutral, totally dispassionate investigator who simply sees a hole in the literature... to just serve his students and science.”²⁴¹

His association with the now-prestigious RF especially served to imbue Kinsey with scientific gravitas. The *Male Report's* Preface, scribed by Alan Gregg of The Medical Sciences RF, assures the reading nations (and academics hoping for future Rockefeller grants), that the publisher and RF had scores of professional critics who studied its statistics, methodology and conclusions prior to the reports distribution:

These studies are sincere, objective, and determined explorations of a field manifestly important to education, medicine, government, and the integrity of human conduct generally. They have demanded from Dr. Kinsey and his colleagues very unusual tenacity of purpose, tolerance, analytical competence, *social* skills, and real courage. I hope that the reader will match the authors with an equal and appropriate measure of cool attention, courageous judgment, and scientific equanimity.²⁴²

The “Publisher’s Foreword” granted RF-Kinsey & Co. full credibility:

This volume is presented as an objective factual study of sexual behavior in the human male. It is based on surveys made by members of the staff of Indiana University, and supported by the National Research Council's Committee for Research on Problems of Sex by Means of funds contributed by the Medical Division of The Rockefeller Foundation. This book is intended primarily for workers in the fields of medicine, biology, psychology, sociology, anthropology and allied sciences and for teachers, social workers, personnel officers, law enforcement groups, and others concerned with the direction of human behavior.²⁴³

Ironically, a radio station in East Germany – not celebrated for its free press in 1953 – seems to have had no trouble identifying the whole Kinsey narrative as a Rockefeller plot.

A Rockefeller plot President Truman called “treason”

The transcript of this broadcast from September 3, 1953 was presented to the Reece Committee in response to its request that Dulles investigate Kinsey’s reception in foreign media. The narrative (pictured below) drips with heavy sarcasm as it mocks the idea that anyone would believe Kinsey at all:

²⁴¹ Ibid.

²⁴² *Male Report*. p. vi.

²⁴³ *Male Report*. p. viv.

"Dr Kinsey ... a man without the slightest scientific distinction and one who did

not even get his medical degree ... struck out on a path to wealth and fame that is not at all unusual in the United States.

Kinsey "discovers" such commonplaces as the observation that adultery has changed from an exception into the rule ... 99 percent of girls under age amuse themselves with love games ... [Kinsey] comes to the conclusion that it is not in the least unusual for an American girl to have slept with five men before marriage.

His book, quoted in innumerable papers and magazines, will make sure that the subject of sex more than ever dominated conversation as well as thought in the United States ... This, of course, diverts attention from the

Approved For Release 2003/03/07 : CIA-RDP80R01731R001600080102-8

DR. W. H. KINSEY HAS BECOME AMERICA'S NO. 1 MEDICINE MAN
Anonymous Commentary

(Summary with quotations)

Deutschlandstender, in German to East and West Germany, 7 September 1955, 1190 23

Dr. Kinsey has created a furor in the United States, "because he brilliantly solved an important task of State policy." A man without the slightest scientific distinction and one who did not even get his medical degree, he struck out on a path to wealth and fame that is not at all unusual in the United States—he wrote a book about sex under a spy title. This alone was sufficient to insure its success.

In his book on female sexual behavior Kinsey "discovers" such commonplaces as the observation that adultery has changed from an exception into the rule in the United States, or that, in this respect, the young generation is a match for its parents. Thus, 99 percent of girls under age amuse themselves with love games.

Kinsey thus revealed the moral bankruptcy of American life. This is not a new discovery, but Kinsey is not out for new discoveries. His book, quoted in innumerable papers and magazines, will make sure that the subject of sex more than ever dominates conversation as well as thought in the United States.

"Kinsey, for instance, comes to the conclusion that it is not in the least unusual for an American girl to have slept with five men before marriage. This, of course, provides an inexhaustible subject for conversation, and effectively diverts attention from the queer goings-on behind the backs of the American people—from the high taxes extracted from them, which unfailingly find their way into the bank accounts of Morgan and Company; from the price-rebates which fill the life of American households with worry; from Eisenhower's new tax provision for a further increase in rents which even now swallow one-third of the average tenant's income; and from the fact that, even though the shooting is over in Korea, young Americans are not being allowed to get out of military.

"To think of these things is felt to be undesirable in Washington. And this is why Kinsey could score his great hit. In recognition, then, of the great favor he had rendered to Rockefeller, Mellon, Morgan, and their crew by turning out his treatise, he not only received from the University of Indiana an annual salary of \$10,000 while he was preparing his book, but in addition he was given \$40,000 a year for life from the funds of the Rockefeller Foundation.

"This is how a hired charlatan achieves fame, how brains are being befuddled, and a young generation is being dragged into the morass of a morbid sexualism. Kinsey's book will soon be published in German. Evidently it suits the gentlemen who pay him \$40,000 a year to continue, with the greatest speed, the process of destroying morality which has already begun in West Germany. When the German youth is obsessed by sex throughout his waking and sleeping hours, it will be all the easier to pull the steel helmet over his ears and the wool over his eyes.

Adenauer is in complete agreement with Rockefeller on this point. Let this pollution of love must not be allowed. Let us protect our children from that tidal wave of American mud and filth.

Approved For Release 2003/03/07 : CIA-RDP80R01731R001600080102-8

queer goings-on behind the backs of the American people – from the high taxes extracted from them, which unfailingly find their way into the bank accounts of Morgan and Company ... In recognition, then, of the great favor he had rendered to Rockefeller ... [Kinsey] not only received from the University of Indiana an annual salary of \$10,000 while he was preparing his book, but in addition he was given \$40,000 a year for life from the funds of the Rockefeller Foundation.

This is how a hired charlatan achieves fame, how brains are being befuddled, and a young generation is being dragged into the morass of a morbid sexualism. Kinsey's book will soon be published in German. Evidently it suits the gentlemen who pay him \$40,000 a year to continue, with the greatest speed, the process of destroying morality which has already begun in West Germany. When the German youth is obsessed by sex throughout his waking and sleeping hours, it will be all the easier to pull the steel helmet over his ears and the wool over his eyes.

Adenauer is in complete agreement with Rockefeller on this point. But this pollution of love must not be allowed. Let us protect our children from that tidal wave of American mud and filth.²⁴⁴

²⁴⁴ <https://www.cia.gov/library/readingroom/docs/CIA-RDP80R01731R001600080102-8.pdf>

Especially in hindsight, the perspicacity of the author is remarkable. He has summarized exactly the hypothesis of this report: that Kinsey was a manufactured “scientific phenomenon”; that his “discoveries” were commissioned because they served the greater Rockefeller cabal’s interest in destroying the morality, and thus the social fabric, of America (and other liberal democracies) in order to facilitate population control by a corrupt NWO elite.



Obligingly, the RF has basically admitted what this anonymous East German broadcaster was saying. In a report authored by [Rachel Wimpee](#), Assistant Director of Research and Education, Rockefeller Archive Center, and published January 9, 2020, the RF logo is superimposed upon a backdrop of the infamous, fraudulent, heterosexual vs. homosexual “Kinsey Scale” (above) with the title “Funding a Sexual Revolution: The Kinsey Reports”.²⁴⁵ Apparently Wimpee considers the Rockefeller’s responsibility for the “Sexual Revolution”, which continues to bring about national, cultural, moral, legal, religious, familial deconstruction, to be a coup and a matter for great pride.

Section 4: Sustaining the lie through organised crime

If RK-Kinsey planted the seeds of social destruction, they have certainly been well-tended ever since by their partners in crime and fellow travellers. The governmental oversight which might have uncovered the crime and corrected the course of the nation has been inhibited by blackmail, lies and corruption involving the network of usual suspects.

The power of secrets

The recent disclosure of Jeffrey Epstein’s sex trafficking ring, catering for the rich and famous, is only the latest in a long line of operations which offer similar opportunities to blackmail the influential. As former CIA agent, Robert David Steele, has explained: “Pedophilia is how the deep state recruits and controls people.”²⁴⁶ The basic methods of enticement and blackmail appear to have remained essentially unchanged for decades.

²⁴⁵ Rachel Wimpee “The Assistant Director of Research and Education, Rockefeller Archive Center” wrote RF deserves the award for *Funding a Sexual Revolution: The Kinsey Reports* -- over a million a year (in current dollars) 1943 to 1953. <https://resource.rockarch.org/story/funding-a-sexual-revolution-the-kinsey-reports/>

²⁴⁶ Former CIA agent, Robert David Steele, speaking in his capacity as Chief Council to the ITNJ Judicial Commission of Inquiry into Human Trafficking and Child Sex Abuse affirmed “Pedophilia is how the deep state recruits and controls people”. So Cap Clips. (April 22,

RF-Kinsey's access to the sexual secrets of prominent individuals gave him enormous power over them. Should they become a political problem, Kinsey could claim sex information (true or false) about the renegade and his life could be ruined. Kinsey's own pedosadist activities were secret in his lifetime and continue to be protected to this day. But his co-author and sometime sex partner, Wardell Pomeroy, makes it clear that Kinsey was ready, at least potentially, to expose others:

I think he liked secrets, that their possession gave him a sense of power. And there was no question that *the histories did give him unique potential power*. On the Indiana campus alone, there were at least twenty professors with homosexual histories unknown to anyone else, not to mention the numerous extramarital experiences recorded ... *With his intimate knowledge of the sexual lives of important people, Kinsey could have figuratively blown up the United States socially and politically*. [P]erhaps he liked to feel sometimes that he was putting something over on the world. Kinsey's possession of such sex secrets amounted to a subtle form of coercion bordering on blackmail.²⁴⁷

Similarly, in Canada, Cameron made the children in his care available for pedosadist abuse to provide material for blackmail and bribery. As Thompson explains:

Several children were sexually abused, in at least one case by several men. One of the children was filmed numerous times performing sexual acts with high-ranking federal government officials, in a scheme set up by Cameron and other MKULTRA researchers, to blackmail the officials to ensure further funding for the experiments.²⁴⁸

When we understand that Kinsey and Cameron were not working alone but, rather, were the instruments of puppet masters who remained in the shadows, then it becomes clear that Kinsey's power and Cameron's was RF's to wield. The result was that, although ostensibly democracy was still functioning, behind the scenes the machinery of democratic justice and administration was strategically corrupted so that, when needed, the powers of the state could be co-opted to serve the interests of this corporate crime syndicate.

What happened in America was only part of the "monolithic and ruthless conspiracy that relies primarily on covert means for expanding its sphere of influence—on infiltration instead of invasion, on subversion instead of elections, on intimidation instead of free choice, on guerrillas by night instead of armies by day" to which President Kennedy famously referred.²⁴⁹ The conspiracy is global but, in keeping with the focus of this paper, we will keep our attention on the American side of operations to show how RF-Kinsey's work has been protected from exposure and the "morbid sexualism" the cabal initiated and perpetuated.

2020). "WATCH BEFORE IT GETS TAKEN DOWN - Ex CIA interview on celebrities eating children". [video]. YouTube. Retrieved August 6, 2020 from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ar2kcmvBpY> [8:30].

²⁴⁷ Reisman. *Stolen Honor, Stolen Innocence*. p. 37. (Emphasis added).

²⁴⁸ Ibid.

²⁴⁹ Live Safely. (March 9, 2011). "President John F. Kennedy Speech on Secret Society, April 27, 1961" [audio]. YouTube. Retrieved August 10, 2020 from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VvQ2FUwvcqW>

Hefner the once college virgin is now “Kinsey’s Pamphleteer”

Hefner’s *Playboy Mansion* certainly offered the opportunity for similar entrapment and blackmail of the rich, famous and influential. In *OUT OF SHADOW*, Shipp identifies Hefner as a CIA mole for blackmail operations:

Getting well-known government and private industry lead people in compromising positions at the *Playboy* mansion and on Epstein’s plane ... and photographing them. After that, it’s “Congressman so-in-so ... I wouldn’t bring that up ... because we’ve got photographs of you with a 14-year-old girl” ... Talk about shutting somebody up, that’s how they do it.²⁵⁰

Yes, blackmail is power. Perhaps this explains the smug smile on Hugh Hefner’s face as he displayed his extensive library of files to a journalist for *Esquire Magazine* (below).²⁵¹



Where Kinsey filmed orgies in his attic with the full knowledge of his subjects, Hefner had the advantage of technology which enabled him to capture incriminating evidence secretly. In her 2005 book, [Jill Ann Spaulding](#) reports:

Hiding the Wiring

Wiring isn't pretty. Hugh Hefner, an infamous judge of beauty, knew that. When he created his notorious bachelor pad in a Beaux Arts mansion in Chicago 30 years ago, he hid the wiring in ways that are still innovative today.

In the media room, Hefner concealed lights and video cameras behind decorative moldings, says Ellen Bailey Dickson of Bailey Edward Design in Chicago. In the bedroom, heavy ceiling medallions disguised cameras above the circular bed. All this

Hefner installed cameras to make sure he didn't get sued ... I was taken upstairs to the second floor and there was a whole room full of surveillance that I walked

by. The cameras were pointing inside the house not just outside. In her book Ms. Spaulding says the mansion phones “are all bugged ... the bedrooms have hidden cameras ... If you use the computer in this room they can read what you write, so don't write anything you wouldn't want them to read.”²⁵²

In 1970, *OLDHOUSE Journal* published the same news; Hefner hid cameras in his Chicago establishment “behind decorative moldings” and “disguised cameras above the circular bed.”²⁵³ Miss January 1973, Miki Garcia, testified to the Attorney General’s (AG) Pornography Commission about *Playboy* crimes. *Playboy* was not only wired to the central nervous system of its viewers but

²⁵⁰ <https://www.vox.com/culture/2017/8/17/16156902/roman-polanski-child-rape-charges-explained-samantha-geimer-robin-m>. *OUT OF SHADOWS*. Op. cit.

²⁵¹ [Internet goes wild over 'Binders full of women' https://politicalticker.blogs.cnn.com/2012/10/16/binders-full-of-women-trending/](https://politicalticker.blogs.cnn.com/2012/10/16/binders-full-of-women-trending/)

²⁵² Jill Ann Spaulding. (2004). *Jill Ann: Upstairs*. Pagefree Publishing; and Jill Ann Spaulding. (2005). *Behind the Scenes in Hef's Playboy Mansion: the sex, fun & romp'n'roll: a Playboy model tells all*. SPI Books.

²⁵³ [Old-House Journal](#). (Jan-Feb 2000). Active Interest Media, Inc. p. 53.

more valuable, *Playboy* was likely wired to the CIA. Might that exclude AG Meese? Miki Garcia swore under oath:

Hefner and his staff gave drugs to playmates and pushed them into participating in bisexual acts and orgies ... Hefner's security force helped coverup a ... call-girl ring. [Garcia was] director of Playmate Promotions from 1976 to 1982 ... was told by models about rapes, mental and physical abuse, attempted murder, drug addiction, [attempted suicide, and prostitution](#). This testimony, I believe, will put my life and those of my family's in danger.²⁵⁴

Encouraging perversity and catering for the perverse

In 2015, *Playboy* employees digging for historical material to help celebrate the great occasion of Hef's 90th birthday, published some surprising material their searches threw up. These included architectural blueprints for a network of underground tunnels leading from Hefner's mansion to the homes of "J. Nicholson, K. Douglas, J. Caan, and W. Beatty"²⁵⁵, together with polaroids from 1977 showing major excavations at the Playboy mansion. Perhaps realizing the mistake after the event, the story was then put out that these were fake, part of an elaborate April Fool's Day joke. Which story do we believe? There is plenty of publicity footage depicting underground fantasy worlds built into the subfloor levels of the Playboy mansion, full of compliant semi-naked women waiting to entertain guests. It is quite possible that the construction work that produced these rooms, also built the tunnel system depicted.

The existence of such a tunnel system would certainly help explain why Roman Polanski (a Playboy regular) chose Nicholson's house over his own as the venue for his 1977 assault on the thirteen-year-old girl whom he drugged, raped and sodomized. It is most unlikely that this girl was Polanski's first or only victim or that he is the only offender in the Playboy circle. In a 1979 interview with Martin Amis, Polanski frankly acknowledged his belief that his pedophilic interests are commonly shared by his elite friends: "Judges want to fuck young girls — *everyone* wants to fuck young girls!"²⁵⁶

"Reisman has diverted Federal funds and public attention away from the real causes of child abuse and is trying to start a panic based on unfounded allegations and irresponsible research."

From its first issue, *Playboy* made it clear, pictorially, and in text, that the magazine supports prostitution and pedophilia. The pages of *Playboy* hosted regular "humorous" child sex abuse cartoons.²⁵⁷ After ten years of desensitizing his readers, images of "pseudo child pornography"

²⁵⁴ (1985, October 15). "Ex-Playmate Blasts 'Playboy' Experience". *Sun Sentinel*. "[I]t is difficult to get legal representation if they know it involves Hugh Hefner."

²⁵⁵ The original *Playboy* article on this subject explained: "One of our editors was in the office archives pulling photos for a feature set to run on Hugh Hefner's birthday. He found some Polaroids from 1977 that showed a large excavation project at the Mansion. We asked the new general manager at the Mansion about these photos. He said, very matter-of-factly, "that's probably when they built the tunnels in the 1970s." (April 1, 2015). "So There Were Tunnels to Celebrity Homes Below the Playboy Mansion". *Playboy*. Retrieved May 22, 2015 from <https://www.playboy.com/read/tunnels-to-celebrity-homes-playboy-mansion>.

²⁵⁶ Martin Amis. (1979). *Tatler* [Magazine].

²⁵⁷ These are all analyzed in Reisman's DOJ report. Reisman. (1987, 1990). *Images of Children, Crime and Violence in Playboy, Penthouse, and Hustler*. Grant No. 84-JN-AX-K007. Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Office of Justice Assistance, Research and Statistics, U.S. Department of Justice.

began to appear along with the cartoons.²⁵⁸ By 1971, child celebs and child “models,” appear and by the mid-1970s *Playboy’s* pedo-sexploitation images had peaked – just around the same time that the secret tunnels were allegedly built.²⁵⁹ Hefner’s advocacy for pedophilia is meticulously documented by political researcher von Kolen.²⁶⁰ Kolen cites *Playboy’s* enthusiastic review of Alayne Yates’ *Sex Without Shame: Encouraging the Child’s Healthy Sexual Development* adjacent to an image of a laughing (mom?) with two naked toddlers. “Masturbation culminating in climax may occur as early as the first month of life.” (p. 38).

Even a casual analysis of *Playboy’s* material can leave no doubt about their loyalties. There was no risk of independent journalism here, *Playboy* unashamedly used its pages to promote the public image of its benefactors and to discredit its detractors. Thus, from 1953 to 2009: Nelson Rockefeller had 134 glitzy *Playboy* articles written in his praise, two major ones in 1975 and 1988, along with the *Playboy* catalogue of available naked ladies. During the same period, *Playboy* recognized the CIA in 405 credible articles-big and small including a 1975 worshipful interview with CIA agent Philip Agee and another in 1979 with former CIA Director, William Colby. Possibly the best un-coverage was a naked, 1997, former CIA agent encouraging female independence.

In 1987, Reisman produced a report detailing “Images of Children, Crime and Violence in *Playboy, Penthouse and Hustler*”²⁶¹ and garnered 26 nasty articles with accompanying cartoon attempts at ridicule in response (see example below).²⁶² In 1994, Reisman won a libel lawsuit against Hefner, proving he was [a pedosadist who sold child pornography](#).²⁶³ The press, predictably, showed very little interest in the story.

Non-investigation of clear evidence

Similarly, research by Nolen on *Playboy’s* mafia and CIA history has been studiously ignored. He writes on his website:

By 1973, *Playboy* was thoroughly entrenched in the mafia having moved \$250 million to foreign numbered accounts. Depositors included Hefner, Guccione, and major organized crime figures— [Morris Dalitz](#), [Morris Kleinman](#), and [Samuel A. Tucker](#). Elated by the find, investigators formed Project Haven to make “the single biggest tax-evasion strike in IRS history.” Suddenly, the IRS announced that it was dropping the investigation because of “legal problems.” According to a later investigation by the *Wall Street Journal*, “pressure from the Central Intelligence Agency ... caused the Justice Department to drop what could have been the biggest tax evasion case of all time.” The CIA invoked “national

²⁵⁸ “Pseudo children” preceded “virtual” children in modern terms. These were adults appearing with pig tails, bows, teddy bears, thumb sucking, children’s clothing. It was the pre-step to accepting “almost legal” and other more subtle cues that allowed the viewer to be conditioned to child lust in psychological stages of acceptance, until they could accept actual abuse of even babies.

²⁵⁹ Reisman. (1987, 1990). *Images of Children, Crime and Violence in Playboy, Penthouse and Hustler*. See also (April 1, 2015). “So There Were Tunnels to Celebrity Homes Below the Playboy Mansion”. *Playboy*.

²⁶⁰ Rainer Chlodwig von Kolen, “Flashback 1978: Playboy Promotes Pedophilia (2011, 2016)”. A fine WordPress.com site. (Kolen is author of [Drugs, Jungles, and Jingoism](#), 2019).

²⁶¹ Despite pornography industry attempts to quash the report, it remains available on my website: <https://www.thereismaninstitute.org/reisman-articles/2019/2/20/dr-reismans-us-doj-avdepartment-of-justice-report-on-child-sex-images-in-mass-media>

²⁶² Only one of these appears here, from “Forum” April 1989, pp. 50–53 due to the poor quality of the cartoons and photos when reproduced.

²⁶³ The Reisman Institute. (1994). *Reisman Child Porn Study Defeats Playboy’s Dutch Libel Suit*. Retrieved May 22, 2020 from <https://www.thereismaninstitute.org/reisman-won-playboy-libel-suit>

security” since it was using the Castle Bank “for the funding of clandestine operations against Cuba and for other covert intelligence operations directed at countries in Latin American and the Far East.”²⁶⁴

Hugh Hefner banks with mobsters who share business interests with the CIA’s mafia partners. These CIA and mafioso criminals started their cooperation in the early 1940s, when the OSS teamed with Meyer Lansky and ‘Lucky’ Luciano to take over Italy. In 1973, the IRS stumbled onto the fact that Hugh Hefner ate at the same table as Mario Brod (CIA), Jimmy Rosselli (Mob), James Angleton (CIA), George Raft (Mob)...Hugh Hefner’s *Playboy* Enterprises, like the other fronts which banked with Castle Bank & Trust of Nassau, is a CIA-sponsored business concern.

Hugh Hefner was in a position to have compromising information on important people; it goes without saying that the ‘intelligence community’ is interested in collecting compromising information. In fact, it would be “remarkable” for someone in Hefner’s position *not* to have a working relationship with the CIA. It’s quite natural therefore that Hefner started his business the same year that the CIA began to use sex for “domestic” intelligence work on a large scale.

[CIA personality profiler John Gittinger](#), who was interested in self-centered sex for mass control purposes, was also part of George White’s San Francisco operation. [Midnight Climax, prostitute and johns secretly recorded by the CIA] Gittinger was interested in identifying tendencies toward self-centered sexual behavior, which includes masturbation *and* promiscuity, as part of his formula for controlling different personality types. The use of pornography for social control [has been known since antiquity](#), but interest in it resurfaced again from [American quarters in the 1950s](#). *Playboy* Enterprises bases its *reputation* on promiscuity and its *business* on masturbation aids.²⁶⁵

In her biography of Gloria Steinem Patricia Cronin Marcello reluctantly documents Gloria Steinem’s work as a paid CIA spy, which coincided with her work as a *Playboy* “Bunny”.²⁶⁶ A 1975 article published in the journal *Off Our Backs* confirmed they had also “obtained information to show Gloria had a 10-year association with the CIA between 1959 and 1969 ... maintaining she was a CIA secret weapon, intent on destroying the women’s movement ... her vehicle for doing that was Ms. magazine. [*Ramparts* exposed the National Students Association as] funded by the CIA since 1952, the criticism was “that the CIA was nothing less than an invisible government.”²⁶⁷

Hefner would pay major lobbyists, lawyers, police to whitewash the sex and drug crimes at his mansion, the epicenter for celebrities and politicians as well as ACLU, Planned Parenthood, and Democratic Party events.²⁶⁸ Efforts to investigate Hefner have been distinctly lack luster. In 2015, when Hefner was “investigated” in connection with allegations that Bill Cosby had raped an 18-year-

²⁶⁴ A. Nolen. (February 10, 2015). “Do you have a key to the *Playboy* mansion?” “Suddenly, the IRS announced that it was dropping the investigation because of “legal problems.” ...“pressure from the Central Intelligence Agency... caused the Justice Department to drop what could have been the biggest tax evasion case of all time.” The CIA invoked “national security” *On Website publication*. <https://web.archive.org/web/20150405022232/http://anolen.com/2015/02/10/do-you-have-a-key-to-the-playboy-mansion/>.

²⁶⁵ Ibid. <https://web.archive.org/web/20150405022232/http://anolen.com/2015/02/10/do-you-have-a-key-to-the-playboy-mansion/>

²⁶⁶ Patricia Cronin Marcello. (1979). *Gloria Steinem: A Biography*. Greenwood Publishing Group. pp. xiv, 65–68, 93, 138.

²⁶⁷ Redstockings, Inc. (1975). “Redstockings Challenge Steinem and ms”. *Off Our Backs*. Vol 5(6). JSTOR. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/25772264?seq=1>

²⁶⁸ “Not long after *Playboy* began publicly calling for abortion to be legalized, Hefner founded the Playboy Foundation. He quickly began donating to pro-abortion charities, including Planned Parenthood and NARAL, and the ACLU.” Cassy Fiano-Chesser. (January 23, 2019). [Hugh Hefner, who helped to legalize abortion, called women ‘objects](#). *Live Action*. See also Cliff Kinkaid. (1992). *The Playboy Foundation: A mirror of the culture?* Capital Research Center for a breakdown of the ongoing funds paid to Planned Parenthood, SIECUS and political favorites.

old at the Mansion the investigation was abandoned, claiming for lack of evidence.²⁶⁹ The reason, of course, is that *Hefner, like Kinsey, was a valuable CIA-asset.*

A long history of crime and cover-up

From Kinsey to Hefner to Epstein the same pattern of crime, blackmail, shady exchanges of large sums of money, failure of officialdom to investigate and a blanket of silence from the media has repeated with boring regularity. Without turning over ever stone, it is worth noting that these must represent only the most famous of many similar examples.

- The FBI Vault has released (redacted) papers from 1987 relating to the politically-protected pedophilic “Finders” sex trafficking ring.²⁷⁰
- The “Franklin Cover-up” documented by Senator John DeCamp in his book of the same name relates to the case of Lawrence E. “Larry” King’s child sex trafficking ring in Nebraska, which first came to light in 1988. “In the face of opposition from local and state law enforcement, from the FBI, and from the powerful *Omaha World-Herald* newspaper, a special Franklin Committee of the Nebraska Legislature launched its own probe. What looked like a financial swindle, soon exploded into a hideous tale of drugs, Iran-Contra money-laundering, a nationwide child abuse ring, and ritual murder. Nineteen months later, the legislative committee’s chief investigator died – suddenly, and violently, like more than a dozen other people linked to the Franklin case.”²⁷¹

In 1994, the documentary, *Conspiracy of Silence*, about this case was scheduled to air on the Discovery Channel.²⁷² It had been listed nation-wide in the April 30th-May 6th 1994 edition of the TV Guide and newspaper TV supplements. It is now alleged that “at the last minute before airing, unknown congressmen threatened the TV Cable industry with restrictive legislation if this documentary was aired. Almost immediately, the rights to the documentary were purchased by unknown persons who had ordered all copies destroyed.” The Discovery Channel and Yorkshire Television were reimbursed for the \$250,000-\$500,000 production costs. The surviving copy, it is claimed, was furnished anonymously to John De Camp who made it available to retired FBI Agent Ted L. Gunderson. The documentary exposed the elite pedophilia ring run by Laurence King in conjunction with “Boys Town” children’s charity in Nebraska. The documentary examined evidence to show that Larry King, a well-connected Republican, had pimped boys to Washington elites.

- Epstein is now a big story but troublingly, evidence is also emerging to show that his activity is not news to the authorities or even to the media. Amy Robach, the *ABC News* anchor, has claimed she had the story on Epstein ready to go in 2015 but that it was pulled

²⁶⁹ “In 2015 the LAPD investigated and referred [a rape accusation] to the prosecutor. In 2016, an announcement was made that no charges would be laid because of inadequate evidence and the expiration of the statute of limitations. The LAPD declined to comment.” Ryan Parry. (January 7, 2015). “EXCLUSIVE: Was Bill Cosby caught on camera assaulting an 18-year-old at the Playboy Mansion? Lawyer claims Hugh Hefner had high tech cameras installed in the bedrooms of his Hollywood home”. *The Daily Mail*. Retrieved May 22, 2020 from <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2898026/Was-Bill-Cosby-caught-camera-assaulting-18-year-old-Playboy-Mansion-Lawyer-claims-Hugh-Hefner-high-tech-cameras-installed-bedrooms-Hollywood-home.html>.


²⁷⁰ The Finders. Parts 1-3. *FBI Records: The Vault*. Available here: <https://vault.fbi.gov/the-finders>

²⁷¹ John DeCamp. (2012). *The Franklin Coverup*. Amazon.

²⁷² Jody Hughes. (February 24, 2006). “Conspiracy of Silence”. [video documentary]. YouTube. Retrieved July 31, 2020 from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ggxiBWv4xYE&app=desktop#dialog>

after pressure from the British Royal Family.²⁷³ One witness has come forward claiming she alerted federal agents to the more extensive nature of his activities in 2008.²⁷⁴ In 2018, Julie K. Brown, investigative reporter for the *Miami Herald*, published a series on Epstein, his victims, and the powerful people who advocated for him to receive a more lenient sentence.²⁷⁵

- [In 2009 5,200 Pentagon heavies download child rape](#). Few are arrested, none named. By 2019, the [“House bill targets use of Pentagon networks for child pornography](#) we found more than 5,000 ... many affiliated with DOD, who were [subscribed to child porn websites.](#)”
- The mounting public skepticism regarding the growing list of “freak” accidents and suicides of influential individuals and actual or potential whistleblowers is quite understandable. The circumstances of Epstein’s demise were certainly extraordinary; three men who have accused Kevin Spacey of abuse apparently decided on suicide shortly after.²⁷⁶ Then there is Steven Bing throwing himself off a building at the height of his fortunes; Isaac Kappy’s death in a freak road accident following shortly upon his claim that certain Hollywood elites are pedophiles are only a few examples of similar phenomena to emerge in recent months.



- The pedosadistic tastes of members of the Podesta family (see above)²⁷⁷ – who are among the political elite – speak to similar appetites which appear to be shared by prominent academics, Hollywood celebrities, Washington politicians the rich and powerful. *Such brazen criminality* required decades of coordinated, FBI, police and mass media protection²⁷⁸ as well as collusion with other elite powerbrokers. And, while the [Pizzagate](#) exposés of John

²⁷³ (November 6, 2019). “ABC News ‘quashed’ story about Jeffrey Epstein due to pressure from British Royal family, video suggests”. *ABC News*. Retrieved July 31, 2020 from <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2019-11-06/us-broadcaster-abc-news-reporters-story-about-epstein-was-kill/11675820>

²⁷⁴ “Feds Knew 11 Years Ago About Epstein Key Witness Who Could’ve Changed Everything”. *Conservative Media*. Retrieved July 31, 2020 from <https://conservativemedia.com/news/feds-knew-11-years-ago-epstein-key-witness-couldve-changed-everything/>

²⁷⁵ Julie K. Brown. (November 28, 2018). “How a future Trump Cabinet member gave a serial sex abuser the deal of a lifetime”. *Miami Herald*. Retrieved July 31, 2020 from <https://www.miamiherald.com/news/local/article220097825.html>

²⁷⁶ Spencer Neale. (December 26, 2019). “Kevin Spacey accuser dies by suicide day after actor posts ‘kill them with kindness’ video”. *Washington Examiner*. Retrieved July 31, 2020 from <https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/news/kevin-spacey-accuser-dies-by-suicide-day-after-actor-posts-kill-them-with-kindness-video>

²⁷⁷ ShadyGroove. <https://twitter.com/i/status/1020462196390735872>.

²⁷⁸ Discussed here: Eleanor Randolph. (1 August 1989). “The Bombshell that Didn’t Explode”. *Washington Post*. Retrieved July 31, 2020 from <https://www.washingtonpost.com/archive/lifestyle/1989/08/01/the-bombshell-that-didnt-explode/ff09cdb0-7d64-428b-8415-a6998b9f0c65/>

and Tony [Podesta have been widely displayed](#),²⁷⁹ there has apparently been no police follow-through: no arrests; no trials of big-name pedosadists.

Epstein and Gates still colleagues 9 Years after Epstein's conviction



Other items worthy of note in passing include what is known as “Satanic” ties between organized international pedosadist and pederast groups. Most troubling was the recent selection of “Spiritcooking” Marina Abramovic to advertise Bill Gates’ new “artistic” offering, *the Life*. This advertisement was hastily removed on April 3, 2020, following apparently unexpected adverse public attention.²⁸⁰ Bill Gates and his father, of course, have declared sympathy with the cause of the NWO.

The cozy photo above was taken in 2011 – well after 2008,

when Epstein was registered as a sex offender against minors. Officially, their relationship continued until 2014. More recently, “two senior Gates Foundation officials” have admitted that Bill kept in touch with Jeffrey “until late 2017.” Clearly a history of sexually abusing minors does not bother Gates. On the other hand, we are expected to trust to Gates’ high standards of moral integrity as he and similar “friends” plan to vaccinate men, women, and children for “Covid” worldwide. Pictured with Gates here at Jeffrey Epstein’s Manhattan mansion in 2011²⁸¹ are many such “friends”, from the left: James E. Staley, at the time a senior JPMorgan executive; former Treasury Secretary Lawrence Summers; Mr. Epstein; Bill Gates, Microsoft’s co-founder; and Boris Nikolic, who was the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation’s science adviser.”

A parallel history of interference with official investigations

While failure of law enforcement to investigate or punish clear evidence of criminality in the elites is becoming increasingly evident, the parallel history of interference with government investigations may be less well known.

²⁷⁹ Brody Mullins, Julie Bykovicz. (18 April 2018). “How Tony Podesta, a Washington Power Broker, Lost It All.” *The Wall Street Journal*. These photographs and many similar others have been available throughout the internet for several years. These were found on Twitter. This is not the work of normal people, but of sexual psychopaths. “John and Tony Podesta Art? Podesta’s out there getting Immunity deals?” [https://twitter.com/i/status/1020462196390735872] <https://www.wsj.com/articles/how-tony-podesta-a-washington-power-broker-lost-it-all-1524065781>

²⁸⁰ Posted, for example, here: AxwellSparrow. (April 13, 2020). “Bill Gates Microsoft 2020 Commercial Removed & Marina Abramovic (Pizzagate, Satanism & Cannibalism)”. *YouTube*. Retrieved July 31, 2020 from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dG_FR0mchtY


²⁸¹ [Emily Fliiter](#) and [James B. Stewart](#). (12 Oct. 2019) NYT photo caption.

The failure of the Cox and Reece Committees

In 1953 the Cox Congressional Committee asked several questions pertinent to RF funding. Since these questions were not answered by the Cox Committee (1952–1953) they were followed up by Carroll Reece's *Select Committee to Investigate Tax-Exempt Foundations* (1953–1954). Reece was tasked with investigating non-profit organizations, among them RF and its grantees, for their possible role in supporting illicit political agendas.

There was already concern that Kinsey's Reports were having a harmful impact in critical areas of America's social, educational, economic, and political life. Supporters of Kinsey's work (and the agenda behind it) needed to prevent the Reece Committee investigating Kinsey's sex research—and they succeeded. According to Rene Wormser, the Committee lawyer:

Most mysterious and disturbing was how the investigation of the Kinsey data was thwarted by a combined effort of the Republicans and the Democrats ... a study of the Kinsey reports [was



forbidden] ... Dr. Ettinger had dug up some significant material about foundation support of the Kinsey projects. This brought [Congressman] Hays to a steaming rage, and he asked to see our entire Kinsey file ... Neither Mr. Dodd nor I could see any reason why Dr. Kinsey's foundation supported projects should not bear as much scrutiny as any other foundation operation ... Our appropriation for 1954 had ... not yet been approved, and Mr. Hays stated ... he would oppose any further appropriation to our Committee unless the Kinsey investigation was dropped ... he threatened to fight against the appropriation on the floor of the House. [Fearful,] Mr. Dodd suggested ... Mr. Hays take the entire Kinsey file and lock it in his personal safe ... This Mr. Hays did. The file remained in his safe throughout the hearings

... he may still have it.²⁸²

When warned of the investigation, Kinsey fled to Peru. Reece capitulated and the evidence of Kinsey's mass child sex abuse crimes, "never saw the light of day."²⁸³ Clearly, had the Cox or Reece Committees uncovered Kinsey's use of children in RF-funded CIA sex experiments it would have exposed RF and the CIA to mass publicity, arrests, prosecutions, and so much more.

²⁸² Rene Wormser. (1958). *Foundations*. The Devin-Adair Company. New York. pp. vii-viii, pp. 351, 345-346. See also William H. McIlhany. (1980). *The Tax-Exempt Foundations*, Arlington House Publishers. Westport.

²⁸³ Library of Congress. (January 1, 1953). Final Report of the Select Committee to Investigate Foundations and Other Organizations. House of Representatives Report No. 2514. United States Government Printing Office. <https://www.cia.gov/library/readingroom/docs/CIA-RDP91-00682R000300080008-6.pdf>

HEW Under Sec. Rockefeller 1953–1955 again kills Kinsey inquiry

Like Nelson Rockefeller, James Warburg, a member of the Council of Foreign Relations, told the Senate Foreign Relations committee in 1950: “We shall have world government whether or not we like it. The question is only whether world government will be achieved by conquest or by consent.”²⁸⁴ The support of Nelson Rockefeller for those working to establish a New World Order is abundantly clear.

[From 1953 to 1955](#) Nelson Rockefeller was undersecretary of the newly-created Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW). Thus, hospitals and mental asylums, universities, and the CIA – all institutions involved in covert unethical human experimentation described in Section 1 – were now part of his portfolio. For example, grants and research projects listed in the book [Empty Beds: A History of the Vermont State Hospital](#) were “funded by the National Institute of Mental Health, the National Institute of Health, the United States Public Health Service and the *Department of Health, Education and Welfare*” over which Nelson Rockefeller presided. As author Alex Constantine explains, the CIA-funded drug and mind control experiments were openly admitted at Senate hearings.²⁸⁵

Nelson Rockefeller merged the Federal Security Agency and its \$4.6 million operating budget and the operating units of three federal corporations into a single, new cabinet-level, Department, Health, Education and Welfare ... HEW became involved in intelligence matters ... and became the first conduit for the CIA's mind control experiments ... Project Artichoke and consequently MK-ULTRA ... was given cover by both HEW and its sub-agency, the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH).²⁸⁶

“Under Rockefeller in 1953 and 1954 ... the CIA set in motion its complex secret programs [of] hallucinogenic-drug control of the human mind through experiments on thousands of unwitting Americans ... Artichoke and MK-Ultra ... LSD research in scores of American hospitals universities and special foundations ... often through HEW. As HEW undersecretary Rockefeller... knew that CIA funds were being surreptitiously moved for this research.”²⁸⁷

His tenure as undersecretary of HEW was followed, at the end of 1954, by Rockefeller's appointment to the Operations Coordinating Board (OCB), which was the forerunner of the White House



²⁸⁴ James Warburg. (17. Feb 1950) before the U.S. Senate Committee on Foreign Relations.

<http://libertytree.ca/quotes/James.Warburg.Quote.BC08>

²⁸⁵ Alex Constantine. (1997). *Virtual Government: CIA Mind Control Operations in America*. Feral House L 489.

²⁸⁶ Constantine. Op. cit. p. 30.

²⁸⁷ Ted Szulc. (September 5, 1977). "How Rocky Tried to Cover Up his CIA Sins." *New York Magazine*. p. 8.

covert-intelligence control groups. The OCB “was a powerful body, accountable to virtually no one.” His position on the OCB, meant that Rockefeller would have known : practically everything about ongoing clandestine intelligence operations at home and abroad”.

This included LSD testing as well as the growing CIA program of intercepting and reading the foreign mail of American citizens at post offices around the country. The final report of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence related that in March 1955, CIA Director Allen W. Dulles briefed the OCB ‘on all CIA covert operations previously approved under ... National Security Council Directives going back to 1948.

Other intelligence sources say that Dulles laid out all the CIA family jewels before the OCB; Rockefeller was present at this briefing. As an OCB member – and Eisenhower’s personal delegate – Rockefeller thus participated in the approval of all covert projects that were submitted to the group during the year he spent in the White house [1955], one of the most crucial cold-war years.

1974/75 The Rockefeller and Church Commissions

In 1974, the *New York Times* published an exposé on the CIA’s involvement in illegal experiments on US citizens during the 1960s.²⁸⁸ In response, President Ford established a blue ribbon investigation: *the United States President's Commission on CIA Activities within the United States*. In a clear conflict of interest, then-Vice President, Nelson Rockefeller volunteered and was appointed to preside over the commission and thus was tasked with investigating operations he had personally approved twenty years earlier: “Rockefeller was being called upon to investigate himself”.

Any suspicions that the Commission was an extension of the coverup, rather than the sincere investigation pretended, were amply confirmed by what followed. Problems arose from only the second meeting of the Commission in which William Colby, Director of the CIA “had been quite forthcoming”:

The first session, on January 14, was taken up by Colby’s general review of the CIA’s past activities. But on January 21, he had volunteered to the commission that during the 1950s and the 1960s, the agency had engaged in secret experiments with LSD, which had resulted in at least two deaths.

Colby’s revelation seems to have upset Rockefeller considerably. Knowledgeable informants believe that the vice-president, and possibly Ford, were worried that Colby might follow it up with such other damaging disclosures as the CIA program for assassinating foreign leaders. Consequently, Rockefeller invited Colby for a private conversation ... undertaking to convince him that national

²⁸⁸ Ted Szulc. (1977). “Why Rockefeller Tried to Cover Up the CIA Probe.” *The Capitol Letter*. Retrieved May23, 2020. Four pages; <https://documentcloud.adobe.com/link/track/?pageNum=5&uri=urn%3Aaaid%3Ausc%3A4e40848a-6ba7-40b0-9231-30b7e92ffcac> maryferrel.org. Adolf Berle, a key New Dealer agreed to sit on the board of The Society For The Investigation Of Human Ecology.... established at Cornell University by Nelson Rockefeller and Allen Dulles to conceal the funding of more illicit CIA mind control experimentation. “I am frightened of this one’,” Berle wrote in his journal. “If scientists knew what they had laid out for themselves—men will become manageable ants. During Nelson’s chairmanship of the Special Group, the CIA also searched for the means to program assassins.”

security would be endangered if the commission were to learn too many CIA secrets ... It was the most blatant coverup since Watergate.²⁸⁹

Despite his view that both the Commission and Congress should be fully informed of all the facts, Colby was thereafter constrained to answer only “‘yes’ or ‘no’ to questions developed by the staff” in his appearances before the Committee. The other members of the Rockefeller Commission were not informed of Rockefeller’s intervention to protect “what is known in CIA parlance as the “family jewels” the agency’s most jealously guarded secrets concerning its past operations”.²⁹⁰

Rockefeller’s success in limiting exposure of the CIA’s secrets were shortlived. In a chance remark during a lunch given for him by the editors of *The Times*, President Ford happened to mention the CIA’s plans for the assassination of various foreign leaders. “In short order, the story was leaked to Daniel Schorr of CBS News and the White House saw its worst fears materializing. Now it was clear that Congress would take a much harder look at the family jewels than had the Rockefeller Commission, which, pleading lack of time, had decided not to investigate the assassination attempts.”²⁹¹

The Church Committee (formally, the *United States Senate Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations with Respect to Intelligence Activities*) was established to succeed in the investigation where the Rockefeller Commission had manifestly failed. It laid bare much that had previously been obscure. As previously mentioned, Gottlieb was called to testify about his work for MKULTRA. In May, the Commissioner, Frank Church, decided to call Colby to testify.

This news appears to have caused consternation among Ford’s top advisers, one whom Henry Kissinger (a Rockefeller man²⁹²) was one. They drafted a recommendation that Colby be authorized to provide a brief, rather than testifying, and that his disclosures be limited only to realistic hypotheticals, rather than actual particulars. Since the Church Committee had authority to require testimony, President Ford and his top advisers then met with Colby to prepare for the hearing. Maybe because he was considered too co-operative in answering the Committee’s questions, Colby was fired by Ford in November 1975.

The Church Committee uncovered the planned assassinations of foreign leaders, it uncovered MKULTRA. It also uncovered CIA’s extensive surveillance of American citizens, including the interception of telecommunications. On August 17, 1975 Senator Frank Church appeared on NBC’s *Meet the Press*, to explain the significance of this problem:

In the need to develop a capacity to know what potential enemies are doing, the United States government has perfected a technological capability that enables us to monitor the messages that go through the air. (...) Now, that is necessary and important to the United States as we look abroad at enemies or potential enemies. We must know, at the same time, that capability at any time could be turned around on the American people, and no American would have

²⁸⁹ Ibid. p. 8

²⁹⁰ Ibid.

²⁹¹ Ibid.

²⁹² James Corbett. (29 Mar. 2017). “A Corbett Report. The Unauthorized Biography of David Rockefeller”. YouTube. Retrieved August 10, 2020 from <https://www.corbettreport.com/the-unauthorized-biography-of-david-rockefeller>

any privacy left: such is the capability to monitor everything—telephone conversations, telegrams, it doesn't matter. There would be no place to hide.

If this government ever became a tyranny, if a dictator ever took charge in this country, the technological capacity that the intelligence community has given the government could enable it to impose total tyranny, and there would be no way to fight back because the most careful effort to combine together in resistance to the government, no matter how privately it was done, is within the reach of the government to know. Such is the capability of this technology.

I don't want to see this country ever go across the bridge. I know the capacity that is there to make tyranny total in America, and we must see to it that this agency and all agencies that possess this technology operate within the law and under proper supervision so that we never cross over that abyss. That is the abyss from which there is no return.²⁹³

The recent revelations of Edward Snowden indicate that the CIA's surveillance of private citizens in the manner decried by Church continues to this day.²⁹⁴ The US government's refusal to offer Snowden the benefit of a public trial should he return to the USA indicates that the deep state is no more pleased now than it was then to have the extent of its abuses publicized.

The 1975 Murphy Commission

As effective as the Church Committee was in many respects, however and even when though it exposed MKULTRA, Kinsey's work remained screened from view. It would have been well known to the Rockefellers but there is abundant evidence that at every turn the efforts of the Rockefellers were conscientiously directed towards controlling the flow of information, protecting their own interests and burying evidence of misconduct (not to say treason).



In 1989, certain classified documents, including "Top Secret" CIA documents, were found stashed in a vault inside a barn on the Rockefeller estate in Pocantico, New York (pictured left)²⁹⁵. Further investigation revealed that in December 1975, the then Vice-President Nelson Rockefeller had requested the CIA to certify the vault as a secure location for classified documents. The list of documents included "files relating to the Operations Co-ordinations Board and Psychological Warfare Strategy ... accumulated in 1955 while Mr. Rockefeller was Special Assistant to President Eisenhower" – therefore, illicit CIA operations.

²⁹³ (August 18, 1975). "The Intelligence Gathering Debate". *NBC*. Retrieved May 28, 2015. See also James Bamford. (September 13, 2011). "Post-September 11, NSA 'enemies' include us". *Politico*. Retrieved September 21, 2013.

²⁹⁴ The Guardian. (July 10, 2013). "NSA whistleblower Edward Snowden: 'I don't want to live in a society that does these sort of things'". YouTube. Retrieved August 10, 2020 from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0hLjuVyllrs>; The Dive with Jackson Hinkle. (April 14, 2020). "Edward Snowden: Governments Are Using Coronavirus to Build 'the Architecture of Oppression'". [interview]. YouTube. Retrieved August 10, 2020 from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ol0QtC7mdkk>


²⁹⁵ The "Barn:" <https://www.muckrock.com/news/archives/2017/mar/06/cia-forgot-about-bunch-classified-documents-stashe/>

In addition, the vault housed documentation relating to the conduct of the 1975 Rockefeller Commission and the 1975 *Commission on the Organization of the Government for Conduct of Foreign Policy*. The Murphy Commission was formed to consider, among other things, “the two-hat question: Should the National Security Adviser be permitted to serve as Secretary of State as well?” Henry Kissinger, who was Secretary of State at the time, “had Vice President Rockefeller named to the Murphy Commission to protect his interests.”²⁹⁶ Is it probably significant to note that the documents removed to the Rockefeller barn relate specifically to the conduct of the Murphy Commission *before* Rockefeller became a member. Since the Commission as considering matters of foreign policy, the global maneuverings of the Rockefeller family and the people working in their interest would certainly have been canvassed.

When the CIA was alerted to the existence of this vault in 1989 (it had otherwise “slipped through the cracks”) there was then the problem of sorting the contents which belonged variously to the CIA, Nelson Rockefeller’s estate (he had died in 1979) and the government. The Rockefeller family voiced a number of concerns that even the process of sorting the documents might entail “disclosure of information that would embarrass NAR [Nelson] or the Rockefeller family”²⁹⁷ also that “if in government custody, the records would be subject to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)”. They emphasized their interest in “controlling future access to the records”. Eventually the matter was settled, and the entire collection deposited in the Ford Presidential Library. “Once deposited with the Ford library, the records would not be subject to FOIA.

Reagan’s 1983 DOJ Taskforce and the 1984 Meese Commission

In 1983–84 the Department of Justice again attempted to investigate the connection between Kinsey’s work and rising rates of sexual abuse. An \$800,000 research grant was allocated to the “[Missing Children, Serial Murder Task Force](#)”²⁹⁸ headed by Reisman (see media release left) with the aim of substantiating the link between “soft” pornography and rising levels of child sexual abuse, kidnapping and murder.



Reisman had exposed Kinsey’s child sex abuses, false data, fraudulent sex education, and the allegedly “soft” pornography (such as that published by *Playboy*) as causal in these increases in mass child sex crimes. Her research and analysis regarding causation had been accepted by the elite FBI Behavioral Science Unit, DOJ officials, veteran

agents, and law enforcement officers.²⁹⁹ All financial arrangements had been approved and Reisman started work as a research professor at American University in Washington, DC. No sooner

²⁹⁶ William Safire. (May 26, 1975). “The Murphy Commission”. *New York Times*. Retrieved August 7, 2020 from <https://www.nytimes.com/1975/05/26/archives/the-murphy-commission.html>

²⁹⁷ Emma Best. (March 6, 2017). The CIA forgot about a bunch of classified documents stashed in the Rockefellers’ barn; The sale of a carriage house forced the Agency to weigh in on the “unique” situation of a SCIF in private hands. *MUCKROCK*. [See also](#), “[Government/history-of-mk-ultra, MK-Ultra History](#)” A&E Television Networks, accessed. April 26, 2020.

²⁹⁸ Judith Reisman, (2009). <https://www.scribd.com/document/24132267/Judith-A-Reisman-PhD-The-Missing-Children-Serial-Murder-Task-Force-The-Missing-Children-Serial-Murder-Task-Force-SCRIBD>.

²⁹⁹ Judith Reisman. (January 26, 2009). “Why was the 1983 ‘Missing Children, Serial Murder Task Force’ FBI/DOJ/Pornography linkage program spiked?”. Retrieved August 1, 2020 from <http://www.drjudithreisman.com/archives/Short%20fbi%20doi%207%20pdf.pdf>

had the mission and members of the task force been announced, than it was abruptly garroted from above.

In retrospect, the motivations for killing the task force are abundantly clear. The business appears to have been managed through the cooperative efforts of vested interests within the FBI, DoJ and the administration of American University. (It is not insignificant to note that the President of American University, Richard Berendzen, and the Dean of Psychology were later exposed as pedosadists).³⁰⁰ The result was that, one day Reisman was a recognized, reliable professional with a major explanation for the increases in child sex crimes and the next, called to account before first one hostile congressional hearing, then another, and yet another; in all, three congressional hearings were devoted to mischaracterizing her credentials and claiming the inadequacy of her research. These efforts were supported by a mass media campaign coordinated by the political lobby group, Gray and Company. As investigative reporter, Susan Trento, was later to discover the attacks on Reisman's reputation and credentials was simply part of a well-funded, larger campaign to protect the interests of the pornography industry.³⁰¹

On May 21, 1984, Reagan announced his intention to establish a Commission on Pornography, to be Chaired by Attorney General Edwin Meese. The Meese Commission was tasked with determining the nature, extent, and impact on society of pornography in the United States, and to make specific recommendations concerning more effective ways in which the spread of pornography could be contained, consistent with constitutional guarantees. *Playboy and Penthouse* hired Gray and Company to run a campaign to counter the work of the Meese Commission. As Trento explains, Gray and Company's proposal "outlined high-pressure lobbying tactics of the most cynical kind". They formed lobby groups – "Americans for the Right to Read" and "The First Amendment Coalition" – to front the campaign. In addition:

[q]uiet efforts should be undertaken to persuade the Attorney General, the White House, and the leaders of both political parties that the forthcoming report of work of the Commission is so flawed, so controversial, so contested and so biased that they should shy away from publicly endorsing the document ... [and thus make it impossible] for anti-pornography crusaders to use the reports as an effective tool for achieving their objectives.³⁰²

This was accompanied, according to Trento, by an "intensive propaganda blitz", to discredit "people and organizations whose activities threaten publishers' businesses". A campaign of advertorials was devised, which placed spokespersons on national and local television and radio news, public affairs, and talk shows. A series of news conferences were held in major cities across the country. Tame politicians were wheeled out to point to any "misrepresentations" and "errors" the media might have made.

Aside from standard PR and lobbying techniques like press conferences, testifying on Capitol Hill, personal lobbying of congressmen, and hiring spokespeople for The Americans for Constitutional Freedom, Gray and Company got personal. "We attempted to call into question the

³⁰⁰ See Reisman's website for documentation; <https://www.thereismaninstitute.org/reisman-articles/2018/7/23/the-memo-is-it-possible-that-the-fbi-and-the-doj-are-corrupt?rq=Berendzen>

³⁰¹ As above.



³⁰² Trento. Op. cit. pp. 193–200.

motives, motivations, the values of the people on the Commission ... shoot holes in the report, itself, and in the hearing process”, explained a Gray and Company executive, who worked on the account.

The campaign was a smashing success. Remarkably, when the Attorney General's Commission on Pornography issued its report in July 1986, Meese, himself, encouraged by Gray, disavowed it ... The former California prosecutor, renowned for his toughness, actually agreed to stand up in public and say that *Playboy* and *Penthouse* were not obscene. He said he had read *Playboy* in his youth.³⁰³

With admirable economy of effort, the Gray and Company campaign also encompassed the work of publicly discrediting me (and therefore the DOJ task force). As Trento explains:

Reisman ... made a most appealing target for discrediting. She had already been battered by bureaucratic and political sniping ... Gray and Company charged The Media Coalition between \$50,000 and \$75,000 *per month* for the campaign ... much of the cost was borne by *Playboy*; *Penthouse* also provided funding.³⁰⁴



For years thereafter national papers were scripted to diminish and discredit Reisman as an “Ex-songwriter for 'Captain Kangaroo!'” Unsurprisingly, *Playboy* contributed fulsomely to this campaign. It published numerous cartoons (such as the one above) and 30 articles attacking my credibility, framing my work as a threat to free speech, etc. In a major article “Showdown in Cincinnati” *Playboy* saw fit to quote from a “reporter” (allegedly a *Washington Post* female reporter)

³⁰³ Attorney General Edwin Meese III was 12 years old when *Playboy* started in 1953.

³⁰⁴ Trento. Op cit. pp. 193–200.

who wanted to get a gun and say, “Judith, you’re history” (see above). Hefner himself ridiculed her findings on Kinsey (“She has long claimed that the sexual revolution was a conspiracy, that Kinsey fabricated statistics ...”³⁰⁵) ignoring, denying her evidence that Kinsey, Hefner’s guru, had committed mass child sex abuse.

It was many years before my evidence that *Playboy* was similarly pandering to child sex abuse and publishing child pornography was eventually upheld in court. In 1994 *Playboy* sued Reisman for libel in the Netherlands. The judge ruled Reisman had proven: “*the uncontested factual findings of Dr. Reisman.*”³⁰⁶ The whole affair is just another example from the same old CIA/Mockingbird playbook – stifle investigation, vilify the truth teller and set off the media dogs, etc. (The chronology of these events is available on Reisman’s website).³⁰⁷

Again, in 1995, a Congressional attempt to investigate suspected criminal wrongdoing in Kinsey’s work was mysteriously aborted.³⁰⁸ While Kinsey was posthumously shielded in this way, a series of three public television documentaries and a 2003 Fox film featuring Liam Neeson as *Dr. Kinsey* were produced to celebrate Kinsey’s “pioneering studies.”³⁰⁹

In retrospect, the financial and personal motives and the repeated success of dirty tactics are clear. This glimpse into the hidden power brokers selling “access and influence in Washington” demonstrates an obvious problem which has wider implications for democracy. If vested interests can pay ~\$62,000 a month (*\$157,000 a month; 2020*) for a 2-year media attack on unimportant people like me, they can surely (for a higher price) purchase the downfall of more powerful political opponents. As it turns out, blackmail is another powerful means of controlling the truth. If all else fails, there is always the possibility that potential whistleblowers might meet with a freak accident or conveniently – like Epstein – commit suicide. In all of these ways, the deep state has remained hidden and free to do its work. The importance to this cabal of protecting and promoting Kinsey will become clearer as we turn now to a consideration of the social destruction that Kinsey’s lies have produced.

Section 5: Cultural and social collapse in consequence

Among the myriad of projects undertaken by Rockefeller/CIA, Kinsey’s work was, even as Morrison (Medical Director for the RF) acknowledged, of particular importance.³¹⁰ But the full effects of his pedosadist frauds are perhaps more clearly appreciated in hindsight. In Kirby’s words, Kinsey has stood at the “the fault line of ideas competing for human acceptance in the present age,”

³⁰⁵ *Playboy*. (March 1991). “Showdown in Cincinnati”. p. 154 and Hugh M. Hefner. (May 1998). “The Playboy President”. *Playboy*. p. 11.

³⁰⁶ *Playboy Lost to Reisman!* <https://www.thereismaninstitute.org/reisman-won-playboy-libel-suit>

³⁰⁷ See also my five books and report; *Images of Children, Crime and Violence in Playboy, Penthouse, and Hustler* Prepared under Grant No. 84-JN-AX-KOO7, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Office of Justice Assistance, Research and Statistics, U.S. Department of Justice Judith A. Reisman, Ph.D. Principal Investigator and http://www.drjudithreisman.com/the_kinsey_coverup.html

³⁰⁸ Library of Congress. (December 7, 1995). To determine if Alfred Kinsey’s ‘Sexual Behavior in the Human Male’ and/or ‘Sexual Behavior in the Human Female’ are the result of any fraud or criminal wrongdoing. H.R.2749.

<http://www.drjudithreisman.com/archives/Congressional%20Kinsey%20Investigation.pdf> and Marc Fisher. (December 12, 1995).

“Critics: Sex Ed a sham since Kinsey used pedophile’s data”. Washington Post.

<https://archive.seattletimes.com/archive/?date=19951212&slug=2157358>

³⁰⁹ Dinitia Smith. (November 9, 2004). “Liam Neeson as Kinsey loses his private self”. *New York Times*.

<https://www.nytimes.com/2004/11/09/movies/liam-neeson-as-kinsey-loses-his-private-self.html>

³¹⁰ Reisman. *Stolen Honor, Stolen Innocence*. p. 61 quotes Morrison, “we consider the Institute financing one of the most significant things we ever did.”

³¹¹ and has beckoned three generations to follow “science” in a new direction. This new direction departs radically from the traditional morality which is consistent with the human instinct for self-preservation, and scaffolds the intact nuclear family, which albeit imperfectly, has always served as the most effective form of protection for children.

Heuristically, the female instinct for self-preservation preserved not only the individual but strengthened the broader social structure. Strict codes of sexual morality told girls to appear fertile, fresh and attractive while remaining chaste until a “good” man contracted to care for her and her children “in sickness and in health until death we do part” (marriage). Without nubile chaste women, men could never be sure of their paternity, and would have no reason to build or defend “their” homes or country. Not all females could keep their chastity—they were called “fallen” women.

Women and girls “clothed” their bodily charms; the display of youthful beauty was restricted to her wedded husband and fidelity was expected on the part of both. The same sexual morality that supported individual flourishing by elevating married relationships of committed monogamy, celebrated “the marital act”, and prohibited sex via any other orifice, thus avoiding both abuse and easily-contracted venereal diseases through the misuse of orifices not designed for that purpose. Such “instincts” and others were biblical, written in western law and consistent with Judeo Christian standards of “morality.”

Three generations promised a new world of “greater rationality and knowledge about ourselves” have been lead ever-deeper into bondage. The progressive erosion of sexual boundaries has compromised even the fundamental human instinct for self-preservation. The “morbid sexualism” characterizing the world RF-Kinsey and MKULTRA created, has been the means of molding youth and teaching them, as Aldous Huxley promised, to love their servitude. The words of the anonymous East German broadcaster from 1953 might easily be applied to American youth today: “When the [American] youth is obsessed by sex throughout his waking and sleeping hours, it [is] all the easier to pull the steel helmet over his ears and the wool over his eyes.”³¹²

Where strong morality scaffolds the nuclear family and contributes to a population of healthy, prosperous, and strong individuals, eroding that moral framework increases population-level vulnerability to manipulation. A fatherless, dislocated, rejected, confused and traumatized generation has been formed into foot soldiers of the new world order, weaponized to tear down the democratic institutions that stand in the way.

This final section will examine how, by changing the trajectory of “progress” in the 1940s and ‘50s, and maintaining the new course over time, we have arrived at our current predicament. If we are to begin the long process of reversing the damage RF/MKULTRA/Kinsey have inflicted on the nation, it is important to look particularly at how that change was effected and to reverse those social policies that have proved so destructive to individual health and wellbeing, to the family and to the health of society more broadly.

³¹¹ Justice Michael Kirby, “Sexuality and Global Forces: Dr Alfred Kinsey and the Supreme Court of the United States”, A Branigin Lecture, presented at Indiana University on October 14, 2006. This lecture was given on behalf of the Indiana University Institute for Advanced Study, in honor of Alfred Kinsey and the global impact of his research and the issues that it continues to raise today. Subsequently published in the *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies*, vol. 14, Summer 2007, p. 485.

³¹² <https://www.cia.gov/library/readingroom/docs/CIA-RDP80R01731R001600080102-8.pdf>

How did we get here?

In his book *The Trouble with Normal: Sex, Politics, and the Ethics of Queer Life*, Michael Warner celebrates Kinsey and six decades of changes in public attitudes, and laws created by RF/Kinsey through *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*. He summarizes the effect of Kinsey's work in this way:

"Kinsey's most revolutionary achievement was to convince scholars and the public that non-normative sexual activities are, in fact, normal."³¹³ Supported by his RF co-conspirators with their massive marketing and spin control, RF/Kinsey reported his fraudulent sexuality data: claiming extramarital sex (adultery) and homosexual "contacts" were common. Indeed, so common was criminal sexual conduct that, were the law to punish all such acts, 95 percent of the male population would need to be incarcerated. "The idea of normal sexuality, he argued, is too distorted by moralism to be an accurate picture of normal behavior."³¹⁴

As noted, prior to Kinsey, American culture was characterized by a conservative sexual ethic. Informed by a strong Judeo-Christian heritage and scaffolded by the 1873 Act for the "Suppression of Trade in, and Circulation of, Obscene Literature and Articles of Immoral Use" (aka "The Comstock Act"), American society had, to a great extent, been insulated from the sexual liberationist ideologies popular in the progressive bohemian circles of Europe. Through tireless efforts over a forty-year career, Anthony Comstock had largely succeeded in shutting down the domestic pornography industry. The Rockefellers might fund the work of Margaret Sanger (a sexual liberationist) but the social change she advocated was impeded by strong legal and cultural opposing forces.

Attempts to introduce the German, Magnus Hirschfeld's pro-homosexual doctrines to the US in 1931 had met with abject failure. If a permission structure for sexual hedonism were to be successfully injected into American culture, it needed to come veiled as "scientific truth" and be delivered by a trusted American "intellectual" male figure. With the careful support of a well-greased PR machine, and the co-operation of self-interested academics who have maintained the fiction ever since, "Al Kinsey" was cast in the role. As Kinsey's mentor in sexology, Robert Dickinson, explained: "A book can end an era. An era of Hush-and-Pretend [please note the mischaracterization] in the life of our nation may end through the Kinsey Report".³¹⁵

Even decades after his death, extravagant honours are heaped upon Kinsey, both as a Pioneer and a Scientist. In a 2006 lecture to the Law School of the University of Indiana, Australian former High Court Justice, Michael Kirby, in his capacity as a member of the Board of Directors of the Kinsey Institute, summarised Kinsey's achievements in this way:

Dr. Alfred Kinsey is, in my view, one of the greatest scientists of the twentieth century. He is certainly one of the greatest scholars of Indiana University. His

³¹³ Michael Warner. (1999). *The Trouble with Normal: Sex, Politics, and the Ethics of Queer Life*. Free Press. p. 55. (<https://americansfortruth.com/2020/04/23/queer-quote-michael-warner-alfred-kinseys-unreliable-data-helped-normalize-nonnormative-sexual-activities/>).

³¹⁴ Warner. Op. cit.

³¹⁵ Robert Dickinson. (1948). "Foreword" in Morris Ernst and David Loth. *American Sexual Behavior and The Kinsey Report*, Graystone Pres. pp. vii–viii.

contribution to a “wider civilization” should not be understated. He should have more honor than he does, at the University, in Indiana, and throughout the nation. By his research and his ideas, he was a most powerful change-agent. And the process of change that he helped to put in place has by no means yet seen its course. At the fault line of ideas competing for human acceptance in the present age, Dr. Kinsey stands, beckoning us forward to greater rationality and knowledge about ourselves.³¹⁶

Thus invested with a prophet-like stature Kinsey is depicted in heroic costume, courageously facing down unenlightened conservatives to illuminate the field of human sexuality previously shrouded in ecclesiastical taboo and superstition with the white light of empirical fact. Those who cast themselves as modern, rational and progressive, propose that the scientific truths revealed by Kinsey offer a more reliable guide for what is good and healthy with regard to human sexual behaviours than either tradition or religion: that science reveals the moral codes that have scaffolded the family and operated for millennia (albeit imperfectly) to protect the vulnerable and provide for children are not only redundant but absolutely harmful.

As we saw in Section 1, Kinsey’s “science”, which has served to guide American public policy since the 1950s, is a well-marketed lie. Where Science is taken the yardstick of all truth, then the importance of honest science can hardly be over-stated:

Scientific misconduct generates rampant damage ... to society ... Once published, the information pollutes the stream of knowledge, perverts the scientific process, and causes researchers to abandon potentially valuable lines of inquiry and commit themselves to false ones. Because the scientific endeavor is based on the search for truth, honesty is central to the scientific enterprise, *misconduct places the future of science at risk*.³¹⁷

Regrettably, the purchase of scientific authority in the service of special interests appears to have a long history in respected institutions.³¹⁸ Even now, America holds the dubious distinction of excelling internationally at scientific fraud. An analysis of scientific papers retracted for fraud (defined as “a calculated effort to deceive”) shows “American scientists are significantly more prone to engage in data fabrication or falsification than scientists from other countries.”³¹⁹

Kinsey’s fraud is therefore by no means the only example of fake science funded by special interest groups³²⁰ but it does appear to be one of the more pernicious in terms of the destructive

³¹⁶ Kirby. Op. cit.

³¹⁷ Bratislav Stankovic. (2004). *Pulp Fiction: Reflections of Scientific Misconduct*. Wis. L. Rev. pp. 975, 979–80.

³¹⁸ This is not the place to go into great detail on this point but in 2003 the *LA Times* revealed over 94% of top NIH scientists can earn millions--and stock options--from biomedical companies to manipulate their research results. The potential for unethical scientists to put public health in danger is clear and particularly concerning as we are on the brink of a campaign for a “worldwide vaccine” promoted by Bill Gates as the “Final Solution” to be implemented globally before we can even consider a “new normal.” James Corbett. (May 2020). A Corbett Report. *Meet Bill Gates*, [video documentary]. Est: time for citation, 50:30; 23.14–23:47. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8alro6mjcsU&feature=youtu.be>

³¹⁹ Alison Fairbrother. (December 25, 2010). “U.S. Scientists Top Research-Fraud List – How Concerned Should We Be?” *PoliticsDaily*. See; www.politicsdaily.com/2010/12/25/u-s-scientists-top-research-fraud-list-how-concerned-should. A search of the PubMed science research database found 788 retracted papers from 2000 to 2010, and 169 lead American authored papers were retracted for serious errors, as well as 84 retracted for outright fraud.

³²⁰ In her article “Is Academic Medicine for Sale?”, Dr. Marcia Angell, former Editor-in-Chief of *The New England Journal of Medicine* points out the dangers to public health created by a captive media. Millions of dollars are paid to credible “researchers” to approve drugs or drug policies and through this process “[t]he boundaries between academic medicine—medical schools, teaching hospitals, and their

course it set for the nation. If, as we argue, the destruction of American society to pave the way for the establishment of the New World Order was the ultimate goal of the globalists, then it is easy to understand why Robert Morrison, the RF Medical Director would comment that “The [Kinsey] Institute financing [was] one of the most significant things we ever did.”³²¹ Dubbed “the social atomic bomb,”³²² the destructive effects of following Kinsey’s advice are now abundantly apparent in the destruction of family and evolving illnesses of every new kind in American society.

Destroying America’s youth via schoolroom “obscenity exemptions”

If, as we argue, the intended outcome of Kinsey’s work was to create the rationale for undermining the traditional sexual morality that scaffolds the nuclear family, the project could not have been more successful for the Rockefellers and more destructive for the populace. In regularizing sexual immorality, Kinsey’s work formed the first step of a program which would persuade Americans that received *customs, laws and morals were lies based on a “unscientific” Judeo-Christian myths*, dissociating the entire population from its formerly strong moral compass. “Dissociation is a break in how your mind handles information [so that o]ne is disconnected from ones’ thoughts, feelings, memories, surrounding, sense of identity.”³²³ Inculcated through our government schools, K-PhD, Kinsey’s misinformation would help produce population-level dissociation.

The mechanics of mind control – previously the stuff of science fiction or horror novels – are also increasingly well recognized as effective in changing human behavior. The effects of generalized societal trauma resulting from Kinsey’s work are also abundantly clear. By the 1960s, depression had become the now commonly-treated and much-researched “mental health condition.”³²⁴ As well as increasing in numerical terms, sex abuse has become more sadistic and targets ever-younger children; family breakdown and infidelity are increasingly common; exposure to pornography is hardly to be avoided, even by the very young; as a result child-on-child sex abuse is rising even as sexually exotic practices are introduced to the attention of small children by trusted teachers in schools.

Since c.1958 it has been legal in states to allow schools to use obscene materials, videos, books, lecturers, etc. provided these are regarded as educational. This form of “education” (which merges with pornography) is absolutely a causal factor in rising levels of child-on-child abuse in school. A 2017 report detailed 17,000 instances of such abuse including “Sodomy, Rape, Object penetration and Unwanted fondling”.³²⁵ The reported figures must be regarded as somewhat less

faculty—and the pharmaceutical industry have been dissolving since the 1980s ... Medical research, education, and clinical practice have suffered as a result.” Marcia Angel. (2000). *Big Pharma, Bad Medicine*. <http://www.2012theawakening.com/?p=1432>

³²¹ Reisman. *Stolen Honor, Stolen Innocence*. p. 61


³²² Albert Deutsch. (December, 1947). *Harper’s magazine*. p. 494 said that it “explodes traditional concepts of what is normal and abnormal, natural and unnatural in sex behavior.” *LOOK* (December 9, 1947. p. 106) said the Kinsey team had produced a “social atomic bomb” that “may have a tremendous effect on the future social history of mankind”. (Gordon and Court, 1992).

³²³ WebMD. <https://www.webmd.com/mental-health/dissociation-overview#1> See especially, Colin Ross. (1997). *Dissociative identity disorder: Diagnosis, clinical features, and treatment of multiple personality*, 2nd ed. John Wiley & Sons Inc. “Renamed dissociative identity disorder (DID) in the 4th edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-IV (DSM-IV).” <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/1996-06491-000>

³²⁴ Allan V. Horwitz. (March 29 2009). [How an Age of Anxiety Became an Age of Depression](http://www.milbank.com/entry/how-an-age-of-anxiety-became-an-age-of-depression). *Milbank*. 88(1): 112–138.

³²⁵ Types of peer sexual assault at school. SOURCE: AP analysis of FBI’s National Incident-Based Reporting System 2013-2014 data <https://www.ap.org/explore/schoolhouse-sex-assault/stats-revealed-by-ap-investigation-of-student-sex-assaults.html>; Robin McDowell et al. (1 May 2017) “AP uncovers 17,000 reports of sexual assaults at schools...” <https://www.ap.org/explore/schoolhouse-sex-assault/stats-revealed-by-ap-investigation-of-student-sex-assaults.html>

than the actual total since many states do not track sex abuse by students, and most youngsters fear reporting peer sex crimes for a myriad of reasons, so under-reporting can be assumed.



The effect of such brutal violations on such very young victims hardly needs to be underscored. Yet the publication of this report did not provoke noticeable public outrage, it did not lead to a federal investigation. It did not even make the frontpage reports of the notable newspapers.


As well as supplying the inspiration for child-on-child sexual assaults, the “obscenity exemptions” for “education” K-12 facilitates the

work of adult sexual predators in schools. Pedosadists who work as teachers, sex educators or librarians can show videos and images of sodomy, rape, object penetration and forced fondling to students merely by claiming it as “age appropriate education”.³²⁶

It is time to recognize that all this is not the spontaneous result of “progress”. Rather, The RF/Kinsey project was designed as a form of population-level mind control. We are witnessing its success! Lionizing Kinsey, our new national father, ACLU lawyer Morris Ernst said:

The whole of our laws and customs in sexual matters is based on the avowed desire to protect the family, and at the base of the family is the father. His behavior is revealed by the Kinsey Report to be quite different from anything the general public had supposed possible or reasonable.³²⁷

Where religion, laws, tradition had instilled sexual restraint, Kinsey brought a message of “liberation”. And since, as Kinsey assured us, men were already engaging in all manner of sexual hedonism without adverse effect, it logically follows that nothing would change if we simply ceased



³²⁶ Judith A. Reisman & Mary E. McAlister. (2918). *Liberty University Law Review* [Vol. 12:517] “Materials Deemed Harmful To Minors Are Welcomed Into Classrooms And Libraries Via Educational “Obscenity Exemptions.” Legal K-12 In Almost All States Beginning In 1958 As Part of Their Needed “Education.” <https://www.thereismaninstitute.org/reisman-articles/2018/7/23/materials-deemed-harmful-to-minors-are-welcomed-into-classrooms-and-libraries-via-educational-obscenity-exemptions?rq=Obscenity>

³²⁷ Morris Ernst & David Loth. (1948). *American Sexual Behavior and The Kinsey Report*. Greystone. pp. 83, 81.

hiding “the truth”. Surely, there could be no increase in: rape or sex crimes; no increase in our two venereal diseases; no rising levels of illegitimacy; no increase in divorce, adultery, desertion, fatherless children; no increase in juvenile delinquency, drug or alcohol abuse; and especially no increase in incest or child sex abuse, from simply candidly acknowledging what (Kinsey assured us) was already happening anyway?

The two charts above, showing teens arrested for violent crimes and teen suicide rates from the 1960s and the 1990s, reveal a very different story.³²⁸ Destroying a child’s faith in the sexual decency of their parents, serves also to destroy their confidence in parental guidance of their own sexual choices. To our cost, chastity before and fidelity within the marriage bond have been relegated to the status of fairy tales.

Hugh Hefner: Yes! “I’ll be Kinsey’s Pamphleteer”

The reintroduction of commercial pornography, beginning with *Playboy*, took Kinsey from the textbooks into popular culture.³²⁹ In 1953, as a 22-year old college virgin, Hugh Hefner read and was sexually radicalized by Kinsey’s 1948 *Male Report*. Casting himself as “Kinsey’s pamphleteer”,³³⁰ Hefner founded *Playboy*. His first issue claimed: no “moral truths” just “a little diversion from the anxieties of the Atomic Age”.³³¹ Indeed, the anxieties of the cold war “atomic age” were exploited by the *Playboy* “Throwaway Love” Lifestyle taught to young professional men and thereafter to the rest of the population.³³²

Hefner’s conjoining naked ladies with fully clothed male “intellectuals” had significant political potential.³³³ The brand-new college man’s bible would warn him to beware of women and “the marriage trap.” *Playboy*’s strategy was fourfold: (1) to sexually stimulate readers, (2) to encourage orgasms by normalising masturbation, (3) to discourage marriage and (4) to glorify promiscuity/recreational sex. Letters to the Editor came from noteworthy politicians, judges, lawyers, celebrities, doctors and artists; people who would never normally praise or write for a magazine. *Playboy*’s contributors included:

Jimmy Carter, Albert Schweitzer, Dick Gregory, Bertrand Russell, Ayn Rand, Bill Cosby, Fidel Castro, Frederico Fellini, Frank Sinatra, Gore Vidal, Grace Kelly, Helen Gurley Brown, Ian Fleming, Ingmar Bergman, Jackie Gleason, Jean-Paul Sarte, Jim Garrison, Jimmy Hoffa, Joe Namath, Johnny Carson, Martin Luther King, Jr., Marshall McLuhan, Malcolm X, etc. US Supreme Court Justices

³²⁸ William J. Bennett. (1999). *The Index of Leading Cultural Indicators*. Crown Publishing. Kindle. L 352; 256, 3404.

³²⁹ The first edition of *Playboy* explained to readers “If you’re a man between the ages of 18 and 80 PLAYBOY is meant for you...we are filling a publishing need only slightly less important than the one just taken care of by the Kinsey report. Jill Ann: [Upstairs, https://www.hpb.com/products/behind-the-scenes-in-hef-s-Playboy-mansion-9781561718726](https://www.hpb.com/products/behind-the-scenes-in-hef-s-Playboy-mansion-9781561718726) Jill Ann Spaulding, “[³³⁰ “Kinsey was the researcher and I was his pamphleteer.” John Thomas. \(2009, August 14\). *Ask Hef Anything* \[Video\]. YouTube. Retrieved 21 May 2020 from \[http://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=Ask+Hef+Anything...&search=Search\]\(http://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=Ask+Hef+Anything...&search=Search\), and/or James R. Petersen. \(1999\). *The Century of Sex: Playboy’s History of the Sexual Revolution 1900–1999*. Edited with Foreword by Hugh Hefner. Grove Press. p. 229.](https://www.ama. (https://www.encyclopedia.com/social-sciences/encyclopedias-almanacs-transcripts-and-maps/playboy).”</p></div><div data-bbox=)

³³¹ Hugh Hefner, (Dec. 1953). *Playboy Magazine*. Volume I, Number I, p. 3.

³³² In a 1969 episode of the Dick Cavett Show, Hugh Hefner explained that *Playboy*’s readership was comprised principally of college students and young professionals, with an average age of 29 years old. ((September 6, 2018). “Hugh Hefner and the Success of *Playboy*”. *The Dick Cavett Show*. [Video]. YouTube. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xTVToBACvCk>); Russell Miller. (1984). *Bunny: The real story of Playboy*. Michael Joseph. p. 39.

³³³ Sociologist Christopher Lasch wondered why a generation unsusceptible to extreme hedonism “raised a generation that was... repudiating the serviceable practices of the past.” embracing “permissiveness” of child-raising experts of the late 1940s...you mustn’t squelch the young. It might stunt their precious development...routinized half-truths of the experts” as “modern parents repudiated the serviceable practices of the past.” See his classic 1979 book *The Culture of Narcissism*. W. W. Norton Company.

Douglas and Goldberg wrote opinion pieces for *Playboy*, increasing its credibility, and power worldwide.³³⁴

All of this lent an aspect of cultural and intellectual gravitas to the pages of *Playboy* and, over time, the effect on culture was profound. A 1960 study of 200 college men found that “one still finds considerable resistance toward premarital intercourse ... To these young men, sex without love seemed utterly unethical. Some of them did not even think it right to kiss a girl unless they were “in love.”³³⁵ Into this culture, Hefner injected quite a different sexual ethic. “Hefner imagined extramarital affairs of monogamous men, supplied the stimulus for dormant men and was thus connected with the central nervous system of *Playboy* readers nationwide.³³⁶ *Playboy* campaigned to eliminate both “good girls” and “good guys” in college, advising “Joe College” to rid himself of marriage and family.

A world created in Kinsey’s image: sexual psychopathy normalized

Kinsey’s own life and especially his death illustrate the bias interwoven into his claims. Even Jones, who is Kinsey’s biographers and one of his most ardent supporters, acknowledges Kinsey’s sadistic sexual practices and his frequent sexual harassment particularly of younger men and students. On zoology field trips, Kinsey would insist the male students in his team join him in daily group nude bathing sessions. (Clearly this was unwelcome to at least some of the students; Kinsey had harsh words of condemnation for those who ducked out.

He was emphatic in underscoring the very grave importance of good hygiene for the health of the whole group as the reason for his punctilious personal supervision of the bathing sessions. His persistence in pressuring young male staff to have sex with him is on record. Kinsey practiced sexual sadism and brutalizing sodomy with two young male co-authors and scores of his (unknown ages) male subjects.³³⁷ Apart from the purely criminal aspects of this behavior, it clearly violates the spirit of objective “research”.

Kinsey argued for the harmlessness of masturbation, claiming it could never be obsessive or harmful in any manner. His “data” still appear regularly in social science, law journals and the popular press which continue to confuse his lies for truth. On Jul 31, 2020, for example, *Psychology Today* explained: “Kinsey published the first scientific studies of American [sexuality](#) ... he revealed that the large majority of Americans engaged in recreational sex, with many playing that way routinely. This shattered all illusions [of] Americans ... Kinsey and the parade of researchers after him found that the single most widely enjoyed type of sex is recreational—masturbation—with other recreational play fairly prevalent”.³³⁸ Now, especially with the pornography pandemic, we

³³⁴ US Supreme Court Justices Arthur Goldberg (January 1970) and William O. Douglas (December 1967). Both defend *Playboy* type material. Jimmy Carter’s interview appeared in the November 1976 issue, a few pages before a violent, approving, incest “letter.”

³³⁵ Phyllis and Eberhard Kronhausen. (1960). *Sex Histories of American College Men*. Ballantine. p. 219.

³³⁶ Gay Talese. (1980). *Thy Neighbor’s Wife*. Ivy Books. See Reisman, *Soft Porn Plays Hardball*. (2011). p. vi.

³³⁷ James H. Jones. (1997, August 25). Dr. Yes: Alfred C Kinsey A Public/Private Life. *The New Yorker* and Reisman. (2012). *Kinsey: Crimes & Consequences*. A bully, Kinsey humiliated and berated any with a less permissive sexuality than his; “[Kinsey bathed with his students... striding about camp naked](#)” pp. 10, 50, etc. For “scrotum” torture, see p. 285.

³³⁸ [Michael Castleman](#). (31 July 2020). “The Three Types of Sex: How Many Do You Engage In?” *Psychology Today*. <https://www.psychologytoday.com/ca/blog/all-about-sex/202007/the-three-types-sex-how-many-do-you-engage-in>

have learned of the disconnect between human beings, love, when one becomes a servant to autoerotic activity.³³⁹

Kinsey's own early death illustrates the problems that attend upon following his advice. Toward the end of his life, Kinsey's role in his films was reduced exclusively to demonstrating "solo" sexual techniques. He loved to exhibit his large sex organ and found bizarre ways to perform on camera. Kinsey Indiana photographer, Bill Dellenback often filmed Kinsey, "engaged in masochistic" self-abuse. Jones reports Kinsey inserting objects into his urethra, such as "a swizzle stick, the kind with a knob on the end." Or he would "tie a rope around his scrotum, and then simultaneously tug hard on the rope as he maneuvered the object deeper and deeper" or "wrap the other end around his hand and climb onto a chair and jump off."

Kinsey died, apparently of complications associated with "orchitis" which can be fatal. Jones discusses Kinsey's doctor as "pinpointing the testicles as the site of the infection. Orchitis ... marked by pain, swelling ... usually due to gonorrhea, syphilis, filarial disease ... Traumatic orchitis [is] orchitis following trauma." He'd had hundreds of violent partners, hence, many sexually diseased partners, as well as significant trauma at minimum to his testicles and urethra. This death strongly suggests that Kinsey contracted venereal disease during his frantic frolics. This and the terrible trauma he inflicted ... on his sexual organs no doubt led directly to his untimely death.³⁴⁰

This then, is the pedosadistic psychopath who taught the world about sex, who started the sexual revolution, the field of sexology, school sex education, and its global curriculum.

SIECUS Sex Ed sponsored by RF/Kinsey/Playboy

In 1960 *Playboy* Foundation "seeded" (donated) the Sex Information and Education Council of the United States (SIECUS) and by 1964 the RF followed, funding SIECUS. Sex education was launched at the Kinsey Institute by SIECUS. Its objective was to teach Kinseyan ideology as sex education in our schools. SIECUS imprinted the new Kinsey variant standard on almost all sex education curricula. Its early leader, Dr. Mary Calderone (past medical director of Planned Parenthood) was the direct link between Kinsey's university-based research, Planned Parenthood's grassroots outreach, and SIECUS. SIECUS was a "[r]esource Center [operating] Specialized Programs to Distribute Information about Human sexuality [through] learned journals, research studies, training materials for health professionals, and sample classroom curricula."³⁴¹ The influence of SIECUS and Planned Parenthood has been amplified globally through various UN organizations (including UNESCO) and affiliated NGOs.

Kinsey's influence informs not only high school but college-level textbooks used to train generations of health experts. For example, Crooks and Baur's popular college human sexuality text, *Our Sexuality*, was republished 14 times, the last edition in 2019.³⁴² Decades of medical, liberal arts,

³³⁹ Elisabeth Taylor. (2018). "Pornography as a Public Health Issue: Promoting Violence and Exploitation of Children, Youth, and Adults," *Dignity: A Journal on Sexual Exploitation and Violence*: Vol. 3: Iss. 2, Article 8. Retrieved August 9, 2020 from: <https://digitalcommons.uri.edu/dignity/vol3/iss2/8>


³⁴⁰ Reisman. (2010). *Sexual Sabotage*. p. 67.

³⁴¹ David L. Scherrer, Linda M. Klepacki. (2004). *How to Talk to Your Kids About Sexuality*. Cook Communication. p. 59; see also Donald T. Critchlow. (10 May 2001). Oxford University Press. pp. 194, 284; and SIECUS brochure. "Are you going to stand by; will you?" undated. Circa late 1980s.

³⁴² Robert Crooks, Karla Baur, Lara Widman. (2019). *Our Sexuality* (14th edition). Cengage Learning, Inc. The synopsis on Amazon.com describes the book this way: "This is the most respected and authoritative college textbook available on human sexuality. Written in a direct, non-judgmental manner, the thirteenth edition...has been thoroughly and carefully updated to reflect the most current

law, eventually “sexology” students were trained to echo Kinsey’s alleged “data”; his conclusion that children are capable of orgasm continues to be promulgated.³⁴³

Changing national morality through the Model Penal Code



By 1955, the RF’s open support for Kinsey was becoming problematic. The slander of America’s women presented in Kinsey’s 1953 *Female Report* provoked even greater outrage than his 1948 lies about America’s men. In 1954, a group of America’s leading statisticians headed by William Cochrane had eviscerated any claims that Kinsey’s work could be regarded as “science”.³⁴⁴ By 1955, when the Reece Committee was planning to investigate the influence of “philanthropic” funding, the RF apparently thought it prudent make a show of publicly distancing itself from its now-controversial protegee.

Quietly circumventing the proposed investigation of Kinsey through the intervention of the obliging Congressman Hays, the RF simply switched horses; rather than promoting and funding Kinsey himself they instead promoted and funded legal reform based on Kinsey’s conclusions. The American Law Institute (ALI) had been established in 1948 with a grant from the Carnegie Corporation. In 1955, “the Rockefeller Foundation stepped in to aid Carnegie.”³⁴⁵

The ALI’s legal experts (which included professors, attorneys, judges, etc.) compile a series of legal “treatises”. These are summaries of state common law, including legal principles and precedent derived from state court room decisions. Many courts and legislatures look to ALI’s treatises as authoritative reference material. Others, however, such as the late Supreme Court Justice [Antonin Scalia](#), have voiced concern that, rather than simply providing the claimed rigorous orthodox account of the law as it stands, the ALI’s treatises present a version of the law that is conformed to a pre-established idea of “progress”.³⁴⁶ The ALI is, thus, an instrument of social engineering, rather than an impartial authority.

In her book, *How Sex Became a Civil Liberty*, author Lee Ann Wheeler gives significant credit to Kinsey for significant changes to America’s sex laws. Kinsey’s lies dominated the now famous—or infamous—“sex offenses” section of the ALI-MPC of 1955. The powerful Kinsey, ACLU, Planned Parenthood lawyer, Morris Ernst and famous author David Loth rejoiced that the Kinsey Reports did for sex what Columbus did for geography. Wheeler explains:

research findings and psychosocial developments. It is the first college text to deliver cutting-edge and in-depth emphasis on the impact of politics on sexuality.” Retrieved 20 May 2020 from <https://www.amazon.com/Our-Sexuality-Robert-L-Crooks/dp/1305646525>


³⁴³ Reisman. (2013). *Stolen Honor, Stolen Innocence*. One “authoritative” text book explains: “Signs of sexual arousal in infants and children, such as penile erection, vaginal lubrication, and pelvic thrusting, are often misinterpreted....infants have been observed experiencing what appears to be orgasm...[W]ith the widespread circulation of the research findings of Alfred Kinsey...the false assumption that childhood is a period of sexual dormancy is gradually eroding....infants of both sexes are born with the capacity for sexual pleasure and response.” p. 149.

³⁴⁴ Cochrane et al. op. cit.

³⁴⁵ Reisman. (2013). *Stolen Honor, Stolen Innocence*. p. 188.

³⁴⁶ Lammi, Glenn G. (2015-04-28). “Will The American Law Institute ‘Restate’ Or Try To Rewrite U.S. Copyright Law?”. Forbes. Retrieved 2016-03-24.

Ernst, the ALI, and the ACLU marketed Kinsey's "Research Magnificent" with branding advice, urging RF officers to continue to support Kinsey, writing laudatory articles for *Redbook* and other popular magazines ... [T]hey discussed ways that American law should be changed given Kinsey's finding that 95% of males had violated one of the sex laws ... adultery, fornication, seduction, homosexual activity, and sodomy removing adultery as grounds for divorce ³⁴⁷



The 1957 (Roth v. US) Supreme Court **obscenity ruling**, shortly reflected in our schoolrooms was based on Kinsey's claim that "nothing can be considered obscene until the circumstances of its projected use are known." This opened the door to the "obscenity exemption" for little children in their schools and libraries discussed above. ³⁴⁸ The obscene material meant for children's "education" K-PhD was therefore a good. Ernst led the charge to align the sex laws with Kinsey's recommendations.

[Roth] relied heavily on Kinsey's research. Attorneys, plaintiffs, and judges quoted Kinsey in court cases, judicial opinions, and the popular press ... exchanging information and advice with Kinsey through correspondence, intermediaries, and face-to-face meetings in New York City ... [But] the American people will never adopt laws [justifying] "compulsive sexual over-drives as a goal for all to emulate. ³⁴⁹

The RF ensured that Kinsey's work would reshape the sex laws when it funded the ALI's Model Penal Code. The four reporters, Herbert Wechsler (chief), Louis B. Schwartz, Morris Ploscowe, and Paul W. Tappan, "Each of the reporters publicly supported Kinsey's findings, interpreted them as a

³⁴⁷ Leigh Ann Wheeler. (2013). *How Sex Became a Civil Liberty*. Oxford University Press. pp. 106–108.

³⁴⁸ See Reisman, *Ibid.* 317.

³⁴⁹ Wheeler, *Ibid.* p. 106.

call for liberalizing sex laws, and took up that call when they began to draft the Model Penal Code in 1951.³⁵⁰

The flow-chart below illustrates how over time a relatively small legal coterie, by means of the

Laws	It was --1948	Post 1955
Obscenity	Prison and/or Fines	Legal-If in School, K-12
Age of Consent	16, 18, 21 years old	Can be 12 to 18
▲ Rape	Death/18 States, Life/22	6 months to 4 years
Statutory Rape	Death in 16 States	0 - 2 years (consent)
▲ Seduction	Prison and/or Fines	Legal (consent)
Adultery	Prison and/or Fines	Decriminalized
Divorce	Fault: Alimony, estate	No Fault, No Alimony
▲ Child Custody	Mother	Financially based
▲ Abortion	Absent grounds, "Punished"	Legal-Taught in School
▲ Sodomy	Prison and/or Fines	Legal-Taught in School

MPC, injected Kinsey's influence into sex laws all around the country. The paradigm shift had a profound influence on the trajectory of culture. Reducing penalties for sex offenses obviously reduces protections for women and children in direct proportion: the law now declared sexual trauma to be a trivial matter, unworthy of significant punishment.

From erosion of legal protections continues: the legalization of sodomy; weaker penalties for rape and the sexual assault of children; acceptance of "sex play gone wrong" as a defense to murder, legal protections for "transgender identities" that enable sexual predators to gain entry to facilities established for vulnerable women and girls – the "progressive" removal of protections for the vulnerable is clear. Reisman documented elsewhere the seventy-year attack on sex laws that had, before Kinsey, protected women, children, and the family. Suffice to point out, for now, that the Rockefeller-funded Kinsey lobby *continues* to perpetuate their joint agenda in *all* areas of human sexuality in our culture. *This is the Rockefeller-CIA-Kinsey legal legacy.*³⁵¹



It is interesting to note in passing that the abuse of children by MK-UTRA and distinctive elements of the mind-control programming techniques feature in the recent feature film, *K-12*, starring Melanie Martinez. In the film:

"Melanie often wears babydoll dresses as she sings songs with titles such as Sippy Cup...[Her] first album *Cry Baby* [is] about "a child who experiences adult things"....[A] young girl who escapes reality to enter Wonderland is a major programming script in actual Monarch programming. As slaves are subjected to intense trauma, they are told to "go through the looking glass" and enter Wonderland to escape the pain – code for dissociation, the ultimate goal of Monarch programming."³⁵²

³⁵⁰ Ibid, p. 108.

³⁵¹ Judith Reisman. (2010). *Sexual Sabotage*. WND Books. p. 79. See The Reisman Institute. *Laws*. <http://www.drjudithreisman.com/laws.html> for white papers documenting the legal history.


³⁵² (September 19, 2019). "The Sinister Messages of "K-12" by Melanie Martinez." *The Vigilant Citizen*. <https://vigilantcitizen.com/moviesandtv/the-sinister-messages-of-k-12-by-melanie-martinez/> Martinez was "discovered" in the music contest show *The Voice* in 2012 and later signed a contract with Atlantic Records. She is the latest in a long line of children and young

The conflation of electric shock with sexual themes in this imagery clearly plays to sadomasochistic tastes and echoes Kinsey's own framing of human sexual responses as merging with physiological responses to non-sexual stimulus such as extreme fright, epileptic shock or convulsions induced through electric shock.

Behavior modification through reprogramming "the national mind"

Disconnected and mistrustful of the wisdom and moral architecture received from previous generations, taught by sex ed programs to give themselves over freely to "sexual liberty", successive generations have been shaped to respond to whatever messages are promulgated through the media. Such a rootless population is the more vulnerable then to the behavior modification techniques perfected through MKULTRA. Broadcast at a population level through the sophisticated technology provided by modern media in the hand of a small group of "tech giants", these can now be used to further the agenda of the new world order. As Estulin explains:

The CIA mind control projects themselves represented an assault on consciousness and reality ... [T]hese techniques share an ontological purpose: to manipulate perceptions and to re-create reality. The 1940s was the turning point for the Rockefeller strategy of behavior modification-brainwashing, co-determination, co-participation corporativism for the takeover of the United States and world labor movement.³⁵³



In January 2000 *Brill's Content* pictured five "owners" of mass media – AT&T, Disney, Time Warner, News Corp. and Viacom/CBS – as "owning" the national brain (at left). If the internet provides the potential for citizens to access alternative news sources, it is equally clear that this potential is subject to the intentional efforts of tech giants to limit and/or direct access to information in ways that are hostile to the basic principles of democracy.

Robert Epstein, a psychologist at the American Institute for Behavioral Research and Technology has explained that "based on win margins in national elections around the world ... Google could determine the outcome of upwards of 25 percent of all national elections."³⁵⁴ Facebook could swing elections without their interference ever being detectable.³⁵⁵

Once they dominated the means of communication, the cartel gained "control over public opinion. With control over public opinion they gained control of politics. And with control of politics, they appear to be taking control of the nation."³⁵⁶ Overwhelmed by economic, environmental and relational crises, RF/Kinsey's *sexual* trauma would contribute the required "condition for any

adults to be exploited by an industry that trades on packaging distinctly adult themes to produce content ostensibly intended for audiences of children but which would also appeal to the fantasies of pedosadists. The producers at least, but also perhaps the community of pedosadists among its viewing audience, appear to be well aware of these MK-Ultra experiments on children.

³⁵³ Estulin. p. 56.


³⁵⁴ WIRED. Adam Rogers. <https://www.wired.com/2015/08/googles-search-algorithm-steal-presidency/>

³⁵⁵ The Creepy Line" (2018). Video documentary. <https://www.amazon.com/Creepy-Line-Jordan-B-Peterson/dp/B07J219YY9>

³⁵⁶ Ibid. Allen, pp. 58–61. http://www.mega.nu:8080/ampp/gary_allen_rocker.


totalitarian movement to continue its advance ...keeping its subjects perpetually off balance is its modus operandi,³⁵⁷ often resulting in, “learned helplessness.”³⁵⁸

[Kinsey Reports - Wikipedia](#)



I never use “Wikipedia” since I wrote: “Jimmy Wales, Wikipedia's creator, made his original fortune as a pornography trafficker. Wales' cult of far-leftist volunteer editor zealots labor minute-by-minute to mislead readers who think Wikipedia's half-truths – and worse – are a legitimate “encyclopedia.”³⁵⁹ Here I quote from “Wikipedia” as it markets, “The Kinsey Reporter” by bringing us back to its founder,

Kinsey:



The Kinsey Reports are two scholarly books on human sexual behavior... written by Alfred Kinsey, Wardell Pomeroy, Clyde Martin, and ... published by W.B. Saunders. The two best-selling books were immediately controversial, both within the scientific community and the general public,

because they challenged conventional beliefs about sexuality.”

Thus Wikipedia avoids all facts on Kinsey’s “scholarly” child sex abuse but introduces “The Kinsey Reporter”:



[This] is the only sex research app, so you too can be a citizen sex researcher! Kinsey Reporter is a global mobile platform for the reporting, visualization, and analysis of anonymous data about sexual and other intimate behaviors. Your iPhone or iPad communicates securely with our server. The anonymous data collected is aggregated and shared openly with the community at KinseyReporter.org. You can explore statistics based on the collected data and access information about sex and sex research from the Kinsey Institute blog and podcasts. Kinsey

Reporter is a joint project of the world-famous Kinsey Institute and the Center for Complex Networks and Systems Research at Indiana University.³⁶⁰

This Kinsey Reporter assures users that all rape, sadistic torture, child rape and trafficking, or incest, etc., worldwide, will be codified as scientific news and periodically will suggest particular

³⁵⁷ Ibid. p. 11.

³⁵⁸ “Learned helplessness” an EIT method used as well on the children abused by Kinsey’s team, “has since become a basic principle of behavioral theory, demonstrating that prior learning can result in a drastic change in behavior and seeking to explain why individuals may accept and remain passive in negative situations despite their clear ability to change them.” See, M.E.P. Seligman, C. Peterson, in *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences*. 2001 and multiple other cites.

³⁵⁹ Chelsea Schilling. (17 Dec. 2012). “Here’s your correction, Wikipedia founder.” <https://www.wnd.com/2012/12/heres-your-correction-wikipedia-founder/>

³⁶⁰The Kinsey App. <https://apps.apple.com/lu/app/kinsey-reporter/id533205458>

interests such as a “new survey on sexual aggression”. Sex offenders are elevated to the status of “citizen scientist” and assured that, no matter what crimes they may report, these will never be revealed to the authorities. “The Kinsey Reporter” is recommended by multiple corporate platforms as well as *Scientific American*.³⁶¹ This was one of the many science journals that had refused since 1984 to publish anything for their constituency about Kinsey’s pedosadistic crimes. Kinsey’s legacy lives on in the app. Just as Kinsey did himself, it encourages a like-minded community to network, share their secrets, ensures their confidentiality, even if they report criminal acts, and enables them to inject their information into “scholarly” literature so that, just as Dickinson assured Rex King, “it won’t just be [their] jollies, it will be something worthwhile to science.”³⁶²

CONCLUSION

As one of over 180 scientists and doctors in universities funded by the RF through the CIA’s MKULTRA program, Kinsey worked to progress the eugenicist vision for a New World Order, in which, ultimately, the entire human population of the planet is to be governed by a global elite. Kinsey’s unique contribution to this work was to offer an ostensibly “scientific” rationale for condemning Judeo-Christian sexual ethics as repressive and representing sexual hedonism as “progressive”; necessary for genuine human liberation. The devastating effects of Kinsey’s “social atomic bomb”³⁶³ have been more fully realized in the accelerating destruction of each successive generation since the 1940s. Industrial interests working in sympathy with the eugenicist dream and/or driven by profit and self-interest quickly colonized the territory that Kinsey chartered:

- Commercial pornography glamorized commitment-free sex while making a lot of money;
- The Model Penal Code declared rape and the sexual abuse of children to be trivial matters and reduced criminal penalties accordingly;
- Comprehensive sex education providers promoted Kinsey’s “morbid sexualism” to school children, creating a future market for the products of their commercial allies and “philanthropic” sponsors, Big Porn, Big Pharma and the abortion industry;
- Obscenity exemptions allowed schools to facilitate the work of sexual predators by eroding the child’s sexual boundaries and inculcating exotic sexual interests thereby, once again, conditioning school children to embrace the commercial offerings of Big Porn, Big Pharma, and the sex industry.

Even now, of course, as Kirby (former member of the Kinsey Institute’s Board of Directors) correctly points out “the process of change that [Kinsey] helped to put in place has by no means yet seen its course”.³⁶⁴ The legalization, validation even celebration of “pedophilia” (which we call pedosadism) is still waiting in the wings. Kinsey’s ideological progeny, placed strategically in

³⁶¹ SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN, A DIVISION OF SPRINGER NATURE AMERICA, INC. (5 Nov. 2013). <https://www.scientificamerican.com/citizen-science/kinsey-reporter/>

³⁶² Kinsey’s Pedophiles. Op cit.

³⁶³ *LOOK* (December 9, 1947. p. 106) said the Kinsey team had produced a “social atomic bomb” that “may have a tremendous effect on the future social history of mankind”.

³⁶⁴ Justice Michael Kirby. (October 14, 2006). “Sexuality and Global Forces: Dr Alfred Kinsey and the Supreme Court of the United States”, A Branigin Lecture, presented at Indiana University. This lecture was given on behalf of the Indiana University Institute for Advanced Study, in honor of Alfred Kinsey and the global impact of his research and the issues that it continues to raise today. Subsequently published (Summer, 2007). *Indiana Journal of Global Legal Studies*, vol. 14, pp. 485ff.

positions of authority within esteemed, publicly-funded institutions, are still clearly about their work.

The fact that the scientific authority claimed by Kinsey was fraudulent, that his work was riddled with error and fell “below the level of good scientific writing”³⁶⁵ was pointed out by his contemporaries. The fact that Kinsey’s “evidence” that children are sexual from birth (upon which depends the integrity of his wider thesis that everything sexual is natural, therefore normal and therefore harmless) must have derived from the sexual torture of children and babies was pointed out forty years ago by Reisman. But the recent (re)discovery of Deutsch’s 1951 *LOOK* magazine article provides the critical facts that Kinsey was collaborating with other scientists at Greystone Park and Rockland Mental Asylums. Although corroborating evidence for this can then be found in the Kinsey Reports, Kinsey’s own team clearly regarded this as confidential information; references to it in the Reports are muted and vague. It seems Deutsch’s over-enthusiasm to position Kinsey as one of America’s most eminent scientists led him to give the game away. These simple facts are enough to help us now break through the tissue of lies, spin and public misinformation and gain a better view both of the nature of Kinsey’s experiments and, critically, the broader scientific and political agenda they served.

We can now say with greater certainty than ever that Kinsey’s assertion that orgasm is possible for infants from birth – a claim that continues unchallenged in modern sexology – depends for its authority on the distorted observation of a psychopathic pedosadist and his scientific colleagues, at least some of whom were also psychopathic pedosadists. The children’s wards of Rocklands and Greystone, as well as the Allan Memorial Institute run by Cameron in Canada (in addition, perhaps, to other places) supplied Kinsey with subjects and equipment for his experiments. We know that the children incarcerated in these institutions were subjected to various forms of psychological and physical torture – psychotropic drugs, extremes of temperature, isolation, electric shock, “psychic driving” – to which Kinsey added sexual trauma.

Sexual trauma was Kinsey’s unique contribution to the wider efforts of the CIA, through its unregulated MKULTRA program, to discover and perfect techniques for mind control. While the symptomatology of “orgasm” delineated in Kinsey’s work always looked suspect, when it is understood that the children for whom this was claimed were also being mistreated in these other ways, then it becomes appallingly clear that the responses Kinsey declared to be “orgasm” were (more probably) trauma-induced fitting, extreme terror or even a straightforward physiological response to electric shock. Kinsey’s own understanding of orgasm clearly merges with these other phenomena which means that, by his own acknowledgement, he is not prepared to perceive a difference between terror and pleasure. The perils of trusting to the scientific objectivity of a psychopathic pedosadist who enjoys his work are abundantly clear.

However, this then provokes further questions relating to how Kinsey’s crimes were facilitated, how his lies have been supported for so long and how the social degeneration he set in motion has continued to be enabled throughout the intervening decades. The answer to these questions requires us first to see who stands to benefit from the lie and why. Who would want to (mis)present something as destructive as the sexual hedonism Kinsey advocated as the basis for “progressive” social change? Who would want to encourage social collapse? The answers to these

³⁶⁵ Cochrane et al. Ibid.

questions all lead to the Rockefellers and their “philanthropic” Foundation which, as recently as 2020, has proudly boasted that, in commissioning the Kinsey Reports, they were indeed “funding a sexual revolution”.

The sexual revolution, however, is only one aspect of broader preparations for “the Ultimate Revolution”, planned by globalist eugenicists at least since the early decades of the twentieth century. According to the book taken by the eugenicist elite as the blueprint for their agenda, *The Decline of Western Civilization*³⁶⁶ is the necessary precursor to the establishment of a New World Order inside a One World Government. In his 1962 lecture to the University of California, Berkeley, Aldous Huxley (scion of a famous eugenicist family) explained that:

It’s exceedingly difficult to see how pure terrorism can function indefinitely ... [W]e are in process of developing a whole series of techniques which will enable the controlling oligarchy that have always existed and presumably always will exist, to get people actually to love their servitude ... to enjoy a state of affairs which, by any decent standard, they ought not to enjoy.³⁶⁷

Lest we harbour any doubt about the nature and objectives of this “oligarchy”, Huxley explains that the first goal of the Ultimate Revolution is “to standardise the population; to iron out inconvenient human differences, to create ... ‘mass produced’ models of human beings arranged in some kind of a scientific cast system.”³⁶⁸ This eugenicist dream of ultimate control over humanity has been progressed through a number of institutions and organisations over the last century: Planned Parenthood, the Kinsey Institute, the Population Council, UNESCO, even the UN itself all owe a significant debt to the Rockefeller Foundation and its eugenicist allies. In addition, the globalist elite has worked covertly by subverting the mechanisms that are essential for a free and democratic society – the press, the academy, legislative, executive and judicial arms of government – to work in their interests, instead of for the people.

In their support for Kinsey and subsequent nurturing of the seeds he planted, we see just another example of the eugenicist playbook in action. “Operation Paperclip” enabled the importation of Nazi scientists who had demonstrated their willingness to conduct unethical experiments on their fellow humans; “MKULTRA” provided these and other local recruits (like Kinsey), with the human guinea pigs and resources they needed to perfect all the different methods of mind control. These included:

- terrorism/trauma (because, as Pavlov discovered and Huxley explained, “conditioning installed in a state of stress or fatigue goes much deeper than conditioning installed at other times”³⁶⁹);
- suggestion and hypnosis (because “there are 20% of people who really can be persuaded into believing almost anything” and it may be possible for demagogues to “drive them on into extreme positions then organise them into very, very dangerous armies – private armies – which may overthrow the government”³⁷⁰);

³⁶⁶ This was the title of Oswald Spengler’s book *Untergange des Abendlandes* (1918), taken by the Tavistock Institute as a blueprint for their agenda [See John Coleman. (October 3, 2006). *The Tavistock Institute of Human Relations*].

³⁶⁷ Lectures Beyond Beyond. (November 2, 2013). “Aldous Huxley. The Ultimate Revolution (Berkeley Speech 1962). YouTube [audio recording]. Retrieved August 11, 2020 from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2WaUkZXKA30>

³⁶⁸ Huxley. Op. cit. [c. 8:30].

³⁶⁹ Huxley. Op. cit. [12:15ff].

³⁷⁰ Huxley. Op. cit. [15:30].

- pharmacological methods and mind-changing drugs (which help replace external constraint with the more efficient method of control afforded by internal compulsions).³⁷¹

The “morbid sexualism” visited upon the American (and ultimately the world’s) population through Kinsey’s work clearly fits in with this suite of programs: all work to enhance dissociation of the individual from tradition, family and their own moral compass. By condemning sexual morality as oppressive, RF/Kinsey taught children not to trust the received wisdom of their parents or the Church. With public education captive, successive generations of school children and youth have been taught to follow whatever is said to be consistent with “progress” and “freedom”; in this way they have been conditioned to love the servitude promised by global socialism, to comply with its demands, even to fight for its objectives. As an anonymous East German critic of Kinsey observed in 1953: when a “youth is obsessed by sex throughout his waking and sleeping hours, it will be all the easier to pull the steel helmet over his ears and the wool over his eyes.”³⁷²

The whole has been achieved and secrecy preserved through the efficient exploitation of human weakness. “Operation Mockingbird” used the Rockefeller/globalist control of the media to bombard the entire American population with decades of sustained propaganda, false history and misinformation, while simultaneously suppressing alternative views or inconvenient truths. Sexual compromise, blackmail and organised crime enabled the strategic corruption of officials, the abandonment or limitation of congressional investigations and the vilification or assassination of whistle-blowers. A long line of such blackmail operations, from Kinsey himself through Hefner to Epstein, demonstrates that democracy is only as strong as the moral character of its leaders.

This paper explains the what, how, why, when and by whom Kinsey’s work was enabled. We have provided evidence of crime, victims, perpetrators and motivation that should be sufficient to initiate an investigation in a country with functioning systems of justice. If the cycle of crime, cover-up, public spin, misinformation, blackmail, assassination, that seems to have compromised American democracy to its core is to be broken, it is essential that Kinsey, the Institute that bears his name and any organizations that work to further the social destruction that RF/Kinsey helped to initiate are investigated, their crimes exposed to public view and justice served as the courts allow. The evidence canvassed here must be regarded as only indicative of the horrors that further investigation can be expected to reveal.

Kinsey and his Institute must be considered among the other globalist eugenicist initiatives which in this remarkable year, 2020, are emerging from the shadows into plain view on the center-stage of world politics. [A] senatorial investigating committee headed by Harry Truman once declared Standard Oil (and therefore the Rockefellers) “a hostile and dangerous ... agency of the enemy ... Yes, it is treason. You cannot translate it any other way.”³⁷³ The agenda is clearly much more broad than simply betrayal of America and democracy, it involves the torture and murder of thousands of innocent victims and is aimed at the yet greater crime of achieving the enslavement of all humanity by engineering mass consent to servitude. We have only a short, critically important, window of opportunity in which to prevent the success of this agenda and begin reversing the damage that seventy years of RF/Kinsey’s lies have wrought.

³⁷¹ Huxley. Op. cit. [32:00ff].

³⁷² <https://www.cia.gov/library/readingroom/docs/CIA-RDP80R01731R001600080102-8.pdf>

³⁷³ Harry Truman. (27 March 1942). Congressional Record.

APPENDIX A

CIA Covert Persons, Hospitals, Universities and Government Facilities

*List prepared by Dr. Colin Ross: Bluebird: Deliberate Creation of Multiple Personality Psychiatrists.*³⁷⁴ *Two institutions have been added by Dr. Reisman: Rockland and Greystone Mental Hospitals.*

The following list is of ~80 hospitals, universities, and other facilities and organizations and ~180 scientists, medical doctors, and other scholars. that were, in some way, involved in the hosting, funding, and/or enactment of government-sanctioned human experimentation in the past. This list is not exhaustive, nor is it intended to implicate any organizations or individuals connected to them, who neither condoned nor participated in harmful human research. ***Such data should emerge during an honest investigation of the long-buried facts.***

Aero Medical Laboratory, Directorate of Research, Wright-Patterson
Air Force Base, Ohio
Air Force 657 1st Aeromedical Research Laboratory
Allan Memorial Institute, Canada
American Psychological Association
Boston University School of Medicine, Massachusetts
Army Chemical Corps
Canada's Defense Research Board
Central Intelligence Agency (CIA)
Children's International Summer Villages, Inc., Maine
Clifton Hospital, York, England
Columbia University
Commission on Viral Infections, Armed Forces Epidemiological Board,
Office of the Surgeon General
Cornell University, Cornell Medical Human Ecology Program
Creedmore State Hospital, Children's Unit, Queen's Village, New York
Dugway Proving Ground, Utah
Edgeware Arsenal
Edgewood Arsenal, Edgewood, Maryland
Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia
Florida State University
Fort Benning, Georgia
Fort Sam Houston
Georgetown University Hospital, Washington DC
George Washington University
Geschickter Foundation and the Geschickter Fund for Medical Research
Greystone Park Psychiatric Hospitals, NJ, Parkland Mental Hospital, NYC ***
Hanford Nuclear Facility, Richmond, Washington
Harvard Medical School, Massachusetts

³⁷⁴ The Colin A. Ross Institute for Psychological Trauma [Website]. <http://www.rossinst.com> ***Reisman added...

Hollywood Hospital, Vancouver, Canada
House of the Good Shepherd, New York
Human Ecology Foundation
Ionia State Hospital
Johns Hopkins University
Leler University of Georgia
Los Alamos
Louisiana State Penitentiary
Marlborough day hospital, Wiltshire, England
Massachusetts General Hospital
Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT)
McGill University, Department of Psychiatry, Canada
J. P. Morgan and Co., Inc.
Montana State University
Montreal Neurological Institute, Canada
NASA
New Jersey Neuropsychiatric Institute, Bureau of Neurology and Psychiatry
New Jersey Neuropsychiatric Institute, Clinical Investigative Unit of the Bureau of Research
New Jersey Reformatory at Bordentown
New York State Department of Mental Hygiene
New York State Psychiatric Institute
New York University, New York
New York University School of Medicine Committee on Human Experimentation
Oak Ridge Institute of Nuclear Studies
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Oak Ridge, Tennessee
Office of Naval Research
Ohio State Penitentiary at Columbus
Penetang Psychiatric Hospital, Oak Ridge Division, Penetanguishene, Ontario, Canada
Powick Hospital, Malvern, Worcestershire, England
Public Health Service
Rockland State Psychiatric Hospital, New York
Rand Corporation
Roffey Park, Lincolnshire, England
Rome State School, Rome, New York
Scottish Rite Foundation
Scottish Rite Foundation Schizophrenia Research Foundation
Scottish Rite Research Committee
Society for the Investigation of Human Ecology
Seventh Day Adventist Church
Stanford Research Institute (SRI)
Stanford University
St. John's Orphan Asylum, New York
Texas Research Institute of Mental Sciences (TRIMS), Houston, Texas
Tulane University, New Orleans, Louisiana

UCLA Violence Project
University of Denver, Colorado
University of Illinois
University of Indiana
University of Maryland
University of Minnesota, Department of Psychiatry
University of Oklahoma, Department of Psychiatry
University of Pennsylvania
University of Rochester, New York
University of Texas
U.S. Air Force
U.S. Army
U.S. Army Chemical Research and Development Laboratory, Edgeware Arsenal
U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command
U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, Fort Detrick, Fredrick, Maryland
U.S. Army Special Operations Division, Fort Detrick, Maryland
U.S. Department of Defense
U.S. Department of Energy
U.S. Federal Penitentiary, Atlanta, Georgia U.S. Navy
Utica Community Chest, Utica, New York
Vacaville State Prison
Vanderbilt University, Tennessee
Walter Reed Army Medical Center
Wayne State University College of Medicine, Lafayette Clinic, Detroit, Michigan
Willowbrook State School, New York
Worcester Foundation for Experimental Biology, Shrewsbury
Massachusetts Worcester State Hospital
Yale University

Connected Individuals

The next list is of individuals who are documented to have either participated in or supported human experimentation, or to have worked closely in the past with those who did. The descriptions of any positions or affiliations are of those that were held in the past; they may not apply now. Several of the listed individuals have openly testified about their past involvement in human experimentation; many others still refuse to acknowledge their connections. Some of the researchers who administered biochemical agents to subjects also administered it to themselves. Not all human experimentation was harmful to participants.
Note: This list is not intended to incriminate any innocents who may be named along with perpetrators of civil rights violations. It is for educational purposes, only. Some of the named individuals who worked closely with government contractors may not have been directly involved in human experimentation.

Member of the FMSF's Scientific and Professional Advisory Board

Harold Abramson, M.D., MKULTRA contractor

[Abramson cites extensively to Kinsey, also in his discussion of LSD uses and masturbation. The argument was Kinsey or Freud were the genius to follow. Abramson favors Freud.]

Dr. William Ross Adey, brain electrode researcher
Erik Allardt, University of Helsinki, MKULTRA contractor
Dr. A. Ames, contractor to Office of Naval Research, created the Ames leaf room
James A. Anderson
James Angleton, Chief of Counterintelligence Staff, CIA
William Sinclair Augerson, M.D., Commander of the US Army
Medical Research and Development Command
Dr. Hassan Azima, psychiatrist at Allan Memorial Institute
Lieutenant Colonel Alexander Bahlin, Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Research and Development)
Dr. Maitland Baldwin, neurosurgeon, MKULTRA contractor, MKSEARCH contractor
Dr. Elliott T. Barker, President of the Canadian Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children
Gregory Bateson, anthropologist, Mead's husband, funded by the OSS/CIA
Dr. Laretta Bender, child psychiatrist
Eva Bene, Ph.D.
Edward Bennett, University of Houston, MKULTRA contractor
Sandor Borsiczy, M.D.
Dr. Neil Burch, CIA contractor and co-author with West, Director of the Research Division of the Texas Research Institute of Mental Sciences, co-author with Heath
Burton, W. D., co-author with Burch, Saltzberg, etc.
Sister Callista, Utica Community Chest
Dr. Ewen Cameron, McGill University, Canada, CIA MKULTRA contractor
Emily Farrell Carota, wife and co-author of Martin Orne
John Carrol, Harvard University, MKULTRA contractor
Dr. James Cattell
Dr. Cholden
Dr. Cleghorn, associate of Cameron and Azima
Dr. Sidney Cohen
William Boyd Cook, MKULTRA contractor
Robert Cormack, Children's International Summer Villages Inc., MKULTRA contractor Cramer, colleague of Azima
Melvin DeFleur, University of Indiana, MKULTRA contractor
Dr. Jose Delgado, neurosurgeon, professor at Yale
E. W. Demarr
A. J. Derbyshire, Director of the Utica Community Chest
George Devereux, Ph.D.
Dr. Bruce Dill, Director of Medical Research at the US Army
Chemical Research and Development Laboratory, Edgeware Arsenal
David F. Dinges, Ph.D., co-author with Orne. Associate Professor of Psychology, Director of the Unit for Experimental
Psychiatry at the University of Pennsylvania as of 2002
Kenneth R. Dirks, M.D., Brigadier General, MC, Assistant Surgeon General for Research and

Development

Dr. Keith S. Dittman, Research Psychiatrist, UCLA Neuropsychiatric Institute, UCLA Department of Psychiatry

Allen Dulles, Director, CIA

Brigadier General Don D. Elickinger, ARDC, U.S. Air Force

Dr. Joel Elkes, Chairman of the Department of Psychiatry at Johns Hopkins University

Dr. George H. Estabrooks, has acknowledged "the building of Manchurian Candidates," associate of Martin Orne

W. J. Estelle, Jr., Director of Texas Department of Corrections

Frank R. Ervin, M.D., psychiatrist, Director of Stanley Coob Laboratories for Psychiatric Research, Harvard Medical School

F. J. Evans, co-author with Martin Orne

Dr. H. J. Eysenck, MKULTRA subcontractor

Dr. Fenimore, TRIMS

Forgy

Dr. Fred Frankel, MbChb, DPM., co-author with Martin Orne, Harvard University Medical School as of 2002

Dr. Daniel X. Freedman

Dr. Frank Fremont-Smith

Dr. Peter Freyd, husband of Pamela Freyd (FMSF's Executive Director)

Charles Fritz, University of Florida, National Research Council, MKULTRA contractor

Funk

Dr. Charles Geschickter, MKULTRA contractor, MKSEARCH contractor

Dr. John Gittinger, lead psychologist for CIA's MKULTRA

Dr. Jacques S. Gottlieb, psychiatrist

Sydney Gottlieb, Chief of Medical Staff, Technical Services Division, CIA

William J. Grace, M.D.

Dr. Wilson Greene, Technical Director, Chemical Corps, Chemical and Radiological Laboratories, Army Chemical Center

Dr. Robert D. Hall, Worcester Foundation for Experimental Biology

Dr. James Hamilton, Vacaville State Prison, CIA MKSEARCH contractor, MKULTRA contractor, associate of Mead

Dr. P. L. Harriman, U. S. military psychiatrist, "experiments done to create multiple personality"

Dr. Robert G. Heath, Chairman of the Department of Psychiatry and Neurology, Tulane University (CIA?)

Dr. Donald Hebb, Head of the Department of Psychology at McGill

Dr. Carl Heller

William Hermann, Westbrook's control officer, Stanford Research Institute, Rand Corporation

Lawrence Hinkle, Human Ecology Foundation Director, co-author with Gittinger

Dr. Hudson Hoagland, Ph.D., Executive Director, Worcester Foundation for Experimental Biology

Dr. Paul Hoch, psychiatrist

Harold Hodge, MKULTRA contractor

Dr. Abram Hoffer, associate of Osmond

J. Edgar Hoover, FBI Director

Aldous Huxley, author, associate of Estabrooks

Dr. Robert Hyde, MKULTRA contractor, associate of Hoaglund
Dr. Gilbert Jensen
Harris Isbell, MKULTRA contractor
Dr. Franz Kallman (eugenics expert)
Dr. A. Herbert Kanter
Dr. George Kelley, MKULTRA contractor
Dr. Seymour S. Kety, Chairman of Psychiatry at Johns Hopkins
Dr. Ari Kiev
John Kihlstrom, Ph.D., co-author of Martin Orne
Clyde Kluckholm, anthropologist, associate of Mead
Andrew G. Knapp
A. B. Kristofferson, Children's International Summer Villages, Inc., MKULTRA contractor
Dr. Saul Krugman
Kurt Lang, Queen's College, MKULTRA contractor
Dr. Robert Lashbrook, physician, CIA
Kurt Lewin, associate of Mead
Dr. William T. Lhamon, associate of Burch
Harold Lief, M.D., coauthor with Dr. Heath, psychiatrist for Dr. Peter Freyd (Pamela's husband), founder of the Center for the Study of Sex Education in Medicine. Professor emeritus at the University of Pennsylvania as of 2002
Dr. Robert Lifton, psychiatrist
Dr. John Lilly (LSD, dolphins, flotation tanks)
Dr. Ogden R. Lindsley
Dr. Morris A. Lipton
Stanley Lovell, OSS
Dr. William Malamud, Medical Research Director of the Scottish Rite Foundation
Dr. Vernon Mark, co-author with Delgado
Dr. Amedeo Marrazzi
Edwin May
Paul McHugh, M.D., Department of Psychiatry. Began teaching at Cornell in 1964, "where he founded the Bourne Behavioral Research Laboratory." Phipps Professor of Psychiatry at Johns Hopkins University as of 2002.
Margaret Mead, anthropologist, funded by and did work for the OSS/CIA, colleague of Cameron and Wittkower
Richard Meisch, M.D., co-author with Marrazzi
Rhoda Metraux, associate of Mead, co-author with Gittinger and Wolff
Marvin Minsky
Juhani Mirvas, University of Helsinki, MKULTRA contractor
James Moore, chemistry professor, MKULTRA contractor
Dr. Thelma Moss, Assistant Professor, UCLA Neuropsychiatric Institute
John Mulholland, magician, CIA MKULTRA contractor
Robert C. Murphy
William Malamud, M.D., co-author with Overholser
H. B. Murphree
Maria H. Nagy, Ph.D.

Ulric Neisser, Ph.D., N.A.S., associate of Shor. Teacher in the psychology department at Cornell University as of 2002

Richard J. Ofshe, Ph.D., coauthor with Singer, professor at the University of California, Berkeley as of 2002

Thomas J. O'Grady

#+Emily Carota Orne, B.A. (see Emily Carota). Co-director of the Unit for Experimental Psychiatry and research associate of Psychology in the Department of Psychiatry at the University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine as of 2002

#Martin Orne, M.D., Ph.D., Institute for Experimental Psychiatry (founder), Institute of the Pennsylvania Hospital, master hypnotist, CIA MKULTRA contractor, deceased

Charles Osgood, University of Illinois, MKULTRA contractor

Humphry Osmond

Dr. Winfred Overholser, Sr., "in the center of" the OSS mind control network," Chairman of the Scottish Rite Research Committee

Dr. Talcott Parsons, associate of Mead, Army Intelligence and State Department, recruited Nazis for the US Government

Godfrey D. Pearlson, M.D., Professor of Psychiatry and Mental Hygiene, Director, Division of Neuroimaging, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine

T. T. Peck, Jr.

Dr. Wilder Penfield, neurosurgeon at McGill, associate of Baldwin

#+Michael A. Persinger, Ph.D., Laurentian University (Ontario, Canada) as of 2002

William L. Pew, M.D., co-author with Marrazzi

Dr. Carl Pfeiffer, New Jersey Neuropsychiatric Institute, MKULTRA contractor, MKSEARCH contractor

Captain Clifford P. Phoebus, Chief, Biological Services Division, Office of Naval Research

#+August Piper, M.D., associate of McHugh. Private practice of psychiatry in Seattle, Washington, writes a semi-monthly column for the FMSF newsletter as of 2002

Dr. Seymour Pollack

Dr. Raymond Prince, psychiatrist at McGill, self-identified CIA MKULTRA contractor

Hal Puthoff

Ronald Reagan, former President, befriended by L. J. West, personally approved West's UCLA Violence Project

C. H. Van Rhijn

Dr. Ernst Rodin, neurologist

Carl Rogers, Ph.D., a Director of the Society for the Investigation of Human Ecology, MKULTRA contractor

Bernard Saltzberg, Ph.D.

Ronald Sandison

#+Theodore Sarbin, Ph.D., co-author with West. "Much of Dr. Sarbin's work has involved hypnosis, for which he has awards from the Inter society for Professional Hypnosis, the Society of Clinical and Experimental Hypnosis, and the American Psychological Association." Professor of psychology and criminology at the University of California, Santa Cruz as of 2002—[Author of the Sarbin RAND Report on homosexuality in the Military using all Kinsey "experts."]

Dr. William Sargant, British authority on brainwashing

Dr. Sarwer-Foner, colleague of Azima

David Saunders, MKULTRA contractor

Charles Savage
 Dr. Daniel Schachter, Harvard
 Edgar Schein
 Joseph Schoolar, Ph.D., M.D., Director of TRIMS
 Jay Schulman, M.A., MKULTRA contractor
 Dr. John Schwab, founder of the Special Operations Division at Fort Detrick, Maryland
 Alden Sears, MKULTRA contractor
 Saul Sells, Texas Christian University, MKULTRA contractor
 Muzafer Sherif, University of Oklahoma, MKULTRA contractor
 Ronald E. Shor, Ph.D.
 #+Margaret Thaler Singer, Ph.D., psychiatrist, long-term associate of West and Orne. Adjunct professor emeritus of psychology at the University of California in Berkeley as of 2002
 Dr. C. Smith
 Dr. Robert Smith, TRIMS
 John R. Smythies, Worcester Foundation for Experimental Biology, associate of Pfeiffer
 O. M. Solandt, Chairman of Canada's Defense Research Board
 Richard M. Stephenson, Ph.D.
 H. A. M. Struik, MKULTRA contractor
 Dr. William Sweet, neurosurgeon, co-author with Delgado
 Russell Targ
 William N. Thetford, Ph.D., co-author with Gittinger, MKULTRA contractor
 Dr. James Tyhurst, Allan Memorial Institute, Hollywood Hospital in Vancouver
 George Wasson, MKULTRA contractor
 Professor George Wendt
 Dr. Sidney L. Werkman, associate of Wolff
 #Louis Jolyon West, M.D., Professor and Head of University of Oklahoma's Department of Psychiatry, Director of UCLA Neuropsychiatric Institute, MKULTRA contractor (deceased)
 Colton Westbrook, CIA, Vacaville State Prison
 George White, OSS/CIA officer, MKULTRA contractor
 Dr. John Clare Whitehorn, Chairman of the Department of Psychiatry at Johns Hopkins University, Director of the Human Ecology Foundation (CIA)
 Dr. Eric Wittkower, McGill, co-author with Azima
 Dr. Harold Wolff, Professor of Medicine, Cornell University, MKULTRA contractor
 Dr. Herbert Zimmer
 Leonard M. Zunin, Assistant Chief, Neuropsychiatry, Naval Hospital, Camp Pendelton, California

Quotes are from the FMSF Scientific and Professional Advisory Board - Profiles web page retrieved in July, 2002.


FMSF Advisory Board Members Employed (as of July, 2002) by Above-listed Universities and Hospitals

Aaron T. Beck, M.D, D.M.S. - University of Pennsylvania , Philadelphia
 David F. Dinges, Ph.D. - University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia
 Fred H. Frankel, MbChB, DPM - Harvard University Medical School, MA
 George K. Ganaway, M.D. - Emory University, Atlanta, GA

Henry Gleitman, Ph.D. - University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia
 Lila Gleitman, Ph.D. - University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia
 Ernest R. Hilgard, Ph.D., N.A.S. - Stanford University, CA
 John Hochman, M.D. - UCLA Medical School, Los Angeles, CA
 Philip S. Holzman, Ph.D. - Harvard University, MA
 Harold I. Lief, M.D. - University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia
 Paul R. McHugh, M.D. - Johns Hopkins University, MD
 Ulric Neisser, Ph.D., N.A.S. - Cornell University, NY
 Emily Carota Orne - University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia
 Harrison Pope, Jr., M.D. - Harvard Medical School, MA

As of 7/02, a minimum of 29% of the advisory board members are employed by universities and hospitals that, according to CIA records, have been involved in covert human experimentation. Other members of the board have been employed in the past, and there may be other "involved" facilities that we do not have records of. Of the 14 members who worked at these universities and hospitals as of 7/02, 43% were employed by the University of Pennsylvania and 21% were employed by Harvard University. Pamela Freyd claimed that the University of Pennsylvania and Johns Hopkins were instrumental in the formation of the FMSF. Dr. Paul McHugh's employment at Johns Hopkins is significant, because he may have been instrumental in recruiting that university's initial support of the FMSF. 209. Citing paid arrangements between biomedical companies and senior NIH scientists disclosed by *The Los Angeles Times*, January 23, 2004, Specter asked if ongoing consulting deals for NIH employees could be suspended immediately.
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8alro6mjcsU&feature=youtu.be>


Appendix B: FLOW CHARTS A, B & C



The first flow chart follows identifies the K-12 "obscenity exemptions" over time.

The second flow chart addresses changes overtime. It includes a partial list of newer Kinsey based “educator entities” which promote obscene, unscientific, not “age appropriate” curricula, books, films, speakers, videos, paid for by tax finds, and used in our schools and libraries today, K-PhD

**Scientific Authority for the Sex Industry Complex (SIC)
In the 20th - 21st Century**



**Scientific Authority for the Sex Industry Complex (SIC)
In the 20th - 21st Century**

